

A CHARACTERIZATION OF w -ARTINIAN MODULES

TAE IN KWON[†], HWANKOO KIM^{*}, AND DE CHUAN ZHOU

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let M be a w -module over R . Denote by \mathcal{F}_M the set of all w -submodules of M such that $(M/N)_w$ is w -cofinitely generated. Then it is shown that M is w -Artinian if and only if \mathcal{F}_M is closed under arbitrary intersections, if and only if \mathcal{F}_M satisfies the descending chain condition.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that R is a commutative ring with identity and any R -module is unitary.

Vamos [6] was the first to define and study “finitely embedded modules” as the dual of “finitely generated modules” to characterize Artinian modules. An R -module M is said to be *finitely embedded* (later called by Jans as *cofinitely generated* [4] and by Anderson and Fuller as *finitely cogenerated* [1]) if $E(M) = E(S_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(S_n)$, where each S_i is a simple R -submodule of M and $E(N)$ denotes the injective envelope of a module N . There are many characterizations of cofinitely generated modules, for example, a module M is cofinitely generated if and only if for each chain $\mathcal{C} = \{N_i \mid i \in I\}$ of nonzero submodules of M such that $\bigcap \mathcal{C} = 0$, there is an index $j \in I$ such that $N_j = 0$ [5, Theorema 1.1].

Received October 4, 2020. Revised December 5, 2020. Accepted December 7, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13E10, 13D30.

Key words and phrases: w -cofinitely generated, w -Artinian module.

[†] This research was supported by Changwon National University in 2019-2020.

^{*} Corresponding author.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2020.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let M be an R -module. Denote by

$$\Lambda_M = \{N \leq M \mid M/N \text{ is cofinitely generated}\}.$$

In [5, Theorema 2.2], Pěna characterized Artinian modules as follows: M is Artinian if and only if Λ_M is closed under arbitrary intersections, if and only if Λ_M satisfies the descending chain condition.

This paper is intended to give a characterization of w -Artinian module, which is the w -analog of [5, Theorema 2.2]. To do so, we first introduce the w -theory briefly.

Let J be a finitely generated ideal of R . If the natural homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow J^* = \text{Hom}_R(J, R)$ is an isomorphism, then J is called a *GV-ideal*, denoted by $J \in \text{GV}(R)$. Let M be an R -module. Define

$$\text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M) = \{x \in M \mid Jx = 0 \text{ for some } J \in \text{GV}(R)\}.$$

Thus $\text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M)$ is a submodule of M . And M is said to be *GV-torsion* (resp., *GV-torsion-free*) if $\text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M) = M$ (resp., $\text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M) = 0$). Clearly R is a *GV-torsion-free* R -module ([8, Corollary 1.5]). A *GV-torsion-free* module M is called a *w-module* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, M) = 0$ for any $J \in \text{GV}(R)$. The w -envelope of a *GV-torsion-free* module M is the set given by

$$M_w = \{x \in E(M) \mid Jx \subseteq M \text{ for some } J \in \text{GV}(R)\}.$$

It is easy to see that M is a w -module if and only if $M_w = M$. A w -module M is of *w-finite type* if $M = N_w$ for some finitely generated submodule N of M .

The following theorem [7, Theorem 6.1.17] is used throughout the paper without mentioning it.

THEOREM 1.1. *The following statements are equivalent for a GV-torsion-free module M .*

- (1) M is a w -module.
- (2) If $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in which N is a w -module, then C is *GV-torsion-free*.
- (3) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ such that N is a w -module and C is *GV-torsion-free*.

Following [10, Definition 2.1], a w -module M is said to be *w-cofinitely generated* if for any set $\{M_i \mid i \in \Omega\}$ of w -submodules of M satisfying $\bigcap_{i \in \Omega} M_i = 0$, there exists a finite subset Λ of Ω such that $\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} M_j = 0$.

Recall that a nonzero w -module M is called w -simple if M has no non-trivial w -submodules [7, Definition 6.5.1]. A w -module M is w -simple if and only if $M = (Rx)_w$ for some nonzero element $x \in M$ [7, Proposition 6.5.2]. The w -socle of a w -module M , denoted by $w\text{-soc}(M)$, is the (direct) sum of its all w -simple submodules [9, Definition 1.1]. A w -module M is called a w -Artinian module if M satisfies the DCC on w -submodules of M [7, Definition 6.9.1], equivalently, M has the minimal condition on w -submodules of M [7, Theorem 6.9.2].

Any undefined terminology is standard, as in [7].

2. Results

In this section, first we give a characterization of w -cofinitely generated modules, which is the w -analog of [5, Theorem 1.1]. Then we characterize w -Artinian modules.

THEOREM 2.1. *The following conditions are equivalent for a w -module M .*

- (1) M is w -cofinitely generated.
- (2) For each chain $\mathcal{C} = \{N_i \mid i \in I\}$ of w -submodules of M such that $\bigcap \mathcal{C} = 0$, there exists an index $j \in I$ such that $N_j = 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) This follows directly from the definition of w -cofinitely generated modules.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that $M \neq 0$ and let $S := w\text{-soc}(M) = \bigoplus_{k \in K} S_k$,

where each S_i is a w -simple submodule of M and K is an indexed set. Denote by \mathcal{L}_w the set of nonzero w -submodules of M . By (2) and applying Zorn's Lemma (descending version) to \mathcal{L}_w , M has a minimal element, and hence $S \neq 0$. Now let N be a nonzero w -submodule of M and let $\mathcal{L}_w(N)$ denote the set of nonzero w -submodule of N . Again by (2) and applying Zorn's Lemma (descending version) to $\mathcal{L}_w(N)$, N has a minimal element, and so every nonzero w -submodule of M contains a w -simple submodule. Then it is easy to see that M is an essential extension of S .

Now we will prove that K is finite, and hence S is of w -finite type. Assume on the contrary that K is infinite. Then there exists an infinitely countable subset, say $\{k_1, k_2, \dots\}$, of K . So we have the following nonzero w -submodules of M : $M_n := \bigoplus_{j \geq n} S_{k_j}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then

$\{M_n \mid n \geq 1\}$ is a descending chain of nonzero w -submodules of M , and so by (2) we have that $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} M_n \neq 0$, which quickly generates a contradiction.

Therefore S is of w -finite type and essential in M . By [10, Theorem 2.4], M is w -cofinitely generated. □

A w -module M is said to be w -subdirectly irreducible provided that the intersection V of all nonzero w -submodules of M is nonzero, that is, M has a unique minimal w -submodule V contained in every nonzero w -submodule. Clearly if M is such a module, then $E(M) = E(S)$ for some w -simple submodule S of M , and so M is w -cofinitely generated by [10, Theorem 2.4]. If N is a proper w -submodule of M so that $(M/N)_w$ is a w -subdirectly irreducible module, then we say that N is a w -subdirectly irreducible submodule of M . By the straightforward application of Zorn’s Lemma, one proves the following result, which is the w -analog of [2, 2.17C].

LEMMA 2.2. (*w*-version of Birkhoff’s theorem) *Let M be a w -module and N be a proper w -submodule of M . Then for any $x \in M \setminus N$ there exists a w -submodule $N_x \supseteq N$ maximal with respect to excluding x . Furthermore N_x is a w -subdirectly irreducible submodule and N is the intersection of all such N_x .*

Proof. One easily checks that the set \mathcal{S} of all w -submodules that contain N and exclude x is inductive. Hence \mathcal{S} contains a maximal element N_x by Zorn’s Lemma. Furthermore, every w -submodule of M that properly contains N_x also contains x , and hence $(M/N_x)_w$ is w -subdirectly irreducible. Obviously N is the intersection of the sets $\{N_x\}_{x \in M \setminus N}$. □

Denote by τ_w the hereditary torsion theory induced by a (Gabriel) topology

$$\{I \leq R \mid I_w = R\}.$$

Let M be an R -module. Then a submodule N of M is said to be τ_w -pure in M if M/N is GV-torsion-free. An R -module M is called τ_w -cofinitely generated if for any set $\{M_i \mid i \in \Omega\}$ of τ_w -pure submodules of M satisfying $\bigcap_{i \in \Omega} M_i = \text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M)$, there exists a finite subset Ω_0 of Ω such that $\bigcap_{i \in \Omega_0} M_i = \text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M)$.

Let M be a w -module. Denote by \mathcal{F}_M the set of w -submodules of M such that $(M/N)_w$ is w -cofinitely generated. For each w -submodule N

of M , we will use the following notation:

$$\mathcal{F}_M(N) := \{L \in \mathcal{F}_M \mid N \subseteq L\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let M be a w -module and let N be a w -submodule of M . If $\mathcal{F}_M(N)$ satisfies the descending chain condition, then $N \in \mathcal{F}_M$. In particular, if \mathcal{F}_M satisfies this condition, then M is w -cofinitely generated.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $N \notin \mathcal{F}_M$. Then $N \neq M$, and so there exists $x \in M \setminus N$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists $L_1 \in \mathcal{F}_M$ such that $N \subseteq L_1$ and $x \notin L_1$. Hence one gets $N \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq M$. Now, as before, if $x_1 \in L_1$ such that $x_1 \notin N$, then there exists $L_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{L_1}$ such that $N \subseteq L_2$ and $x_1 \notin L_2$. Now consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_1/L_2 \rightarrow M/L_2 \rightarrow M/L_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

By [10, Proposition 2.10], L_1/L_2 and M/L_1 are τ_w -cofinitely generated. By [3, Proposition 1.6], M/L_2 is τ_w -cofinitely generated. Again by [10, Proposition 2.10], $(M/L_2)_w$ is w -cofinitely generated, and so $L_2 \in \mathcal{F}_M$. Also note that $N \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq M$.

Continuing in this way, one could construct a strictly descending chain in $\mathcal{F}_M(N)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $N \in \mathcal{F}_M$. \square

Now we give a characterization of w -Artinian modules in terms of \mathcal{F}_M .

THEOREM 2.4. *The following statements are equivalent for a w -module M .*

- (1) M is a w -Artinian module.
- (2) \mathcal{F}_M is closed under arbitrary intersections.
- (3) \mathcal{F}_M satisfies the descending chain condition.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) This follows from Proposition 2.3.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume (2) holds and let

$$M = L_0 \supseteq L_1 \supseteq L_2 \supseteq \dots$$

be a descending chain in \mathcal{F}_M . Then by (2), $L := \bigcap_{i \geq 0} L_i \in \mathcal{F}_M$. Hence one has the following descending chain of GV-torsion-free submodules of M/L :

$$M/L = L_0/L \supseteq L_1/L \supseteq L_2/L \supseteq \dots$$

Note that M/L is τ_w -cofinitely generated. Moreover, as $\bigcap_{i \geq 0} (L_i/L) = 0$, there exists a nonnegative integer n such that $L_n = L$. Therefore $L_i = L$ for each $i \geq n$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) By (3) and Proposition 2.3, \mathcal{F}_M is the set of all w -submodules of M . Now the assertion follows immediately from (3). \square

References

- [1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1992.
- [2] C. Faith, *Rings and Things and a Fine Array of Twentieth Century Associative Algebra*, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, 1999.
- [3] J.L. García Hernández and J.L. Gomez Pardo, *V-rings relative to Gabriel topologies*, Comm. Algebra **13** (1985), 59–83.
- [4] J.P. Jans, *Co-Noetherian rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **1** (1) (1969). 588–590.
- [5] A.J. Peña, *Una caracterización de los módulos Artinianos*, Divulg. Mat. **1** (1) (1993), 17–24.
- [6] P. Vamos, *The dual of the notion of finitely generated*, J. London Math. Soc. **43** (1968), 643–646.
- [7] F.G. Wang and H. Kim, *Foundations of Commutative Rings and Their Modules*, Singapore, Springer, 2016.
- [8] H. Yin, F.G. Wang, X. Zhu, and Y. Chen, *w-Modules over commutative rings*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **48** (2011), 207–222.
- [9] J. Zhang and F.G. Wang, *The w-socles of w-modules*, J. Sichuan Normal Univ. (Nat. Sci.) **36** (2013), 807–810. (in Chinese).
- [10] D.C. Zhou, H. Kim, and K. Hu, *A Cohen-type theorem for w-Artinian modules*, J. Algebra Appl. **20** (2021), 2150106 (25 pages), DOI: 10.1142/S0219498821501061

Tae In Kwon

Department of Mathematics
Changwon National University
Changwon 51140, Korea
E-mail: taekwon@changwon.ac.kr

Hwankoo Kim

Division of Computer and Information Engineering
Hoseo University
Asan 31499, Korea
E-mail: hkkim@hoseo.edu

De Chuan Zhou

School of Science
Southwest University of Science and Technology
Mianyang 621010, PR China
E-mail: dechuan11119@sina.com