

APPROXIMATION OPERATORS AND FUZZY ROUGH SETS IN CO-RESIDUATED LATTICES

JU-MOK OH AND YONG CHAN KIM^{*,†}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notions of a distance function, Alexandrov topology and \ominus -upper (\oplus -lower) approximation operator based on complete co-residuated lattices. Under various relations, we define (\oplus, \ominus) -fuzzy rough set on complete co-residuated lattices. Moreover, we study their properties and give their examples.

1. Introduction

Pawlak [15,16] introduced the rough set theory as a formal tool to deal with imprecision and uncertainty in the data analysis. For an extension of Pawlak's rough sets, many researchers [1-11,19,20,24] developed lower and upper approximation operators. Radzikowska et al.[17,18] investigated (I, T) -generalized fuzzy rough set where T is a t-norm and I is an implication. J.S.Mi et al.[14] investigated (S, T) -generalized fuzzy rough set where T is a t-norm and $S(a, b) = 1 - T(1 - a, 1 - b)$ is an implication.

Ward et al.[23] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic [3-5]. It is an important mathematical tool as algebraic structures for many valued logics [1-11,19,20]. Using this concepts, fuzzy rough sets, information systems and decision rules were investigated in complete residuated lattices [1,2,7,20,25]. Moreover, Zheng et al.[25] introduced a complete co-residuated lattice as the generalization of t-conorm. Junsheng et al.[7] investigated $(\odot, \&)$ -generalized fuzzy rough set on $(L, \vee, \wedge, \odot, \&, 0, 1)$ where $(L, \vee, \wedge, \&, 0, 1)$ is a complete residuated lattice and $(L, \vee, \wedge, \odot, 0, 1)$ is complete co-residuated lattice in a sense [13].

As the study of rough set theory and topological structures, many researchers [1,6-9,12,14,15,17,21] investigated the Alexandrov topology and lattice structures of fuzzy rough sets determined by lower and upper sets. In particular, Kim [8-11] introduce the notion of Alexandrov topologies as a topological viewpoint of fuzzy rough sets and studied the relations among fuzzy preorders, lower and upper approximation operators and Alexandrov topologies in complete residuated lattices.

Received December 1, 2020. Accepted March 4, 2021. Published online March 30, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72, 03G10, 06A15, 54F05.

Key words and phrases: Distance functions, co-residuated lattices, Alexandrov topologies, approximation operators, (\oplus, \ominus) -fuzzy rough set.

*Corresponding author.

† This work was supported by the Research Institute of Natural Science of Gangneung-Wonju National University.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2021.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we introduce the notions of distance functions, Alexandrov topologies and \ominus -upper (\oplus -lower) approximation operators based on complete co-residuated lattices $(L, \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0, 1)$. Under various relations, we define (\oplus, \ominus) -fuzzy rough set on complete co-residuated lattices $(L, \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0, 1)$ where \ominus is induced by \oplus . Moreover, we study their properties and give their examples.

2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. [7,25] An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \oplus, 0, 1)$ is called a *complete co-residuated lattice* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(Q1) $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a complete lattice where 0 is the bottom element and 1 is the top element.

(Q2) $a = a \oplus 0$, $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$ and $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$ for all $a, b, c \in L$.

(Q3) $(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} a_i) \oplus b = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (a_i \oplus b)$.

Let (L, \leq, \oplus) be a complete co-residuated lattice. For each $x, y \in L$, we define

$$x \ominus y = \bigwedge \{z \in L \mid y \oplus z \geq x\}.$$

Then $(x \oplus y) \geq z$ iff $x \geq (z \ominus y)$.

In this paper, we assume $(L, \wedge, \vee, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice. For $\alpha \in L, A \in L^X$, we denote $(\alpha \ominus A), (\alpha \oplus A), \alpha_X \in L^X$ as $(\alpha \ominus A)(x) = \alpha \ominus A(x)$, $(\alpha \oplus A)(x) = \alpha \oplus A(x)$, $\alpha_X(x) = \alpha$.

Put $N(x) = 1 \ominus x$. The condition $N(N(x)) = x$ for each $x \in L$ is called a *double negative law*.

REMARK 2.2. (1) An infinitely distributive lattice $(L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \oplus = \vee, 0, 1)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice. In particular, the unit interval $([0, 1], \leq, \vee, \wedge, \oplus = \vee, 0, 1)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice [7,25].

$$\begin{aligned} x \ominus y &= \bigwedge \{z \in L \mid y \vee z \geq x\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y \geq x, \\ x, & \text{if } y \not\geq x. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Put $N(x) = 1 \ominus x = 1$ for $x \neq 1$ and $N(1) = 0$. Then $N(N(x)) = 0$ for $x \neq 1$ and $N(N(1)) = 1$. Hence N does not satisfy a double negative law.

(2) The unit interval with a right-continuous t-conorm \oplus , $([0, 1], \leq, \oplus)$, is a complete co-residuated lattice [7.25].

(3) $([1, \infty], \leq, \vee, \oplus = \cdot, \wedge, 1, \infty)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice where

$$\begin{aligned} x \ominus y &= \bigwedge \{z \in [1, \infty] \mid yz \geq x\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y \geq x, \\ \frac{x}{y}, & \text{if } y \not\geq x. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\infty \cdot a = a \cdot \infty = \infty, \forall a \in [1, \infty], \infty \ominus \infty = 1.$$

Put $N(x) = \infty \ominus x = \infty$ for $x \neq \infty$ and $N(\infty) = 1$. Then $N(N(x)) = 1$ for $x \neq \infty$ and $N(N(\infty)) = \infty$. Hence N does not satisfy a double negative law.

(4) $([0, \infty], \leq, \vee, \oplus = +, \wedge, 0, \infty)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice where

$$\begin{aligned} y \ominus x &= \bigwedge \{z \in [0, \infty] \mid x + z \geq y\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{z \in [0, \infty] \mid z \geq -x + y\} = (y - x) \vee 0, \\ \infty + a &= a + \infty = \infty, \forall a \in [0, \infty], \infty \ominus \infty = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Put $N(x) = \infty \ominus x = \infty$ for $x \neq \infty$ and $N(\infty) = 0$. Then $N(N(x)) = 0$ for $x \neq \infty$ and $N(N(\infty)) = \infty$. Hence N does not satisfy a double negative law.

(5) $([0, 1], \leq, \vee, \oplus, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice where

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus y &= (x^p + y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ x \ominus y &= \bigwedge \{z \in [0, 1] \mid (z^p + y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq x\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{z \in [0, 1] \mid z \geq (x^p - y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\} = (x^p - y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \vee 0, \end{aligned}$$

Put $N(x) = 1 \ominus x = (1 - x^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $N(N(x)) = x$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. Hence N satisfies a double negative law.

(6) Let $P(X)$ be the collection of all subsets of X . Then $(P(X), \subset, \cup, \cap, \oplus = \cup, \emptyset, X)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice where

$$\begin{aligned} A \oplus B &= \bigwedge \{C \in P(X) \mid B \cup C \supset A\} \\ &= A \cap B^c = A - B. \end{aligned}$$

Put $N(A) = X \ominus A = A^c$ for each $A \subset X$. Then $N(N(A)) = A$. Hence N satisfies a double negative law.

LEMMA 2.3. [11] *Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice. For each $x, y, z, x_i, y_i \in L$, we have the following properties.*

- (1) *If $y \leq z$, $(x \oplus y) \leq (x \oplus z)$, $y \ominus x \leq z \ominus x$ and $x \ominus z \leq x \ominus y$.*
- (2) *$(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \ominus y = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \ominus y)$ and $x \ominus (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x \ominus y_i)$.*
- (3) *$(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \ominus y \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \ominus y)$*
- (4) *$x \ominus (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \ominus y_i)$.*
- (5) *$x \ominus x = 0$, $x \ominus 0 = x$ and $0 \ominus x = 0$. Moreover, $x \ominus y = 0$ iff $x \leq y$.*
- (6) *$y \oplus (x \ominus y) \geq x$, $y \geq x \ominus (x \ominus y)$ and $(x \ominus y) \oplus (y \ominus z) \geq x \ominus z$.*
- (7) *$x \ominus (y \oplus z) = (x \ominus y) \ominus z = (x \ominus z) \ominus y$.*
- (8) *$x \ominus y \geq (x \oplus z) \ominus (y \oplus z)$, $y \ominus x \geq (z \ominus x) \ominus (z \ominus y)$ and $(x \oplus y) \ominus (z \oplus w) \leq (x \ominus z) \oplus (y \ominus w)$.*
- (9) *$x \oplus y = 0$ iff $x = 0$ and $y = 0$.*
- (10) *$(x \oplus y) \ominus z \leq x \oplus (y \ominus z)$ and $(x \ominus y) \oplus z \geq x \ominus (y \ominus z)$.*
- (11) *If L satisfies a double negative law and $N(x) = 1 \ominus x$, then $N(x \oplus y) = N(x) \ominus y = N(y) \ominus x$ and $x \ominus y = N(y) \ominus N(x)$. Moreover, $N(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} N(x_i)$ and $N(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} N(x_i)$.*

DEFINITION 2.4. [11] Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice. Let X be a set. A function $d_X : X \times X \rightarrow L$ is called a *distance function* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (M1) $d_X(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$,
- (M2) $d_X(x, y) \oplus d_X(y, z) \geq d_X(x, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$,
- (M3) If $d_X(x, y) = d_X(y, x) = 0$, then $x = y$.

The pair (X, d_X) is called a *distance space*.

REMARK 2.5. (1) We define a distance function $d_X : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. Then (X, d_X) is called a pseudo-quasi-metric space.

(2) Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice. Define a function $d_L : L \times L \rightarrow L$ as $d_L(x, y) = x \ominus y$. By Lemma 2.3 (5) and (8), (L, d_L) is a distance space. Moreover, we define a function $d_{L^X} : L^X \times L^X \rightarrow L$ as $d_{L^X}(A, B) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus B(x))$. Then (L^X, d_{L^X}) is a distance space.

(3) We define a function $d_{[0,\infty]^X} : [0, \infty]^X \times [0, \infty]^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ as $d_{[0,\infty]^X}(A, B) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus B(x)) = \bigvee_{x \in X} ((B(x) - A(x)) \vee 0)$. Then $([0, \infty]^X, d_{[0,\infty]^X})$ is a pseudo-quasi-space.

(4) If (X, d_X) is a distance space and we define a function $d_X^{-1}(x, y) = d_X(y, x)$, then (X, d_X^{-1}) is a distance space.

(5) Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice. Let (X, d_X) be a distance space and define $(d_X \uplus d_X)(x, z) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (d_X(x, y) \oplus d_X(y, z))$ for each $x, z \in X$. By (M2), $(d_X \uplus d_X)(x, z) \geq d_X(x, z)$ and $(d_X \uplus d_X)(x, z) \leq d_X(x, x) \oplus d_X(x, z) = d(x, z)$. Hence $(d_X \uplus d_X) = d_X$.

3. Approximation operators and fuzzy rough sets

DEFINITION 3.1. A subset $\tau \subset L^X$ is called an *Alexandrov topology* on X iff it satisfies the following conditions:

- (O1) $\alpha_X \in \tau$.
- (O2) If $A_i \in \tau$ for all $i \in I$, then $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i, \bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i \in \tau$.
- (O3) If $A \in \tau$ and $\alpha \in L$, then $A \ominus \alpha, \alpha \oplus A \in \tau$.

DEFINITION 3.2. A map $\mathcal{J} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ is called an \ominus -*upper approximation operator* if it satisfies the following conditions, for all $A, A_i \in L^X$, and $\alpha \in L$,

- (J1) $\mathcal{J}(A \ominus \alpha) = \mathcal{J}(A) \ominus \alpha$,
- (J2) $\mathcal{J}(\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{J}(A_i)$,
- (J3) $\mathcal{J}(A) \geq A$ and $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{J}(A)) = \mathcal{J}(A)$.

DEFINITION 3.3. A map $\mathcal{H} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ is called an \oplus -*lower approximation operator* if it satisfies the following conditions, for all $A, A_i \in L^X$, and $\alpha \in L$,

- (H1) $\mathcal{H}(\alpha \oplus A) = \alpha \oplus \mathcal{H}(A)$,
- (H2) $\mathcal{H}(\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}(A_i)$,
- (H3) $\mathcal{H}(A) \leq A$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(A)) = \mathcal{H}(A)$.

Let \mathcal{H} (resp. \mathcal{J}) be \oplus -lower (resp. \ominus -upper) approximation operator on X . As a generalization of fuzzy rough set, the pair $(\mathcal{H}(A), \mathcal{J}(A))$ is called an (\oplus, \ominus) -*fuzzy rough set* for $A \in L^X$.

The map $\alpha : L^X \rightarrow L$ is an *fuzzy accuracy measure* defined, for $A \in L^X$

$$\alpha(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (\mathcal{J}(A)(x) \ominus \mathcal{H}(A)(x)).$$

THEOREM 3.4. Let $d_X \in L^{X \times X}$ be a distance function. Define $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}, \mathcal{H}_{d_X} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B)(x) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (B(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)), \\ \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)(y) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus d_X(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the followings hold.

- (1) \mathcal{J}_{d_X} is an \ominus -upper approximation operator.
- (2) \mathcal{H}_{d_X} is an \oplus -lower approximation operator. Moreover, $(\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A), \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A))$ is an (\oplus, \ominus) -fuzzy rough set for $A \in L^X$.
- (3) $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\alpha_X) = \alpha_X$, $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(d_X(x, -)) = d_X(x, -)$ and $\alpha \oplus \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A) \geq \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\alpha \oplus A)$ for each $\alpha \in L, A \in L^X$ and $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A) \leq \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B)$ for $A \leq B$.

(4) $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(\alpha_X) = \alpha_X$, $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(d_X(x, -)) = d_X(x, -)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A) \ominus \alpha \leq \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A \ominus \alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in L$, $A \in L^X$ and $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A) \leq \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(B)$ for $A \leq B$.

(5) $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A) = \bigvee \{B \mid \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B) \leq A\}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\alpha \ominus A) = \alpha \ominus \mathcal{H}_{d_X^{-1}}(A)$, for all $A \in L^X$.

(6) $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B) = \bigwedge \{A \mid \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A) \geq B\}$.

(7) For each $A, B \in L^X$, $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B)) = \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)) = \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)$

(8) $\tau_{d_X} = \{A \in L^X \mid A(x) \oplus d_X(x, y) \geq A(y)\}$ is an Alexandrov topology on X with $d_X(x, -), (\alpha \ominus d_X(-, x)) \in \tau_{d_X}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{d_X} &= \{\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A) \mid A \in L^X\} = \{\bigwedge_{y \in X} (A(y) \oplus d_X(y, -)) \mid A \in L^X\} \\ &= \{\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A) \mid A \in L^X\} = \{\bigvee_{y \in X} (A(y) \ominus d_X(-, y)) \mid A \in L^X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) (J1) For each $A \in L^X$ and $\alpha \in L$, by Lemma 2.3 (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A \ominus \alpha)(x) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} ((A(y) \ominus \alpha) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} ((A(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \ominus \alpha) = \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A)(x) \ominus \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

(J2) For each $A_i \in L^X$, by Lemma 2.3(2), $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A_i)$.

(J3) For each $A \in L^X$, $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (A(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \geq A(x) \ominus d_X(x, x) = A(x)$.

For each $A \in L^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A))(x) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A)(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (\bigvee_{z \in X} (A(z) \ominus d_X(y, z)) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (\bigvee_{z \in X} ((A(z) \ominus d_X(y, z)) \ominus d_X(x, y))) \text{ (by Lemma 2.3 (2))} \\ &= \bigvee_{y, z \in X} (A(z) \ominus (d_X(y, z) \oplus d_X(x, y))) \text{ (by Lemma 2.3 (7))} \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in X} (A(z) \ominus \bigwedge_{y \in X} (d_X(y, z) \oplus d_X(x, y))) \text{ (by Lemma 2.3 (2))} \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in X} (A(z) \ominus d_X(x, z)) = \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{J}_{d_X} is an \ominus -upper approximation operator.

(2) (H1) $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(\alpha \oplus A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((\alpha \oplus A)(x) \oplus d_X(x, y)) = \alpha \oplus \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus d_X(x, y)) = \alpha \oplus \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)(y)$.

(H2) $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x) \oplus d_X(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A_i(x) \oplus d_X(x, y))) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A_i)(y)$.

(H3) $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus d_X(x, y)) \leq A(y) \oplus d_X(y, y) = A(y)$.

For all $B \in L^X$, $z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A))(z) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)(x) \oplus d_X(x, z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\bigwedge_{y \in X} (A(y) \oplus d_X(y, x)) \oplus d_X(x, z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (A(y) \oplus \bigwedge_{x \in X} (d_X(y, x) \oplus d_X(x, z))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (A(y) \oplus d_X(y, z)) = \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{H}_{d_X} is an \oplus -lower approximation operator.

(3) Since $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\alpha_X)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (\alpha_X(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \leq \alpha$, by (J3), $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\alpha_X) = \alpha_X$. For each $x, z \in X$,

$$\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(d_X(x, -))(z) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (d_X(x, y) \ominus d_X(z, y)) = d_X(x, z).$$

For each $A \in L^X$ and $\alpha \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \oplus \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A)(x) &= \alpha \oplus \bigvee_{y \in X} (A(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{y \in X} (\alpha \oplus (A(y) \ominus d_X(x, y))) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{y \in X} ((\alpha \oplus A)(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3 (10)}) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\alpha \oplus A)(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $A \leq B$, $\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A) \leq \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B)$.

(4) Since $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(\alpha_X)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\alpha_X(x) \oplus d_X(x, y)) \geq \alpha$, $\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(\alpha_X) = \alpha_X$. For $x, z \in X$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(d_X(x, -))(z) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (d_X(x, y) \oplus d_X(y, z)) = d_X(x, z).$$

For each $A \in L^X$ and $\alpha \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A \ominus \alpha)(z) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((A \ominus \alpha)(x) \oplus d_X(x, z)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((A(x) \oplus d_X(x, z)) \ominus \alpha) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus d_X(x, z)) \ominus \alpha \\ &= \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)(z) \ominus \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

(5) By (J2), for each $A \in L^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee \{B(y) \mid \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B)(x) \leq A(x)\} &= \bigvee \{B(y) \mid \bigvee_{y \in Y} (B(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \leq A(x)\} \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (d_X(x, y) \oplus A(x)) = \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)(y). \end{aligned}$$

For all $B \in L^X$, $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\alpha \ominus B)(x) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} ((\alpha \ominus B)(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} ((\alpha \ominus (B(y) \oplus d_X(x, y))) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3 (7)}) \\ &= \alpha \ominus \bigvee_{y \in X} (B(y) \oplus d_X(x, y)) \\ &= \alpha \ominus \mathcal{H}_{d_X^{-1}}(B)(x) \end{aligned}$$

(6) By (H2), for each $B \in L^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigwedge \{A(x) \mid \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A)(y) \geq B(y)\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{A(x) \mid \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus d_X(x, y)) \geq B(y)\} \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} (B(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) = \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B)(x). \end{aligned}$$

(7) For each $B \in L^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B))(z) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B)(x) \oplus d_X(x, z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\bigvee_{y \in X} (B(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \oplus d_X(x, z)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigvee_{y \in X} ((B(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \oplus d_X(x, z)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigvee_{y \in X} (B(y) \ominus (d_X(x, y) \oplus d_X(x, z))) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3 (10)}) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{y \in X} (B(y) \ominus \bigvee_{x \in X} (d_X(x, y) \oplus d_X(x, z))) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{y \in X} (B(y) \ominus d_X(z, y)) = \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(B)(z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(B))(x) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(B)(y) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (\bigwedge_{z \in X} (B(z) \oplus d_X(z, y)) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{y \in X} \bigwedge_{z \in X} ((B(z) \oplus d_X(z, y)) \ominus d_X(x, y)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{y \in X} \bigwedge_{z \in X} (B(z) \oplus (d_X(z, y) \oplus d_X(x, y))) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3 (10)}) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (B(z) \oplus \bigvee_{y \in X} (d_X(z, y) \oplus d_X(x, y))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (B(z) \oplus d_X(x, z)) = \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(B)(x). \end{aligned}$$

(8) (O1) Since $\alpha_X(x) \oplus d_X(x, y) \geq \alpha_X(y)$, $\alpha_X \in \tau_{d_X}$.

(O2) If $A_i \in \tau_{d_X}$ for all $i \in I$, $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i(x) \oplus d_X(x, y) \geq \bigvee_{i \in I} (A_i(x) \oplus d_X(x, y)) \geq \bigvee_{i \in I} A_i(y)$ and $\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i(x) \oplus d_X(x, y) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (A_i(x) \oplus d_X(x, y)) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i(y)$. Hence $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i, \bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i \in \tau_{d_X}$.

(O3) If $A \in \tau_{d_X}$ and $\alpha \in L$, then $d_X(x, y) \oplus (A(x) \ominus \alpha) \oplus \alpha \geq d_X(x, y) \oplus A(x) \geq A(y)$. Thus $d_X(x, y) \oplus (A(x) \ominus \alpha) \geq A(y) \ominus \alpha$. So $A \ominus \alpha \in \tau_{d_X}$. Easily, $\alpha \oplus A \in \tau_{d_X}$.

Since $d_X(x, -)(y) \oplus d_X(y, z) \geq d_X(x, -)(z)$, $d_X(x, -) \in \tau_{d_X}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha \ominus d_X(-, x))(y) \oplus d_X(y, z) \oplus d_X(z, x) \\ & \geq (\alpha \ominus d_X(-, x))(y) \oplus d_X(y, x) \geq \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

$(\alpha \ominus d_X(-, x))(y) \oplus d_X(y, z) \geq \alpha \ominus d_X(z, x)$, that is, $(\alpha \ominus d_X(-, x)) \in \tau_{d_X}$.

For $A \in \tau_{d_X}$, $A = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus d_X(x, -)) = \mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A) \in \tau_{d_X}$ and $A = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus d_X(-, x)) = \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A) \in \tau_{d_X}$.

□

THEOREM 3.5. (1) Let $\mathcal{H} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ be an \oplus -lower approximation operator iff there exist a distance function $d_{\mathcal{H}}$ on X such that

$$\mathcal{H}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus d_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y)).$$

(2) If L satisfies a double negative law, then $\mathcal{J} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ be an \ominus -upper approximation operator iff there exist a distance function $d_{\mathcal{J}}$ on X such that

$$\mathcal{J}(B)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (B(y) \ominus d_{\mathcal{J}}(x, y)).$$

Proof. (1) (\Rightarrow) Put $d_{\mathcal{H}} : X \times X \rightarrow L$ as $d_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y) = \mathcal{H}(0_x)(y)$ where $0_x(x) = 0$ and $0_x(y) = 1$ for $x \neq y \in X$.

(M1) $d_{\mathcal{H}}(x, x) = \mathcal{H}(0_x)(x) \leq 0_x(x) = 0$.

(M2) Since $A = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (A(y) \oplus 0_y)$ and $\mathcal{H}(0_x) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{H}(0_x)(y) \oplus 0_y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge_{y \in X} (d_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y) \oplus d_{\mathcal{H}}(y, z)) \\ & = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{H}(0_x)(y) \oplus \mathcal{H}(0_y)(z)) \quad (\text{by (H2)}) \\ & = \mathcal{H}(\bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{H}(0_x)(y) \oplus 0_y)(z)) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(0_x))(z) \\ & = \mathcal{H}(0_x)(z) = d_{\mathcal{H}}(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a distance function. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(A)(y) & = \mathcal{H}(\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus 0_x))(y) \\ & = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus \mathcal{H}(0_x)(y)) \\ & = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus d_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) It follow from Theorem 3.4(2).

(2) (\Rightarrow) Put $d_{\mathcal{J}} : X \times X \rightarrow L$ as $d_{\mathcal{J}}(x, y) = N(\mathcal{J}(N(0_y)))(x)$ where $0_x(x) = 0$ and $0_x(y) = 1$ for $x \neq y \in X$.

(M1) Since $\mathcal{J}(N(0_x)) \geq N(0_x)$, $d_{\mathcal{J}}(x, x) = N(\mathcal{J}(N(0_x)))(x) \leq N(N(0_x)(x)) = 0$.

(M2) Since $A = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (A(y) \oplus 0_y)$, $N(A) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (N(A)(y) \oplus 0_y)$, by Lemma 2.3(11), $A = \bigvee_{y \in X} (N(0_y) \ominus N(A)(y))$,

$$\begin{aligned} & N(\bigwedge_{y \in X} (d_{\mathcal{J}}(x, y) \oplus d_{\mathcal{J}}(y, z))) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (N(N\mathcal{J}(N(0_y))(x) \oplus N\mathcal{J}(N(0_z))(y))) \text{ (by (H2))} \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{J}(N(0_y))(x) \ominus N(\mathcal{J}(N(0_z))(y))) \text{ (by (H2))} \\ &= \mathcal{J}(\bigvee_{y \in X} ((N(0_y))(x) \ominus N(\mathcal{J}(N(0_z))(y)))) \text{ (by (H2))} \\ &= \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{J}(N(0_z)))(x) = \mathcal{J}(N(0_z))(x) \\ &= N(d_{\mathcal{J}}(x, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{\mathcal{J}}$ is a distance function. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(B)(x) &= \mathcal{J}(\bigvee_{y \in Y} (N(0_y)(x) \ominus N(B)(y))) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{J}(N(0_y))(x) \ominus N(B)(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} (B(y) \ominus N(\mathcal{J}(N(0_y)))(x)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} (B(y) \ominus d_{\mathcal{J}}(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) It follow from Theorem 3.4(1). □

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$ be a set and $(L = \{0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 1\}, \oplus, \ominus, 0, 1)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice with

$$x \oplus y = 1 \wedge (x + y), \quad x \ominus y = (x - y) \vee 0.$$

Define $d_X^1, d_X : X \times X \rightarrow L$ as

$$d_X^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $d_X^1(x, z) \oplus d_X^1(z, y) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \not\leq d_X^1(x, y) = 1$, d_X^1 is not a distance function. Since $d_X \uplus d_X = d_X$ from Remark 2.5(5), d_X is a distance function.

By Theorem 3.4(8), we obtain an Alexandrov topology $\tau_{d_X} = \{\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(C) \mid C \in L^X\} = \{\mathcal{J}_{d_X}(D) \mid D \in L^X\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{d_{\tau_X}}(C) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (C(x) \oplus d_{\tau_X}(x, -)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} C(x) \wedge (C(y) + \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (C(z) + \frac{3}{4}) \\ (C(x) + \frac{3}{4}) \wedge C(y) \wedge (C(z) + \frac{1}{2}) \\ (C(x) + \frac{1}{4}) \wedge (C(y) + \frac{1}{4}) \wedge C(z) \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{J}_{d_{\tau_X}}(D) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (D(x) \ominus d_{\tau_X}(-, x)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} D(x) \vee (D(y) - \frac{3}{4}) \vee (D(z) - \frac{1}{4}) \\ (D(x) - \frac{1}{2}) \vee D(y) \vee (D(z) - \frac{1}{4}) \\ (D(x) - \frac{3}{4}) \vee (D(y) - \frac{1}{2}) \vee D(z) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The pair $(\mathcal{H}_{d_X}(A), \mathcal{J}_{d_X}(A))$ is an (\oplus, \ominus) -fuzzy rough set for $A \in L^X$.

References

- [1] R. Bělohlávek, *Fuzzy Relational Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2002.
- [2] P. Chen, D. Zhang, *Alexandroff co-topological spaces*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **161** (2010), 2505–2514.
- [3] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.

- [4] U. Höhle, E.P. Klement, *Non-classical logic and their applications to fuzzy subsets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1995.
- [5] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Logic, Topology and Measure Theory*, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [6] F. Jinming, *I-fuzzy Alexandrov topologies and specialization orders*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **158** (2007), 2359–2374.
- [7] Q. Junsheng, Hu. Bao Qing, *On $(\odot, \&)$ -fuzzy rough sets based on residuated and co-residuated lattices*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **336** (2018), 54–86.
- [8] Y.C. Kim, *Join-meet preserving maps and Alexandrov fuzzy topologies*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, **28** (2015), 457–467.
- [9] Y.C. Kim, *Categories of fuzzy preorders, approximation operators and Alexandrov topologies*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, **31** (2016), 1787–1793.
- [10] Y.C. Kim, J.M. Ko, *Fuzzy complete lattices, Alexandrov L-fuzzy topologies and fuzzy rough sets*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, **38** (2020), 3253–3266.
- [11] Y.C. Kim, J.M. Ko, *Preserving maps and approximation operators in complete co-residuated lattices*, Journal of the Korean Institute of Intelligent Systems, **30** (5)(2020), 389–398.
- [12] H. Lai, D. Zhang, *Fuzzy preorder and fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **157** (2006), 1865–1885.
- [13] Z.M. Ma, B.Q. Hu, *Topological and lattice structures of L-fuzzy rough set determined by lower and upper sets*, Information Sciences, **218** (2013), 194–204.
- [14] J.S. Mi, Y. Leung, H.Y. Zhao, T. Feng, *Generalized fuzzy rough sets determined by a triangular norm*, Information Sciences, **178** (2008), 3203–3213.
- [15] Z. Pawlak, *Rough sets*, Internat. J. Comput. Inform. Sci., **11** (1982), 341–356.
- [16] Z. Pawlak, *Rough sets: Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data, System Theory, Knowledge Engineering and Problem Solving*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1991.
- [17] A. M. Radzikowska, E.E. Kerre, *A comparative study of fuzzy rough sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **126** (2002), 137–155.
- [18] A.M. Radzikowska, E.E. Kerre, *Characterisation of main classes of fuzzy relations using fuzzy modal operators*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **152** (2005), 223–247.
- [19] S.E. Rodabaugh, E.P. Klement, *Topological and Algebraic Structures In Fuzzy Sets*, The Handbook of Recent Developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London, 2003.
- [20] Y.H. She, G.J. Wang, *An axiomatic approach of fuzzy rough sets based on residuated lattices*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, **58** (2009), 189–201.
- [21] S. P. Tiwari, A.K. Srivastava, *Fuzzy rough sets, fuzzy preorders and fuzzy topologies*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **210** (2013), 63–68.
- [22] E. Turunen, *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, A Springer-Verlag Co., 1999.
- [23] M. Ward, R.P. Dilworth, *Residuated lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1939), 335–354.
- [24] W.Z. Wu, Y. Leung, J.S. Mi, *On characterizations of (Φ, T) -fuzzy approximation operators*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **154** (2005), 76–102.
- [25] M.C. Zheng, G.J. Wang, *Coresiduated lattice with applications*, Fuzzy systems and Mathematics, **19** (2005), 1–6.

Ju-Mok Oh

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National,
Gangneung 25457, Korea
E-mail: jumokoh@gwnu.ac.kr

Yong Chan Kim

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National,
Gangneung 25457, Korea
E-mail: yck@gwnu.ac.kr