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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the general solution and the Hyers-Ulam stability of $n$-variable additive functional equation of the form $$
\Im\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} \Im\left(x_{i}\right),
$$ where $n$ is a positive integer with $n \geq 2$, in Banach spaces by using the direct method.


## 1. Introduction

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [16] concerning the stability of group homeomorphisms. Hyers [7] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki [2] for additive mappings and by Rassias [13] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference(see $[1,4,6,10,12,14,15]$ ). A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Gavruta by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach (see [3, 5, 7-9, 11]).
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The Cauchy additive functional equation is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Im(x+y)=\Im(x)+\Im(y) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, we introduce and investigate the general solution and the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Im\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} \Im\left(x_{i}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is a positive integer with $n \geq 2$, in Banach spaces by using the direct method. Here after, throughout this paper, let us consider $X$ and $Y$ to be a normed space and a Banach space, respectively. Assume that $n$ is a positive integer with $n \geq 2$. For convience,

$$
D \Im\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right):=\Im\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} x_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} \Im\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$.

## 2. Solution of the additive functional equation (1.2)

In this section, we investigate a general solution of the additive functional equation (1.2).

Lemma 2.1. If a mapping $\Im: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.1) if and only if $\Im: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2) under the assumption that if $n$ is odd then $\Im(0)=0$.

Proof. Setting $(x, y)=(0,0)$ in (1.1), we get $\Im(0)=0$. Replacing $(x, y)$ by $(x,-x)$ in (1.1), we have $\Im(-x)=-\Im(x)$ for all $x \in X$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Im(x-y)=\Im(x)+\Im(-y)=\Im(x)-\Im(y) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It follows from (1.1) and (2.3) that (1.2) holds for $n \geq 2$.
Assume that $n$ is even. Letting $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$ in (1.2), we get $\Im(0)=0$. Letting $x_{1}=x_{3}=x_{4}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$ in (1.2), we get $\Im\left(-x_{2}\right)=-\Im\left(x_{2}\right)$ for all $x_{2} \in X$. Letting $x_{3}=x_{4}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$ in (1.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Im\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)=\Im\left(x_{1}\right)-\Im\left(x_{2}\right)=\Im\left(x_{1}\right)+\Im\left(-x_{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$. Replacing $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ by $(x,-y)$ in (2.4), we get

$$
\Im(x+y)=\Im(x)+\Im(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
Assume that $n$ is odd. Letting $x_{1}=x_{3}=x_{4}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$ in (1.2), we get $\Im\left(-x_{2}\right)=-\Im\left(x_{2}\right)$ for all $x_{2} \in X$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Im\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)=\Im\left(x_{1}\right)-\Im\left(x_{2}\right)=\Im\left(x_{1}\right)+\Im\left(-x_{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$. Replacing $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ by $(x,-y)$ in (2.5), we get

$$
\Im(x+y)=\Im(x)+\Im(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

## 3. Stability results for even positive integers in (1.2)

In this section, we present the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.2) for even positive integers $n$. Assume that $n$ is even.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\theta: X^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta\left(n^{k} x_{1}, n^{k} x_{2}, \cdots, n^{k} x_{n}\right)}{n^{k}}<\infty \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n} \in X$. Let $\Im: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Im\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} x_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} \Im\left(x_{i}\right)\right\| \leq \theta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X$. There exists a unique additive mapping $A$ : $X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Im(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \Phi(x,-x, x,-x, \cdots, \cdots, x,-x) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping $A(x)$ is defined by

$$
A(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Im\left(n^{k} x\right)}{n^{k}}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Letting $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=(x,-x, x,-x, \cdots, x,-x)$ in (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Im(n x)-n \Im(x)\| \leq \theta(x,-x, x,-x, \ldots, x,-x) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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for all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\Im(n x)}{n}-\Im(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \theta(x,-x, x,-x, \cdots, x,-x) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing $x$ by $n^{l-1} x$ in (3.10) and dividing by $n^{l-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\Im\left(n^{l} x\right)}{n^{l}}-\frac{\Im\left(n^{l-1} x\right)}{n^{l-1}}\right\|  \tag{3.11}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{l}} \theta\left(n^{l-1} x,-n^{l-1} x, n^{l-1} x,-n^{l-1} x, \cdots, n^{l-1} x,-n^{l-1} x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.11) and the triangle inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\Im\left(n^{k} x\right)}{n^{k}}-\Im(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n^{l}} \theta\left(n^{l} x,-n^{l} x, n^{l} x,-n^{l} x, \cdots, n^{l} x,-n^{l} x\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Replacing $x$ by $n^{m} x$ and dividing $n^{m}$ in (3.12), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\Im\left(n^{k+m} x\right)}{n^{k+m}}-\frac{\Im\left(n^{m} x\right)}{n^{m}}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n^{l+m}} \theta\left(n^{l+m} x,-n^{l+m} x, n^{l+m} x,-n^{l+m} x, \cdots, n^{l+m} x,-n^{l+m} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence the sequence $\left\{\frac{\Im\left(n^{m} x\right)}{n^{m}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $Y$ is complete, there exists a mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $A(x)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Im\left(n^{m} x\right)}{n^{m}}$ for all $x \in X$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.12), we get that (3.8) holds for $x \in X$.

To prove that $A$ satisfies (1.2), replacing $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ by $\underbrace{(x, x, \quad 0, \ldots, 0)}$ and dividing $(n-2)^{n}$ in (3.7), we obtain ${ }_{n-2 \text {-times }}{ }_{n-2 \text {-times }}$

$$
\frac{1}{n^{k}}\left\|D \Im\left(n^{k} x_{1}, n^{k} x_{2}, \cdots, n^{k} x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{n^{k}} \theta\left(n^{k} x_{1}, n^{k} x_{2}, \cdots, n^{k} x_{n}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n} \in X$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and using the definition of $A(x)$, we obtain that $D A\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=0$. By Lemma 2.1, $A$ is additive.

To show that $A$ is unique, let $B(x)$ be another additive mapping satisfying (1.2) and (3.8). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|A(x)-B(x)\|=\frac{1}{n^{k}}\left\|A\left(n^{k} x\right)-B\left(n^{k} x\right)\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{k}}\left\|A\left(n^{k} x\right)-\Im\left(n^{k} x\right)+\Im\left(n^{k} x\right)-B\left(n^{k} x\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{k}}\left\|A\left(n^{k} x\right)-\Im\left(n^{k} x\right)\right\|+\frac{1}{n^{k}}\left\|\Im\left(n^{k} x\right)-B\left(n^{k} x\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{2}{n^{k+1}} \Phi\left(n^{k} x,-n^{k} x, n^{k} x,-n^{k} x, \cdots, n^{k} x,-n^{k} x\right) \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence $A$ is unique.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\theta: X^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$
\Psi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right):=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{k} \theta\left(\frac{x_{1}}{n^{k}}, \frac{x_{2}}{n^{k}}, \cdots, \frac{x_{n}}{n^{k}}\right)<\infty
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n} \in X$. Let $\Im: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (3.7). There exists a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies

$$
\|\Im(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \Psi(x,-x, x,-x, \cdots, \cdots, x,-x)
$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping $A(x)$ is defined by

$$
A(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{k} \Im\left(\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. It follows from (3.9) that

$$
\left\|\Im(x)-n \Im\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right\| \leq \theta\left(\frac{x}{n},-\frac{x}{n}, \cdots, \frac{x}{n},-\frac{x}{n}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.
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Corollary 3.3. Let $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ be positive real numbers with $\gamma \neq 1$. Let $\Im: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D \Im\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{\gamma} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n} \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|\Im(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{n \lambda\|x\|^{\gamma}}{\left|n-n^{\gamma}\right|}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

## 4. Stability results for odd positive integers in (1.2)

In this section, we obtain the Hyers-Hyers stability of the functional equation (1.2) for odd positive integers. Assume that $n$ is odd.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\theta: X^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$
\Phi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta\left((n-1)^{k} x_{1},(n-1)^{k} x_{2}, \cdots,(n-1)^{k} x_{n}\right)}{(n-1)^{k}}<\infty
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n} \in X$. Let $\Im: X^{n} \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying (3.7). There exists a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Im(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \Phi(x,-x, x,-x, \cdots, x,-x, 0) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping $A(x)$ is defined by

$$
A(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left((n-1)^{k} x\right)}{(n-1)^{k}}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Since $\Im$ is odd, $\Im(0)=0$.
Letting $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=(x,-x, x,-x, \cdots, x,-x, 0)$ in (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Im((n-1) x)-(n-1) \Im(x)\| \leq \theta(x,-x, x,-x, \ldots, x,-x, 0) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (4.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\Im((n-1) x)}{n-1}-\Im(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \theta(x,-x, x,-x, \cdots, x,-x, 0) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing $x$ by $(n-1)^{l-1} x$ in (4.16) and dividing by $(n-1)^{l-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\Im\left((n-1)^{l} x\right)}{(n-1)^{l}}-\frac{\Im\left((n-1)^{l-1} x\right)}{(n-1)^{l-1}}\right\|  \tag{4.17}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^{l}} \theta\left((n-1)^{l-1} x,-(n-1)^{l-1} x, \cdots,(n-1)^{l-1} x,-(n-1)^{l-1} x, 0\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (4.17) and the triangle inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\Im\left((n-1)^{k} x\right)}{(n-1)^{k}}-\Im(x)\right\|  \tag{4.18}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(n-1)^{l}} \theta\left((n-1)^{l} x,-(n-1)^{l} x, \cdots,(n-1)^{l} x,-(n-1)^{l} x, 0\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Replacing $x$ by $(n-1)^{m} x$ and dividing $(n-1)^{m}$ in (4.18), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\Im\left((n-1)^{k+m} x\right)}{(n-1)^{k+m}}-\frac{\Im\left((n-1)^{m} x\right)}{(n-1)^{m}}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(n-1)^{l+m}} \theta \\
& \quad \times\left((n-1)^{l+m} x,-(n-1)^{l+m} x, \cdots,(n-1)^{l+m} x,-(n-1)^{l+m} x, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence the sequence $\left\{\frac{\Im\left((n-1)^{m} x\right)}{(n-1)^{m}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $Y$ is complete, there exists a mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $A(x)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Im\left((n-1)^{m} x\right)}{(n-1)^{m}}$ for all $x \in X$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.18), we get that (4.14) holds for $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 4.2. Let $\theta: X^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$
\Psi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right):=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(n-1)^{k} \theta\left(\frac{x_{1}}{n^{k}}, \frac{x_{2}}{(n-1)^{k}}, \cdots, \frac{x_{n}}{(n-1)^{k}}\right)<\infty
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n} \in X$. Let $\Im: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying (3.7). There exists a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies

$$
\|\Im(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \Psi(x,-x, x,-x, \cdots, \cdots, x,-x, 0)
$$
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for all $x \in X$. The mapping $A(x)$ is defined by

$$
A(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(n-1)^{k} \Im\left(\frac{x}{(n-1)^{k}}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. It follows from (4.15) that

$$
\left\|\Im(x)-(n-1) \Im\left(\frac{x}{n-1}\right)\right\| \leq \theta\left(\frac{x}{n-1},-\frac{x}{n-1}, \cdots, \frac{x}{n-1},-\frac{x}{n-1}, 0\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 4.1.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. Let $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ be positive real numbers with $\gamma \neq 1$. Let $\Im: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying (3.13) Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|\Im(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{(n-1) \lambda\|x\|^{\gamma}}{\left|(n-1)-(n-1)^{\gamma}\right|}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
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