INTEGRAL DOMAINS WITH FINITELY MANY STAR OPERATIONS OF FINITE TYPE

Gyu Whan Chang

ABSTRACT. Let D be an integral domain and SF(D) be the set of star operations of finite type on D. We show that if $|SF(D)| < \infty$, then every maximal ideal of D is a t-ideal. We give an example of integrally closed quasi-local domains D in which the maximal ideal is divisorial (so a t-ideal) but $|SF(D)| = \infty$. We also study the integrally closed domains D with $|SF(D)| \le 2$.

1. Introduction

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K. Let $\mathbf{F}(D)$ be the set of nonzero fractional ideals of D. A mapping $I \mapsto I^*$ of $\mathbf{F}(D)$ into $\mathbf{F}(D)$ is called a *star-operation* on D if for all $0 \neq a \in K$ and $I, J \in \mathbf{F}(D)$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $(aD)^* = aD$ and $(aI)^* = aI^*$,
- (2) $I \subseteq I^*$; $I \subseteq J$ implies $I^* \subseteq J^*$, and
- (3) $(I^*)^* = I^*$.

Given any star operation * on D, one can construct a new star operation $*_f$ by setting $I^{*_f} = \bigcup \{J^* | J \text{ is a nonzero finitely generated subideal of } I\}$ for all $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$. A star operation * on D is said to be of *finite type* if $*_f = *$. Obviously, $(*_f)_f = *_f$, and hence $*_f$ is of finite type. Clearly, $I^* = I^{*_f}$ for all nonzero finitely generated fractional ideals I of D; so if D is a Noetherian domain, then each star operation on D is of finite type. An $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ is called a *-ideal if $I^* = I$, while a *-ideal is called

Received April 7, 2012. Revised June 7, 2012. Accepted June 10, 2012.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15, 13G05.

Key words and phrases: star operation of finite type, Prüfer domain, pseudo-valuation domain.

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0007069).

a maximal *-ideal if it is maximal among proper integral *-ideals. Let *-Max(D) denote the set of maximal *-ideals of D. It is well known that a maximal *-ideal is a prime ideal; each prime ideal minimal over a *_f-ideal is a *_f-ideal; and *_f-Max(D) $\neq \emptyset$ if D is not a field. A star operation * on D is said to be stable if $(I \cap J)^* = I^* \cap J^*$ for all $I, J \in \mathbf{F}(D)$. Recall that * is endlich arithmetisch brauchbar (e.a.b.) if $(AB)^* \subseteq (AC)^*$ for all nonzero finitely generated fractional ideals A, B, C of D implies $B^* \subseteq C^*$.

The most well-known examples of star operations are the d-, v-, and t-operations. The d-operation is just the identity function on $\mathbf{F}(D)$; so $d = d_f$. The v-operation is defined by $I_v = (I^{-1})^{-1}$, where $I^{-1} = \{x \in K | xI \subseteq D\}$, and the t-operation is given by $t = v_f$. We say that a v-ideal is a divisorial ideal. For two star operations $*_1$ and $*_2$ on D, we mean by $*_1 \le *_2$ that $I^{*_1} \subseteq I^{*_2}$ for all $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$. Clearly, if $*_1 \le *_2$, then $(*_1)_f \le (*_2)_f$. We know that if * is any star operation on D, then $d \le * \le v$, and hence $d \le *_f \le t$. For basic properties of star operations, see [7, Sections 32 and 34].

Let S(D) (resp., SF(D)) be the set of star operations (resp., star operations of finite type) on D; so $SF(D) \subseteq S(D)$. In [11, Proposition 2.1], it was shown that if $|S(D)| < \infty$, then each maximal ideal of D is a t-ideal. It is clear that if $|S(D)| < \infty$, then $|SF(D)| < \infty$, but not vice versa (for example, if D is an h-local Prüfer domain that has infinitely many nondivisorial maximal ideals, then |SF(D)| = 1 and $|S(D)| = \infty$ [11, Corollary 3.2]). So it is reasonable to ask what happens if $|SF(D)| < \infty$. Specifically, is it true that $|SF(D)| < \infty$ if and only if each maximal ideal of D is a t-ideal? The purpose of this paper is to give an answer to this question. Precisely, we show that if $|SF(D)| < \infty$, then each maximal ideal of D is a t-ideal. We give an example of integrally closed domains D in which each maximal ideal is a t-ideal but $|SF(D)| = \infty$. We also study the integrally closed domains D with $|SF(D)| \le 2$.

2. Main Results

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K. Let S(D) (resp., SF(D)) be the set of star operations (resp., star operations of finite type) on D.

We begin this section with a necessary condition for $|SF(D)| < \infty$, which is a simple modification of [11, Proposition 2.1(2)] that if $|S(D)| < \infty$, then each maximal ideal of D is a t-ideal.

LEMMA 1. Let I be a nonzero finitely generated ideal of D with $I_v = D$. For each integer $n \geq 1$, let $E^{*_n} = (I^n : (I^n : E))$ for all $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$. Then $*_n$ is a star operation on D such that $(*_n)_f \neq (*_m)_f$ for all positive integers $n \neq m$.

Proof. Note that $(I^n:I^n)=D$; so $*_n$ is a star operation on D [10, Proposition 3.2]. Also, by the proof of [11, Proposition 2.1], for 0 < m < n, $(I^n)^{*_n} = I^n$ and $(I^n)^{*_m} = I^m$. Note that $I^n \neq I^m$ for $n \neq m$ [13, Theorem 76] and I^n is finitely generated for all $n \geq 1$. Hence $(I^n)^{(*_n)_f} = (I^n)^{*_n} = I^n \neq I^m = (I^n)^{*_m} = (I^n)^{(*_m)_f}$. Thus $(*_n)_f \neq (*_m)_f$.

THEOREM 2. If $|SF(D)| < \infty$, then each maximal ideal of D is a t-ideal.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there is a maximal ideal M of D with $M_t = D$. Then there is a nonzero finitely generated subideal I of M such that $I_v = I_t = D$. Hence if we set $E^{*n} = (I^n : (I^n : E))$ for each $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$, then $*_n$ is a star operation on D such that $(*_n)_f \neq (*_m)_f$ for all positive integers $m \neq n$ by Lemma 1. Thus $|SF(D)| = \infty$, a contradiction. Thus each maximal ideal of D is a t-ideal.

Let $SF_s(D)$ be the set of stable star operations of finite type on D; so $SF_s(D) \subseteq SF(D)$. In [3, Theorem 4], it was shown that if Ω is the set of nonzero prime ideals P of D with $P_t = D$, then $|\Omega| + 1 \le |SF_s(D)| \le 2^{|\Omega|}$. Hence each maximal ideal of D is a t-ideal if and only if $|SF_s(D)| = 1$.

COROLLARY 3. If $|SF_s(D)| \ge 2$, then $|SF(D)| = \infty$.

Proof. If $|SF_s(D)| \ge 2$, then D has at least one maximal ideal that is not a t-ideal [3, Theorem 4]. Thus $|SF(D)| = \infty$ by Theorem 2.

As in [8], we say that a prime ideal P of D is strongly prime if $xy \in P$ and $x, y \in K$ imply $x \in P$ or $y \in P$, while D is a pseudo-valuation domain (PVD) if every prime ideal of D is strongly prime. It is known that D is a PVD if and only if D is quasi-local whose maximal ideal is strongly prime if and only if there exists a valuation overring V of D such that $\operatorname{Spec}(V) = \operatorname{Spec}(D)$ [8, Theorem 2.7].

We next give an example of integral domains whose maximal ideals are t-ideals but $|SF(D)| = \infty$, which shows that the converse of Theorem 2 does not hold.

EXAMPLE 4. Let \mathbb{R} be the field of real numbers, y, z be indeterminates over \mathbb{R} , $K = \mathbb{R}(y, z)$ be the quotient field of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[y, z]$, X be an indeterminate over K, $V = K[\![X]\!]$ be the power series ring over K (so V is a rank-one DVR), and $D = \mathbb{R} + XK[\![X]\!]$. It is clear that D is an integrally closed PVD, $V/XK[\![X]\!] = K$, and $D/XK[\![X]\!] = \mathbb{R}$ (so trdeg $(K,\mathbb{R}) = 2$). Hence D has infinitely many e.a.b. star operations of finite type [4, Theorem 4.10]. Thus $|SF(D)| = \infty$.

Given an e.a.b. star operation on an integrally closed domain D, the Kronecker function ring of D with respect to * is defined by

$$Kr(D,*) = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{f}{g} \mid 0 \neq f, g \in D[X] \text{ and } c(f)^* \subseteq c(g)^*\},$$

where c(h) denotes the ideal of D generated by the coefficients of an $h \in D[X]$. It is well known that Kr(D,*) is a Bezout domain and $Kr(D,*) \cap K = D$ [7, Theorem 32.7].

Let $SF_e(D)$ be the set of e.a.b. star operations of finite type on D. It is known that $SF_e(D) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if D is integrally closed [7, Corollary 32.8]. Also, there is a bijection between $SF_e(D)$ and the set of Kronecker function rings of D (cf. [7, Remark 32.9]). We next give a lower bound of $|SF_e(D)|$. (Note that Example 4 shows that the equality of Proposition 5 need not hold, but the equality attains when D is a Prüfer domain.)

PROPOSITION 5. If D is integrally closed, then $|SF_s(D)| \leq |SF_e(D)|$.

Proof. Let $* \in SF_s(D)$. Then we can construct an e.a.b. star operation $*_c$ of finite type on D such that $*-\operatorname{Max}(D) = *_c-\operatorname{Max}(D)$ [2, Lemma 3.1]. Recall that if $*' \in SF_s(D)$, then $I^{*'} = \bigcap_{P \in *'-\operatorname{Max}(D)} ID_P$ for all $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ [1, Corollary 4.2]; so if $*_1 \in SF_s(D)$ with $*_1 \neq *$, then $*_1-\operatorname{Max}(D) \neq *-\operatorname{Max}(D)$. Hence $*_c-\operatorname{Max}(D) \neq (*_1)_c-\operatorname{Max}(D)$, and thus $*_c \neq (*_1)_c$. This completes the proof.

We next study the integrally closed domains D with $|SF(D)| \leq 2$. To do this, we first need the notion of a b-operation that is an e.a.b. star operation of finite type on an integrally closed domain D defined by $E^b = \cap \{EV|V \text{ is a valuation overring of } D\}$ for all $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ [7, pp. 397-398]. Clearly, the b-operation is defined on D if and only if D is

integrally closed [7, Corollary 32.8]. Also, it is easy to see that d=b if and only if D is a Prüfer domain [7, Theorem 24.7]. This result implies the following theorem.

Theorem 6. If D is integrally closed, the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) D is a Prüfer domain.
- (2) |SF(D)| = 1.
- $(3) |SF_s(D)| = |SF(D)| < \infty.$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3) If D is a Prüfer domain, then d=t, and thus $SF_s(D) = SF(D) = \{d\}$. (3) \Rightarrow (2) This follows directly from Corollary 3. (2) \Rightarrow (1) Note that $\{d,b\} \subseteq SF(D)$; so d=b. Thus D is a Prüfer domain.

Recall that D is a v-domain if the v-operation on D is an e.a.b star operation; so Kr(D,v) is defined on a v-domain D and $Kr(D,b) \subseteq Kr(D,*) \subseteq Kr(D,v)$ for any e.a.b. star operation * on D. It is known that D is a v-domain if and only if each nonzero finitely generated ideal of D is v-invertible [7, Theorem 34.6]. Also, b = t if and only if D is a v-domain [5, Proposition 35]. As in [4], we say that D is a v-acant v-domain if v-acant domain if v-acant domain if v-acant domain if and only if the v-operation is a unique v-acant operation of finite type on v-acant domain if v-acant domain if and only if the v-operation is a unique v-acant operation of finite type on v-acant

It is clear that PvMDs are v-domains, but v-domains need not be PvMDs (for example, a one-dimensional completely integrally closed domain that is not a valuation domain is a v-domain but not a PvMD (cf. [6, pp. 157-161])). However, if each maximal t-ideal of D is divisorial, then v-domains are PvMDs. (For if I is a nonzero finitely generated fractional ideal of D, then $(II^{-1})_v = D$, and hence $II^{-1} \nsubseteq P$, because $P_v = P$, for all $P \in t\text{-Max}(D)$. Thus $(II^{-1})_t = D$.)

THEOREM 7. If D is an integrally closed domain with |SF(D)| = 2, then

- (1) D is not a Prüfer domain,
- (2) D is a vacant v-domain whose maximal ideals are t-ideals, and
- (3) D has a nondivisorial maximal t-ideal.

Proof. (1) This follows directly from Theorem 6.

(2) Recall that $d \leq b \leq t$. If d = b, then D is a Prüfer domain, a contradiction. Hence b = t by hypothesis, and thus D is a vacant

v-domain [5, Proposition 35]. Also, by Theorem 2, each maximal ideal of D is a t-ideal.

(3) By the remark before Theorem 7, if each maximal ideal of D is divisorial, then a v-domain is a Prüfer domain. Thus D has at least one maximal t-ideal that is not a divisorial ideal.

COROLLARY 8. Let D be an integrally closed PVD with maximal ideal M.

- (1) If D is not a valuation domain, then $|SF(D)| \ge 3$.
- (2) If |S(D)| = 2, then D is a valuation domain and $M_v = D$.
- (3) $|SF(D)| \neq 2$.
- *Proof.* (1) If |SF(D)| = 1, then D is a Prüfer domain by Theorem 6, and since D is quasi-local, D is a valuation domain. Next, if |SF(D)| = 2, then D is a v-domain by Theorem 7, and hence D is a Prüfer domain because $M_v = M$. Thus D is a valuation domain.
- (2) Note that $d \leq b \leq t \leq v$; so $d \neq v$ and either d = b or b = v. If d = b, then D is a valuation domain, and since $d \neq v$, we have $M_v = D$ [9, Lemma 5.2]. Next, if b = v (so t = v), then $S(D) = SF(D) = \{d, v\}$, and hence D is a valuation domain by (1). But, in this case, d = t = v, a contradiction. Moreover, since $d \neq v$, we have $M_v = D$ [9, Lemma 5.2].
- (3) If D is a valuation domain, then |SF(D)| = 1. Thus $|SF(D)| \neq 2$ by (1).

Added to the proof. Recently, Houston, Mimouni and Park showed that if D is an integrally closed domain, then $|SF(D)| < \infty$ if and only if D is a Prüfer domain [12, Theorem 5.3]. Thus, there does not exist an integrally closed domain D with |SF(D)| = 2 (cf. Theorem 7) and if D is an integrally closed PVD that is not a valuation domain, then $|SF(D)| = \infty$ (cf. Corollary 8(1) and (3)).

References

- [1] D.D. Anderson, Star-operations induced by overrings, Comm. Algebra 16 (1988), 2535–2553.
- [2] G.W. Chang, Prüfer *-multiplication domains, Nagata rings, and Kronecker function rings, J. Algebra 319 (2008), 309–319.
- [3] G.W. Chang, On the cardinality of stable star operations of finite type on an integral domain, C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I(2012), in press.

- [4] A. Fabbri, Integral domains having a unique Kronecker function ring, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), 1069–1084.
- [5] M. Fontana and G. Picozza, On some classes of integral domains defined by Krull's a.b. operations, J. Algebra **341** (2011), 179–197.
- [6] M. Fontana and M. Zafrullah, On v-domains: a survey, in: M. Fontana, S. Kabbaj, B. Olberding, I. Swansm (Eds.), Commutative Algebra, Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Persepectives, Springer, New York, 2011, 145–180.
- [7] R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Queen's Papers in Pure Appl. Math. 90, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1992.
- [8] J.R. Hedstrom and E.G. Housotn, *Pseudo-valuation domains*, Pacific J. Math. **75** (1978), 137–147.
- [9] W.J. Heinzer, Integral domains in which each non-zero ideal is divisorial, Matematika 15 (1968), 164170.
- [10] W. Heinzer, J.A. Huckaba, and I. Papick, m-canonical ideals in integral domains, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), 3021–3043.
- [11] E. Houston, A. Mimouni, and M.H. Park, *Integral domains which admit at most two star operations*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 1907–1921.
- [12] E. Houston, A. Mimouni, and M.H. Park, Integrally closed domains with only finitely many star operations, preprint.
- [13] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, 1994.

Department of Mathematics University of Incheon Incheon 406-772, Korea

E-mail: whan@incheon.ac.kr