

## SOME REMARKS ON THE GROWTH OF COMPOSITE $p$ -ADIC ENTIRE FUNCTION

TANMAY BISWAS\* AND CHINMAY BISWAS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we wish to introduce the concept of generalized relative index-pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of a  $p$ -adic entire function with respect to another  $p$ -adic entire function and then prove some results relating to the growth rates of composition of two  $p$ -adic entire functions with their corresponding left and right factors.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let us consider an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero complete with respect to a  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|$  (example  $\mathbb{C}_p$ ). For any  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $R \in ]0, +\infty[$ , the closed disk  $\{x \in \mathbb{K} : |x - \Lambda| \leq R\}$  and the open disk  $\{x \in \mathbb{K} : |x - \Lambda| < R\}$  are denoted by  $d(\Lambda, R)$  and  $d(\Lambda, R^-)$  respectively. Also  $C(\Lambda, r)$  denotes the circle  $\{x \in \mathbb{K} : |x - \Lambda| = r\}$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  represent the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra of analytic functions in  $\mathbb{K}$  i.e., the set of power series with an infinite radius of convergence. For the most comprehensive study of analytic functions inside a disk or in the whole field  $\mathbb{K}$ , we refer the reader to the books [17–19, 22]. During the last several years the ideas of  $p$ -adic analysis have been studied from different aspects and many important results were gained (see [3] to [16]).

Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  and  $r > 0$ , then we denote by  $|f|(r)$  the number  $\sup\{|f(x)| : |x| = r\}$  where  $|\cdot|(r)$  is a multiplicative norm on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is not a constant, the  $|f|(r)$  is strictly increasing function of  $r$  and tends to  $+\infty$  with  $r$ , therefore there exists its inverse function  $\widehat{|f|} : (|f(0)|, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{|f|}(s) = \infty$ .

For  $x \in [0, \infty)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $\log^{[k]} x = \log\left(\log^{[k-1]} x\right)$  and  $\exp^{[k]} x = \exp\left(\exp^{[k-1]} x\right)$  where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all positive integers. We also denote  $\log^{[0]} x = x$  and  $\exp^{[0]} x = x$ . Throughout the paper,  $\log$  denotes the Neperian logarithm. Taking this into account the  $(p, q)$ -th order and  $(p, q)$ -th lower order of an entire function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  are defined as follows:

DEFINITION 1.1. [7] Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  and  $p, q$  be two positive integers. Then the  $(p, q)$ -th order  $\rho^{(p,q)}(f)$  and  $(p, q)$ -th lower order  $\lambda^{(p,q)}(f)$  of  $f$  are respectively defined

---

Received July 17, 2021. Revised September 12, 2021. Accepted September 24, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 12J25, 30D35, 30G06, 46S10.

Key words and phrases:  $p$ -adic entire function, growth, composition, generalized relative order  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , generalized relative index-pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .

\* Corresponding author.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2021.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

as:

$$\varrho^{(p,q)}(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} |f|(r)}{\log^{[q]} r} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{(p,q)}(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} |f|(r)}{\log^{[q]} r}.$$

Definition 1.1 avoids the restriction  $p \geq q$  of the original definition of  $(p, q)$ -th order (respectively  $(p, q)$ -th lower order) of entire functions introduced by Juneja et al. [21] in complex context.

When  $q = 1$ , we get the definitions of generalized order and generalized lower order of an entire function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  which symbolize as  $\varrho^{(p)}(f)$  and  $\lambda^{(p)}(f)$  respectively. If  $p = 2$  and  $q = 1$  then we write  $\varrho^{(2,1)}(f) = \varrho(f)$  and  $\lambda^{(2,1)}(f) = \lambda(f)$  where  $\varrho(f)$  and  $\lambda(f)$  are respectively known as order and lower order of  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  introduced by Boussaf et al. [13].

Now let  $L$  be a class of continuous non-negative functions  $\alpha$  defined on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  such that  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x_0) \geq 0$  for  $x \leq x_0$  with  $\alpha(x) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\alpha((1 + o(1))x) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . We say that  $\alpha \in L^0$ , if  $\alpha \in L$  and  $\alpha(cx) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x_0 \leq x \rightarrow +\infty$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ , i.e.,  $\alpha$  is slowly increasing function. Clearly  $L^0 \subset L$ .

The concept of generalized order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of entire function in complex context was introduced by Sheremeta [23] where  $\alpha, \beta \in L$ . In complex context, several authors made close investigations on the properties of entire functions related to generalized order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in some different direction. For the purpose of further applications of generalized order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of entire function in complex context, Biswas et al. [4, 7] rewrite the definition of generalized order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of an entire function considering  $\alpha, \beta \in L^0$ . For details about generalized order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and generalized lower order  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , one may see [4, 7]. Considering the ideas developed by Biswas et al. [4, 7], one can define the generalized order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and generalized lower order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of an entire function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  respectively in the following way:

**DEFINITION 1.2.** [2] Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in L^0$ . The generalized order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and generalized lower order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $f$  denoted by  $\varrho_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]$  and  $\lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]$  respectively are defined as:

$$\varrho_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f] = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(|f|(r))}{\beta(r)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f] = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(|f|(r))}{\beta(r)}.$$

If  $\alpha(r) = \log^{[p]} r$  and  $\beta(r) = \log^{[q]} r$ , then Definition 1.1 is a special case of Definition 1.2.

In this connection one may give the following definition:

**DEFINITION 1.3.** An entire function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  is said to have generalized index-pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  if  $b < \varrho_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f] < \infty$  and  $\varrho_{(\exp \alpha, \exp \beta)}[f]$  is not a non-zero finite number, where  $b = 1$  if  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $b = 0$  for otherwise. Moreover if  $0 < \varrho_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f] < +\infty$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \varrho_{(\alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}), \beta)}[f] = \infty & \text{when } \alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\alpha(r)} = +\infty, \\ \varrho_{(\alpha, \beta(\gamma_1^{-1}))}[f] = 0 & \text{when } \beta(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\beta(r)} = +\infty, \\ \varrho_{(\alpha(\gamma_1), \beta(\gamma_1))}[f] = 1 & \text{when } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma_1(r))}{\alpha(r)} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta(\gamma_1(r))}{\beta(r)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly for  $0 < \lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f] < +\infty$ , one can easily verify that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_{(\alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}), \beta)}[f] = \infty & \text{when } \alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\alpha(r)} = +\infty, \\ \lambda_{(\alpha, \beta(\gamma_1^{-1}))}[f] = 0 & \text{when } \beta(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\beta(r)} = +\infty, \\ \lambda_{(\alpha(\gamma_1), \beta(\gamma_1))}[f] = 1 & \text{when } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma_1(r))}{\alpha(r)} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta(\gamma_1(r))}{\beta(r)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.3 extends the definition of index-pair  $(p, q)$  of  $p$ -adic entire function  $f$  introduced by Biswas [7] which is analogous to a definition of Juneja et al. [20, 21] in complex context. For details about index-pair  $(p, q)$  of  $p$ -adic entire function  $f$ , one may see [7].

The notion of relative order was first introduced by Bernal [1]. In order to make some progress in the study of  $p$ -adic analysis, Biswas [6] has introduced the definitions of relative order  $\varrho_g(f)$  and relative lower order  $\lambda_g(f)$  of entire function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  with respect to another entire function  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  in the following way:

$$\varrho_g(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \widehat{|g|}(|f|(r))}{\log r} \text{ and } \lambda_g(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \widehat{|g|}(|f|(r))}{\log r}.$$

In the case of relative order, it therefore seems reasonable to define suitably the generalized relative order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of entire function belonging to  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ . With this in view one may introduce the definitions of generalized relative order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and generalized relative lower order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of an entire function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  with respect to another entire function  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  denoted by  $\varrho_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]_g$  and  $\lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]_g$  respectively, in the follows way:

DEFINITION 1.4. Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in L^0$ . The generalized relative order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and generalized relative lower order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $f$  with respect to  $g$  denoted by  $\varrho_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]_g$  and  $\lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]_g$  respectively are defined as:

$$\varrho_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]_g = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\widehat{|g|}(|f|(r)))}{\beta(r)} \text{ and } \lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]_g = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\widehat{|g|}(|f|(r)))}{\beta(r)}.$$

Now we introduce the following definition which will be needed in the sequel:

DEFINITION 1.5. Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ .  $f$  is said to have generalized relative index-pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with respect to  $g$ , if  $b < \varrho_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]_g < \infty$  and  $\varrho_{(\exp \alpha, \exp \beta)}[f]_g$  is not a non-zero finite number, where  $b = 1$  if  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $b = 0$  for otherwise. Moreover if  $0 < \varrho_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]_g < +\infty$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \varrho_{(\alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}), \beta)}[f]_g = \infty & \text{when } \alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\alpha(r)} = +\infty, \\ \varrho_{(\alpha, \beta(\gamma_1^{-1}))}[f]_g = 0 & \text{when } \beta(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\beta(r)} = +\infty, \\ \varrho_{(\alpha(\gamma_1), \beta(\gamma_1))}[f]_g = 1 & \text{when } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma_1(r))}{\alpha(r)} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta(\gamma_1(r))}{\beta(r)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly for  $0 < \lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)}[f]_g < +\infty$ , one can easily verify that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_{(\alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}), \beta)}[f]_g = \infty & \text{when } \alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\alpha(r)} = +\infty, \\ \lambda_{(\alpha, \beta(\gamma_1^{-1}))}[f]_g = 0 & \text{when } \beta(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\beta(r)} = +\infty, \\ \lambda_{(\alpha(\gamma_1), \beta(\gamma_1))}[f]_g = 1 & \text{when } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma_1(r))}{\alpha(r)} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta(\gamma_1(r))}{\beta(r)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

In this paper we wish to prove some results relating to the growth rates of composition of two  $p$ -adic entire functions with their corresponding left and right factors on the basis of their generalized relative order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and generalized relative lower order  $(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in L^0$ . Further we assume that throughout the present paper  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1,$  and  $\beta_2$  always denote the functions belonging to  $L^0$ .

**2. Results**

First of all, we recall one related known property which can be found in [12] or [13] and will be needed in order to prove our results, as we see in the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ . Then for all sufficiently large positive numbers of  $r$  the following equality holds*

$$|f(g)|(r) = |f|(|g|(r)).$$

We now prove

THEOREM 2.2. *Let  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ . Also let the generalized relative index-pair of  $f$  with respect to  $h$  be  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  and the generalized index-pair of  $g$  be  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ . Then (i) the generalized relative index-pair of  $f(g)$  is  $(\alpha_1, \beta_2)$  when  $\beta_1(r) = \alpha_2(r)$  and either  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  or  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$ . Also*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \\ & \text{if } \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f] > 0 \text{ and} \\ (b) \quad & \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \\ & \text{if } \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *the generalized relative index-pair of  $f(g)$  is  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2)))$  when  $\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(r)) \in L^0$  and either  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  or  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$ . Also*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2)))}[f(g)]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \text{ if } \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0 \text{ and} \\ (b) \quad & \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2)))}[f(g)]_h = \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \text{ if } \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *the generalized relative index-pair of  $f(g)$  is  $(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)), \beta_2)$  when  $\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(r)) \in L^0$  and either  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  or  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$ . Also*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \varrho_{(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)), \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h = \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \text{ if } \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0 \text{ and} \\ (b) \quad & \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)), \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \text{ if } \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\widehat{|h|}(r)$  is an increasing function of  $r$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 and for all sufficiently large values  $r$  that

$$(1) \quad \alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r))) \geq (\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h - \varepsilon) \beta_1(|g|(r))$$

and also for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity that

$$(2) \quad \alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r))) \geq (\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h - \varepsilon) \beta_1(|g|(r)).$$

Similarly, in view of Lemma 2.1, we have for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$(3) \quad \alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r))) \leq (\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h + \varepsilon) \beta_1(|g|(r)).$$

Now the following two cases may arise:

**Case I.** Let  $\beta_1(r) = \alpha_2(r)$ .

Now we have from (3) for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\alpha_1(\widehat{h}(|f(g)|(r))) \leq (\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h + \varepsilon) (\varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] + \varepsilon) \beta_2(r)$$

$$(4) \quad \text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{h}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_2(r)} \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g].$$

Also from (1), we obtain for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity that

$$\alpha_1(\widehat{h}(|f(g)|(r))) \geq (\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h - \varepsilon) (\varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] - \varepsilon) \beta_2(r)$$

$$(5) \quad \text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{h}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_2(r)} \geq \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g].$$

Moreover, we have from (2) for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity that

$$\alpha_1(\widehat{h}(|f(g)|(r))) \geq (\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h - \varepsilon) (\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] - \varepsilon) \beta_2(r)$$

$$(6) \quad \text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{h}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_2(r)} \geq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g].$$

Therefore from (4) and (5), we get for  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  that

$$\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{h}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_2(r)} \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g]$$

$$(7) \quad \text{i.e., } \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g].$$

Likewise, from (4) and (6), we obtain for  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$  that

$$\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{h}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_2(r)} \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g]$$

$$(8) \quad \text{i.e., } \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g].$$

Also from (7) and (8) one can easily verify that

- (i)  $\varrho_{(\alpha_1(\gamma_1^{-1}), \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h = \infty$   
when  $\alpha_1(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\alpha_1(r)} = +\infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_2(\gamma_1^{-1}))}[f(g)]_h = 0$   
when  $\beta_2(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta_2(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\beta_2(r)} = +\infty$  and
- (iii)  $\varrho_{(\alpha_1(\gamma_1), \beta_2(\gamma_1))}[f(g)]_h = 1$   
when  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\gamma_1(r))}{\alpha_1(r)} = 0$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta_2(\gamma_1(r))}{\beta_2(r)} = 0$ .

Therefore we obtain that the generalized relative index-pair of  $f(g)$  is  $(\alpha_1, \beta_2)$  when  $\beta_1(r) = \alpha_2(r)$  and either  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  or  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$  and thus the first part of the theorem is established.

**Case II.** Let  $\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(r)) \in L^0$ .

Now we obtain from (3) for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\alpha_1(\widehat{h}(|f(g)|(r))) \leq (\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h + \varepsilon) \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\alpha_2(|g|(r))))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{i.e., } \alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r))) \leq (1 + o(1)) (\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h + \varepsilon) \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r))) \\
& \text{i.e., } \alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r))) \leq (1 + o(1)) (\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h + \varepsilon) \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r))) \\
(9) \quad & \text{i.e., } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r)))} \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h.
\end{aligned}$$

Also from (1), we have for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r))) \geq (\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h - \varepsilon) \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}((\varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] + \varepsilon) \beta_2(r))) \\
& \text{i.e., } \alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r))) \geq (1 + o(1)) (\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h - \varepsilon) \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r))) \\
(10) \quad & \text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r)))} \geq \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, we get from (2) for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r))) \geq (\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h - \varepsilon) \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}((\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] - \varepsilon) \beta_2(r))) \\
& \text{i.e., } \alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r))) \geq (1 + o(1)) (\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h - \varepsilon) \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r))) \\
(11) \quad & \text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r)))} \geq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (9) and (10), we get for  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r)))} \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \\
(12) \quad & \text{i.e., } \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2)))} [f(g)]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, from (9) and (11) we get for  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\widehat{|\hbar|}(|f(g)|(r)))}{\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r)))} \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \\
(13) \quad & \text{i.e., } \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2)))} [f(g)]_h = \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h.
\end{aligned}$$

Further from (12) and (13) one can easily verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (i) \quad \varrho_{(\alpha_1(\gamma_1^{-1}), \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2)))} [f(g)]_h = \infty \\
& \text{when } \alpha_1(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\alpha_1(r)} = +\infty, \\
& (ii) \quad \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(\gamma_1^{-1}))))} [f(g)]_h = 0 \\
& \text{when } \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(\gamma_1^{-1}))) \in L^0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))))}{\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r)))} = +\infty \text{ and} \\
& (iii) \quad \varrho_{(\alpha_1(\gamma_1), \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(\gamma_1))))} [f(g)]_h = 1 \\
& \text{when } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(\gamma_1(r))}{\alpha_1(r)} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(\gamma_1(r))))}{\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2(r)))} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get that the generalized index-pair of  $f(g)$  is  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2)))$  when  $\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(r)) \in L^0$  and either  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  or  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$  and thus the second part of the theorem follows.

**Case III.** Let  $\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(r)) \in L^0$

Then we obtain from (3) for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r)))))) &\leq \alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}((\varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h + \varepsilon)\beta_1(|g|(r)))) \\ \text{i.e., } \alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r)))))) &\leq (1 + o(1))\alpha_2(|g|(r)) \\ \text{i.e., } \alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r)))))) &\leq (1 + o(1))(\varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] + \varepsilon)\beta_2(r) \end{aligned}$$

$$(14) \quad \text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r))))))}{\beta_2(r)} \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g].$$

Also from (1) we have for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r)))))) &\geq (1 + o(1))\alpha_2(|g|(r)) \\ \text{i.e., } \alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r)))))) &\geq (1 + o(1))(\varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] - \varepsilon)\beta_2(r) \end{aligned}$$

$$(15) \quad \text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r))))))}{\beta_2(r)} \geq \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g].$$

Similarly, we get from (2) for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r)))))) &\geq (1 + o(1))(\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] - \varepsilon)\beta_2(r) \end{aligned}$$

$$(16) \quad \text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r))))))}{\beta_2(r)} \geq \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g].$$

Therefore from (14) and (15), we obtain for  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r))))))}{\beta_2(r)} \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \\ \text{i.e., } \varrho_{(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)), \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h &= \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(17)$$

Similarly, from (14) and (16) we get for  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\widehat{|h|}(|f(g)|(r))))))}{\beta_2(r)} \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \\ \text{i.e., } \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] &\leq \varrho_{(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)), \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h \leq \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(18)$$

So from (17) and (18) one can easily verify that

- (i)  $\varrho_{(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\gamma_1^{-1}))), \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h = \infty$   
when  $\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\gamma_1^{-1}))) \in L^0$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))))}{\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(r)))} = +\infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\varrho_{(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)), \beta_2(\gamma_1^{-1}))}[f(g)]_h = 0$   
when  $\beta_2(\gamma_1^{-1}) \in L^0$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta_2(\gamma_1^{-1}(r))}{\beta_2(r)} = +\infty$  and
- (iii)  $\varrho_{(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\gamma_1))), \beta_2(\gamma_1))}[f(g)]_h = 1$   
when  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(\gamma_1(r))))}{\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(r)))} = 0$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta_2(\gamma_1(r))}{\beta_2(r)} = 0$ .

So we obtain that the generalized index-pair of  $f(g)$  is  $(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)), \beta_2)$  when  $\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(r)) \in L^0$  and either  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  or  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$  and thus the third part of the theorem is established.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ . Also let the generalized relative index-pair of  $f$  with respect to  $h$  be  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  and the generalized index-pair of  $g$  be  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ . Then*

**(i)** *If  $\beta_1(r) = \alpha_2(r)$ ,  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  and  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] &\leq \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h \\ &\leq \min \{ \varrho_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g], \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h \varrho_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] \}. \end{aligned}$$

**(ii)** *If  $\beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(r)) \in L^0$ ,  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  and  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$ , then*

$$\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1(\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta_2)))}[f(g)]_h = \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h.$$

**(iii)** *If  $\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(r)) \in L^0$ ,  $\lambda_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}[f]_h > 0$  and  $\lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g] > 0$ , then*

$$\lambda_{(\alpha_2(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)), \beta_2)}[f(g)]_h = \lambda_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}[g].$$

In the line of Theorem 2.2 one can easily deduce the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 and so its proof is omitted.

### Acknowledgement

The authors are extremely grateful to the anonymous learned referee for his/her keen reading, valuable suggestions and constructive comments for the improvement of the paper.

### References

- [1] L. Bernal, *Orden relativo de crecimiento de funciones enteras*, Collect. Math., **39** (1988), 209–229.
- [2] T. Biswas and C. Biswas, *On the Growth Properties of Composite  $p$ -adic Entire Functions*, Noor Publishing, Chisinau-2068, Republic of Moldova Europe, 133p., 2021.
- [3] T. Biswas, *Relative  $(p, q)$ - $\varphi$  order oriented some growth properties of  $p$ -adic entire functions*, J. Fract. Calc. Appl., **11** (1) (2020), 161–169.
- [4] T. Biswas, C. Biswas and R. Biswas, *A note on generalized growth analysis of composite entire functions*, Poincare J. Anal. Appl., **7** (2) (2020), 257–266.
- [5] T. Biswas and C. Biswas, *Generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$  order based on some growth properties of wronskians*, Mat. Stud., **54** (1) (2020), 46–55.
- [6] T. Biswas, *Some growth properties of composite  $p$ -adic entire functions on the basis of their relative order and relative lower order*, Asian-Eur. J. Math., **12** (3) (2019), 1950044, 15p., <https://doi.org/10.1142/S179355711950044X>.
- [7] T. Biswas, *Some growth aspects of composite  $p$ -adic entire functions in the light of their  $(p, q)$ -th relative order and  $(p, q)$ -th relative type*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc., **31** (4) (2018), 429–460.
- [8] T. Biswas, *On some growth analysis of  $p$ -adic entire functions on the basis of their  $(p, q)$ -th relative order and  $(p, q)$ -th relative lower order*, Uzbek Math. J., **2018** (4) (2018), 160–169.
- [9] T. Biswas, *Relative order and relative type based growth properties of iterated  $p$ -adic entire functions*, Korean J. Math., **26** (4) (2018), 629–663.
- [10] T. Biswas, *A note on  $(p, q)$ -th relative order and  $(p, q)$ -th relative type of  $p$ -adic entire functions*, Honam Math. J., **40** (4) (2018), 621–659.
- [11] T. Biswas,  *$(p, q)$ -th order oriented growth measurement of composite  $p$ -adic entire functions*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **10** (2) (2018), 248–272.
- [12] K. Boussaf, A. Boutabaa and A. Escassut, *Order, type and cotype of growth for  $p$ -Adic entire functions, A survey with additional properties*,  $p$ -Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Anal. Appl., **8** (4), (2016), 280–297.

- [13] K. Boussaf, A. Boutabaa and A. Escassut, *Growth of  $p$ -adic entire functions and applications*, Houston J. Math., **40** (3) (2014), 715–736.
- [14] A. Escassut, K. Boussaf and A. Boutabaa, *Order, type and cotype of growth for  $p$ -adic entire functions*, Sarajevo J. Math., Dedicated to the memory of Professor Marc Krasner, **12(25)** (2) (2016), 429–446, suppl.
- [15] A. Escassut, *Value Distribution in  $p$ -adic Analysis*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2015.
- [16] A. Escassut and J. Ojeda, *Exceptional values of  $p$ -adic analytic functions and derivative*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., **56** (1-4) (2011), 263–269.
- [17] A. Escassut,  *$p$ -adic Value Distribution. Some Topics on Value Distribution and Differentiability in Complex and  $P$ -adic Analysis*, Math. Monogr., Series 11, Science Press, Beijing, (2008), 42–138.
- [18] A. Escassut, *Analytic Elements in  $p$ -adic Analysis*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. Singapore, 1995.
- [19] P. C. Hu and C. C. Yang, *Meromorphic Functions over non-Archimedean Fields*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.
- [20] O. P. Juneja, G. P. Kapoor and S. K. Bajpai, *On the  $(p, q)$ -type and lower  $(p, q)$ -type of an entire function*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **290** (1977), 180–190.
- [21] O. P. Juneja, G. P. Kapoor and S. K. Bajpai, *On the  $(p, q)$ -order and lower  $(p, q)$ -order of an entire function*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **282** (1976), 53–67.
- [22] A. Robert, *A Course in  $p$ -adic analysis*, Graduate texts, Springer, New York, 2000.
- [23] M. N. Sheremeta, *Connection between the growth of the maximum of the modulus of an entire function and the moduli of the coefficients of its power series expansion*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved Mat., **2** (1967), 100–108 (in Russian).

### Tanmay Biswas

Rajbari, Rabindrapally, R. N. Tagore Road, P.O. Krishnagar, P.S. Kotwali,  
Dist-Nadia, PIN- 741101, West Bengal, India.

*E-mail:* tanmaybiswas\_math@rediffmail.com

### Chinmay Biswas

Department of Mathematics, Nabadwip Vidyasagar College, Nabadwip  
Dist.- Nadia, PIN-741302, West Bengal, India.

*E-mail:* chinmay.shib@gmail.com