## NONTRIVIAL SOLUTIONS FOR AN ELLIPTIC SYSTEM

Hyewon Nam* and Seong Cheol Lee

## Abstract. In this work, we consider an elliptic system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\triangle u=a u+b v+\delta_{1} u^{+}-\delta_{2} u^{-}+f_{1}(x, u, v) & \text { in } \Omega, \\
-\triangle v=b u+c v+\eta_{1} v^{+}-\eta_{2} v^{-}+f_{2}(x, u, v) & \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=v=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. We prove that the system has at least two nontrivial solutions by applying linking theorem.

## 1. Introduction and Background

Presently there are many significant results with respect to the elliptic system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\triangle u=\lambda u+\delta v+h_{1}(x, u, v) \\
-\triangle v=\theta u+\nu v+h_{2}(x, u, v)
\end{array}\right.
$$

in $\Omega$, where $\Omega \subset R^{n}$ is bounded smooth domain, subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions $u=v=0$ on $\partial \Omega, h_{i}, i=1,2$ are real valued functions and $\lambda, \delta, \nu$ and $\theta$ are real numbers. [2,6-8]
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Many authors also investigated the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlr}
-\triangle u & =a u+b v+\left(u^{+}\right)^{p}+f_{1} & \text { in } \Omega, \\
-\triangle v & =b u+a v+\left(v^{+}\right)^{q}+f_{2} & \text { in } \Omega, \\
u & =v=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u^{+}=\max \{0, u(x)\}$. Here $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $R^{n}$ with $n \geq 2$. $[4,5]$

In this paper we prove the existence of two nontrivial solutions for a general elliptic system. We use a variational approach and look for critical points of a suitable functional $I$ on a Hilbert space $H$. Since the functional is strongly indefinite, it is convenient to use the notion of linking theorem. In Section 2, we find a suitable functional $I$ on a Hilbert space $H$. In Section 3, we prove the suitable version of the Palais-Smale condition for the topological method. In Section 4, we apply the two critical points theorem.

We recall some basic theorem and set up some terminology. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $V$ a $C^{2}$ complete connected Finsler manifold. Suppose $H=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$ and let $H_{n}=H_{1 n} \oplus H_{2 n}$ be a sequence of closed subspaces of $H$ such that

$$
H_{i n} \subset H_{i}, \quad 1 \leq \operatorname{dim} H_{\text {in }}<+\infty \quad \text { for each } \quad i=1,2 \quad \text { and } \quad n \in N
$$

Moreover suppose that there exist $e_{1} \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{1 n}$, and $e_{2} \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{2 n}$, with $\left\|e_{1}\right\|=\left\|e_{2}\right\|=1$.

For any $Y$ subspace of $H$, consider $B_{\rho}(Y):=\{u \in Y \mid\|u\| \leq \rho\}$ and denote by $\partial B_{\rho}(Y)$ the boundary of $B_{\rho}(Y)$ relative to $Y$. Furthermore define, for any $e \in H$,

$$
Q_{R}(Y, e):=\{u+a e \in Y \oplus[e] \mid u \in Y, a \geq 0,\|u+a d\| \leq R\}
$$

and denote by $\partial Q_{R}(Y, e)$ its boundary relative to $Y \oplus[e]$, and denote by $X=H \times V$.

We recall the two critical points theorem in [3].
Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $f$ satisfies the $(P S)^{*}$ condition with respect to $H_{n}$. In addition assume that there exist $\rho$, $R$, such that $0<\rho<R$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\partial Q_{R}\left(H_{2}, e_{1}\right) \times V} f & <\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}\left(H_{1}\right) \times V} f, \\
\sup _{Q_{R}\left(H_{2}, e_{1}\right) \times V} f<+\infty, & \inf _{B_{\rho}\left(H_{1}\right) \times V} f<-\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exist at least 2 critical levels of $f$. Moreover the critical levels satisfy the following inequalities

$$
\inf _{B_{\rho}\left(H_{1}\right) \times V} f \leq c_{1} \leq \sup _{\partial Q_{R}\left(H_{2}, e_{1}\right) \times V} f<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}\left(H_{1}\right) \times V} f \leq c_{2} \leq \sup _{Q_{R}\left(H_{2}, e_{1}\right) \times V} f,
$$

and there exist at least $2+2$ cuplength $(V)$ critical points of $f$.

## 2. Notations and main result

Let $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary and $H=$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, the usual Sobolev space with the norm $\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x$.

In this paper, we consider the existence of nontrivial solutions to the elliptic system
(1) $\left\{\begin{array}{cc}-\triangle u=a u+b v+\delta_{1} u^{+}-\delta_{2} u^{-}+f_{1}(x, u, v) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ -\triangle v=b u+c v+\eta_{1} v^{+}-\eta_{2} v^{-}+f_{2}(x, u, v) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=v=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{array}\right.$

And there exists a function $F: \bar{\Omega} \times R^{2} \rightarrow R$ such that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}=f_{1}$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}=f_{2}$ without loss of generality, we set

$$
F(x, u, v)=\int_{(0,0)}^{(u, v)} f_{1}(x, u, v) d u+f_{2}(x, u, v) d v
$$

Then $F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times R^{2}, R\right)$.
We consider the following assumptions.
(F1) There exist $M>0$ and $\alpha>2$ such that

$$
0<\alpha F(x, u, v) \leq u F_{u}(x, u, v)+v F_{v}(x, u, v)
$$

for all $(x, u, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times R^{2}$ with $u^{2}+v^{2}>M^{2}$.
(F2) There exist constants $a_{1}>0$ and $a_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left|F_{u}(x, u, v)\right|+\left|F_{v}(x, u, v)\right| \leq a_{1}+a_{2}\left(|u|^{r}+|v|^{r}\right)
$$

where $1 \leq r<(N+2) /(N-2)$ if $N>2,1 \leq r<\infty$ otherwise.
(F3) For $(0, v) \rightarrow(0,0)$,

$$
\frac{F(x, 0, v)}{v^{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Remark 2.1. The condition (F1) shows that there exist constants $b_{1}>0$ and $b_{2}$ such that(cf. [1] )

$$
F(x, u, v) \geq b_{1}\left(|u|^{\alpha}+|v|^{\alpha}\right)-b_{2} .
$$

Let $\lambda_{k}$ denote the eigenvalues and $e_{k}$ the corresponding eigenfunctions, suitably normalized with respect to $L^{2}(\Omega)$ inner product, of the eigenvalue problem $-\Delta u=\lambda u$ in $\Omega$, with Dirichlet boundary condition, where each eigenvalue $\lambda_{k}$ is respected as often as its multiplicity. We recall that $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \cdots, \lambda_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$ and that $e_{1}>0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Then $H=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in N\right\}$.

Let $e_{i}^{1}=\left(e_{i}, 0\right)$ and $e_{i}^{2}=\left(0, e_{i}\right)$. We define $H_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{i}^{j} \mid i \in N\right\}$, for $j=1,2$ and $E=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$ with the norm $\|(u, v)\|_{E}^{2}=\|u\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2}$.

We define the energy functional associated to (1) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u, v)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(a u^{2}+2 b u v+c v^{2}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{1}\left(u^{+}\right)^{2}-\delta_{2}\left(u^{-}\right)^{2}+\eta_{1}\left(v^{+}\right)^{2}-\eta_{2}\left(v^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v, w) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $I \in C^{1}(E, R)$ and thus it makes sense to lock for solutions to (1) in weak sense as critical points for $I$ i.e. $(u, v) \in E$ such that $I^{\prime}(u, v)=0$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime}(u, v) \cdot(\phi, \psi)= & \int_{\Omega}(\nabla u \nabla \phi+\nabla v \nabla \psi) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}(a u \phi+b v \phi+b u \psi+c v \psi) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{1} u^{+} \phi-\delta_{2} u^{-} \phi+\eta_{1} v^{+} \psi-\eta_{2} v^{-} \psi\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(f_{1}(x, u, v) \phi+f_{2}(x, u, v) \psi\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will prove the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Assume $F$ satisfies (F1), (F2) and (F3) with $\alpha=$ $r+1$. If $a, b, c, \delta$, and $\eta$ are positive with $a+b+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}<\lambda_{1}$ and $b+c+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}<\lambda_{1}$ then system (1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

## 3. The Palais Smale star condition

In this section we will prove the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition which was required for the application of Theorem 1.1. In the following, we consider the
following sequence of subspaces of $E$ :

$$
E_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{i}^{j} \mid i=1, \cdots, n \quad \text { and } \quad j=1,2\right\}, \quad \text { for } n \geq 1
$$

Lemma 3.1. Assume $F$ satisfies (F1) and (F2) with $\alpha=r+1$. If $a+b+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}<\lambda_{1}$ and $b+c+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}<\lambda_{1}$, then any $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ sequence is bounded.

Proof. Let $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\} \subset E$ be a sequence such that

$$
\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in E_{n}, \quad I\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad I_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

In the following we denote different constants by $C_{1}, C_{2}$ etc. (F1) and Remark imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}+\frac{1}{2} o(1)\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right) & \geq I\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} I_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \cdot\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n} f_{1}+v_{n} f_{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}-1\right) \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x  \tag{3}\\
& \geq\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}-1\right) b_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}+\left|v_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\right) d x-C_{2} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}-1\right) b_{1}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\alpha}}^{\alpha}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right)-C_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq & I_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \cdot\left(u_{n}, 0\right) \\
= & \left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left(a u_{n}^{2}+b u_{n} v_{n}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{1}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\delta_{2}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} f_{1}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right) u_{n} d x \\
o(1)\left\|v_{n}\right\| \geq & I_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \cdot\left(0, v_{n}\right) \\
= & \left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left(b u_{n} v_{n}+c v_{n}^{2}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\eta_{1}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\eta_{2}\left(v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} f_{2}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right) v_{n} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{+}\right)^{2} d x \leq\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\|u\|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{-}\right)^{2} d x \leq\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\|u\|^{2}
$$

for $u \in H$. Using (F2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & o(1)\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(a u_{n}^{2}+2 b u_{n} v_{n}+c v_{n}^{2}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{1}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\delta_{2}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(\eta_{1}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\eta_{2}\left(v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n} f_{1}+v_{n} f_{2}\right) d x \\
\leq & o(1)\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& +\frac{a+b+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{a+b+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +C_{3} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{r+1}+\left|v_{n}\right|^{r+1}\right) d x+C_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) imply that if $a+b+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}<\lambda_{1}$ and $b+c+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}<\lambda_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & o(1) C_{5}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& +C_{6} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{r+1}+\left|v_{n}\right|^{r+1}\right) d x+C_{7} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3), (5) and using $\alpha=r+1$, one infers that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq o(1) C_{8}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right)+C_{9}
$$

This yields $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded.
Lemma 3.2. Assume $F$ satisfies (F1) and (F2) with $\alpha=r+1$. If $a+b+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}<\lambda_{1}$ and $b+c+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}<\lambda_{1}$, then the functional $I$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition with respect to $E_{n}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, any $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ sequence $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ in $E$ is bounded and hence $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence. That is there exist a subsequence $\left\{\left(u_{n_{j}}, v_{n_{j}}\right)\right\}$ and $(u, v) \in E$, with $u_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup u$ and $v_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup v$. Since $\left\{u_{n_{j}}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n_{j}}\right\}$ are bounded, by Remark of RellichKondrachov compactness theorem [4], $u_{n_{j}} \rightarrow u, v_{n_{j}} \rightarrow v$ and thus $I$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition.

## 4. Proof of main theorem

Lemma 4.1. Assume $F$ satisfies (F3). If $c<\lambda_{1}$, then there exists $\rho_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\inf _{\partial B_{\rho_{1}}\left(H_{2}\right)} I>0 .
$$

Proof. By (F3), for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
0<\|v\|<\rho \Rightarrow|F(x, 0, v)|<\varepsilon|v|^{2} .
$$

Then $\left|\int_{\Omega} F(x, 0, v) d x\right|<\int_{\Omega}|F(x, 0, v)| d x<\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon|v|^{2} d x<\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{1}}\|v\|^{2}$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(0, v)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x-\frac{c}{2} \int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\eta_{1}\left(v^{+}\right)^{2}-\eta_{2}\left(v^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, 0, v) d x \\
> & \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^{2}-\frac{c+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}{2 \lambda_{1}}\|v\|^{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{1}}\|v\|^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{c+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+2 \varepsilon}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\|v\|^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the result for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. Therefore we can choose $0<\rho_{1}<\rho$ such that $I(0, v)>0$ for any $\|v\|=\rho_{1}$.

Lemma 4.2. Assume $F$ satisfies (F1). If $a, b, c, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \eta_{1}$, and $\eta_{2}$ are positive, then there exists an $R>0$ such that for any $R_{1}>R$

$$
\sup _{\partial Q_{R_{1}}\left(H_{1}, e_{1}^{2}\right)} I<0 .
$$

Proof. In the following we denote different constants by $C_{1}, C_{2}$ etc. Remark implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(u, \beta e_{1}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{\lambda_{1} \beta^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a u^{2} d x-b \lambda_{1} \beta-\frac{c \beta^{2}}{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\delta_{1}\left(u^{+}\right)^{2}-\delta_{2}\left(u^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\eta_{1}\left(\left(\beta e_{1}\right)^{+}\right)^{2}-\eta_{2}\left(\left(\beta e_{1}\right)^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u, \beta e_{1}\right) d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{1} \beta^{2}}{2}-b \lambda_{1} \beta+\frac{\delta_{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{-}\right)^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{\eta_{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\beta e_{1}\right)^{-}\right)^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u, \beta e_{1}\right) d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{1} \beta^{2}}{2}-b \lambda_{1} \beta+\frac{\delta_{2}}{2 \lambda_{1}}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{\eta_{2} \beta^{2}}{2 \lambda_{1}} \\
& -b_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{\alpha}+\left|\beta e_{1}\right|^{\alpha}\right) d x+C_{1} \\
\leq & \frac{\lambda_{1}+\delta_{2}}{2 \lambda_{1}}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\eta_{2}\right) \beta^{2}}{2 \lambda_{1}}-b \lambda_{1} \beta-C_{2}\|u\|^{\alpha}-C_{3}|\beta|^{\alpha}+C_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $(u, 0) \in H_{1}$ and any constant $\beta$. Since $\alpha>2, I\left(u, \beta e_{1}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ for $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ or $|\beta| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we can choose $0<R_{1}<\infty$ such that $I\left(u, \beta e_{1}\right)<0$ for any $\left\|\left(u, \beta e_{1}\right)\right\|_{E}=R_{1}$.

## Proof of Theorem 2.1.

By Lemma 4.1 and 4.2, there exists $0<\rho_{1}<R_{1}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\partial Q_{R_{1}}\left(H_{1}, e_{1}^{2}\right)} I<0<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho_{1}}\left(H_{2}\right)} I .
$$

By Theorem 1.1, $I(u, v)$ has at least two nonzero critical values $c_{1}, c_{2}$

$$
\inf _{B \rho_{1}\left(H_{2}\right)} I \leq c_{1} \leq \sup _{\partial Q_{R_{1}}\left(H_{1}, e_{1}^{2}\right)} I<\inf _{\partial B \rho_{1}\left(H_{2}\right)} I \leq c_{2} \leq \sup _{Q_{R_{1}}\left(H_{1}, e_{1}^{2}\right)} I
$$

Therefore, (1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.
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