

BEST PROXIMITY POINTS FOR CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS IN GENERALIZED MODULAR METRIC SPACES

V. ANBUKKARASI*, M. MARUDAI, AND R. THEIVARAMAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove existence of best proximity points for 2-convex contraction, 2-sided contraction, and M-weakly cyclic 2-convex contraction mappings in the setting of complete strongly regular generalized modular metric spaces that generalize many results in the literature.

1. Introduction

Istratescu [6] introduced the concepts of 2-convex contraction and 2-sided convex contraction mappings and proved the fixed point theorem for 2-convex contraction and 2-sided convex contraction mappings. M. Menaka [2] proved the fixed point theorem for M-weakly cyclic 2-convex contraction. In this paper, we prove best proximity point theorems for 2-convex contraction, 2-sided convex contraction and M-weakly cyclic 2-convex contraction mappings in complete strongly regular generalized modular metric spaces. C. Alaca et al. (refer, [1], [2]) have proved some new fixed-point theorems on modular metric spaces and modular ultrametric spaces. Also, they have found some innovative applications in homotopy. The authors, M. E. Ege et al. (see, [5], [6]) have discussed some properties of both the modular S -metric spaces and the modular b -metric spaces and proved fixed point theorems from them. In [8] & [9], the authors invented the Meir-Keeler type contractions in extended modular b -metric spaces with an application and Meir-Keeler type contractive mappings in modular and partial modular metric spaces. Moreover M. Ramezani [14] proved a new version of Schauder and Petryshyn type fixed point theorems in s -modular function spaces. In 2020, (see in [1], [12]), the authors discussed and explained the concept about Some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying rational inequality in modular metric spaces with applications and fixed points of Kannan maps in modular metric spaces. Our results generalize many results in the literature.

This manuscript is laid out as follows. The very next section compiles the preliminaries and essential definitions. The third section presents the main results, which includes Best Proximity Points for Contractive Mappings in Generalized Modular Metric Spaces. Finally, we come to the end of the manuscript with a conclusion.

Received April 1, 2023. Revised April 30, 2023. Accepted May 1, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases: modular metric space, 2-convex contraction, 2-sided contraction, weakly B-contraction, S-weakly B-contraction.

* Corresponding author.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2023.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a nonempty set and let R^m be the set of all $m \times 1$ matrices with real entries. If $\alpha, \beta \in R^m$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)^T$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)^T$ and $c \in R$, then define \leq on R^m by $\alpha \leq \beta$ ($\alpha < \beta$) iff $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ ($\alpha_i < \beta_i$) for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and by $\alpha \leq c$, iff $\alpha_i \leq c$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

A mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow R^m$ is said to be a vector-valued / generalized metric on X if the following properties are satisfied :

1. $d(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in X$; if $d(x, y) = 0$, then $x = y$, vice-versa ;
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

A set X together with a vector-valued / generalized metric d is called a vector-valued / generalized metric space and it is denoted by (X, d) .

Throughout this paper we denote

1. $R_+ = [0, \infty)$;
2. $M_{m \times m}(R_+) =$ The set of all $m \times m$ matrices with non-negative elements
3. $D_{m \times m}([0, 1)) =$ The set of all $m \times m$ diagonal matrix with elements $\in [0, 1)$
4. Zero $m \times m$ matrix $= \bar{0}$
5. Identity $m \times m$ matrix $= I$
6. $A^0 = I$ if $A \neq \bar{0}$.

A matrix A is said to be convergent to zero if and only if $A^n \rightarrow \bar{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Following are some matrices which converges to zero :

1. Any matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} b & b \\ a & a \end{pmatrix}$, where $a, b \in R_+$ and $a + b < 1$.
2. Any matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} b & a \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$, where $a, b \in R_+$ and $a + b < 1$.
3. Any matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$, where $a, b, c \in R_+$ and $\max\{a, c\} < 1$.
4. If $A \in D_{m \times m}([0, 1))$, then A converges to $\bar{0}$.

If $A \in D_{m \times m}([0, 1))$, then $A \leq I$ and A converges to zero.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $A \in M_{m \times m}(R_+)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. A is convergent to zero.
2. $A^n \rightarrow \bar{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
3. The eigenvalues of A are in the open unit disc, that is, $|\lambda| < 1$, for every $\lambda \in C$ with $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$.
4. The matrix $I - A$ is nonsingular and $(I - A)^{-1} = I + A + \dots + A^n + \dots$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A function $\omega : (0, \infty) \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a modular metric on X if the following axioms hold :

1. $\omega(\lambda, x, y) = 0$ for every $\lambda > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
2. for each $x, y \in X$, $\omega(\lambda, x, y) = \omega(\lambda, y, x)$ for all $\lambda > 0$;
3. for each $x, y, z \in X$, $\omega(\lambda + \mu, x, z) \leq \omega(\lambda, x, y) + \omega(\mu, y, z)$ for all $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

A modular metric on X is said to be regular if (1) is replaced with the following axiom :

- $x = y$ if and only if $\omega(\lambda, x, y) = 0$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

DEFINITION 2.3. A modular generalized metric ω is on X is said to be strongly regular if the following conditions hold:

1. condition (1) of modular generalized metric ω is replaced with $x = y$ iff $\omega(1, x, y) = 0$.
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_n, x) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_n, y) = 0$ implies $x = y$.

Muhammed Usman Ali et.al[3] introduced the following definitions.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let (X, ω) be a modular generalized metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X .

1. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is ω - convergent to $s \in X_\omega$ if and only if $\omega(1, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
2. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is ω - Cauchy if $\omega(1, x_m, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.
3. A subset D of X_ω is ω - complete if any ω - Cauchy sequence in D is a ω - convergent in D .
4. A subset D of X_ω is ω - closed if ω - limit of each ω - convergent sequence of D always belongs to D .
5. A subset D of X_ω is ω - bounded if we have $\delta_\omega(D) = \sup\{\omega(1, x, y); x, y \in D\} < \infty$.
6. A subset D of X_ω is ω - compact if for any $\{x_n\}$ in D , there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ and $x \in D$ such that $\omega(1, x_{n_k}, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . Let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be a nonself mapping. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a best proximity point of T if $d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$ where $d(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is said to be a cyclic mapping if $T(A) \subset B$ and $T(B) \subset A$.

3. Best proximity points for cyclic 2-convex contraction mappings

In this section we prove existence of proximity point for cyclic 2-convex contraction mappings.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X_ω be a generalized modular metric space. Let $T : X_\omega \rightarrow X_\omega$. We say T is ω - continuous if and only if whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X_ω that converges to x $\omega(1, Tx_n, Tx) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a generalized modular metric space X_ω . A cyclic ω - continuous mapping $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is said to be a cyclic 2 - Convex Contraction if there exists $C, D \in D_{m,m}(R_+)$ such that for every $x \in A, y \in B$

$$\omega(1, T^2x, T^2y) \leq C\omega(1, x, y) + D\omega(1, Tx, Ty) + (I - (C + D))\omega(1, A, B)$$

where $C + D < I$ and $\omega(1, A, B) = \inf\{\omega(1, a, b) : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$.

THEOREM 3.3. Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a complete strongly regular generalized modular metric space X_ω and let T be a cyclic 2 - Convex Contraction on $A \cup B$. Then for any $x_0 \in A \cup B$, the sequence $\omega(1, T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0)$ converges to $\omega(1, A, B)$.

Proof. Let $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic 2 - Convex Contraction mapping. Fix $x_0 \in X_\omega$.

Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Let $k = \max\{\omega(1, x_0, x_1), \omega(1, x_1, x_2)\}$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1, x_2, x_3) &= \omega(1, Tx_1, Tx_2) \leq C\omega(1, x_1, x_2) + D\omega(1, x_0, x_1) + (I - (C + D))\omega(1, A, B) \\ &\leq k(C + D) + \omega(1, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1, x_3, x_4) &= \omega(1, Tx_2, Tx_3) \leq C\omega(1, x_2, x_3) + D\omega(1, x_1, x_2) + (I - (C + D))\omega(1, A, B) \\ &\leq k(C + D) + \omega(1, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1, x_4, x_5) &= \omega(1, Tx_3, Tx_4) \leq C\omega(1, x_3, x_4) + D\omega(1, x_2, x_3) + (I - (C + D))\omega(1, A, B) \\ &\leq k(C + D)^2 + \omega(1, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1, x_5, x_6) &= \omega(1, Tx_4, Tx_5) \leq C\omega(1, x_4, x_5) + D\omega(1, x_3, x_4) + (I - (C + D))\omega(1, A, B) \\ &\leq k(A + B)^2 + \omega(1, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1, x_{2m-1}, x_{2m}) &\leq k(C + D)^m + \omega(1, A, B) \\ \text{and } \omega(1, x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}) &\leq k(C + D)^m + \omega(1, A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Since $C + D < I$, $\omega(1, x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}) \leq k(C + D)^m + \omega(1, A, B) \rightarrow \omega(1, A, B)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}) \leq \omega(1, A, B)$. But for each m , $\omega(1, x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}) \geq \omega(1, A, B)$.

Therefore $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}) = \omega(1, A, B)$. Let $2m = n$. Thus $\omega(1, T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0)$ converges to $\omega(1, A, B)$. \square

THEOREM 3.4. Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a complete strongly regular generalized modular metric space X_ω and let T be a cyclic 2 - convex contraction on $A \cup B$. If there exists a subsequence $\{T^{n_i} x_0\}$ of $\{T^n x_0\}$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, T^{n_i} x_0, p) = 0$, then p is the best proximity of T .

Proof. By the previous theorem, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, T^{n_i} x_0, T^{n_i+1} x_0) = \omega(1, A, B)$.

Since T is continuous,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1, p, Tp) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, T^{n_i} x_0, T^{n_i+1} x_0) \\ &= \omega(1, A, B). \end{aligned}$$

\square

THEOREM 3.5. Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a complete strongly regular generalized modular metric space X_ω and let T be a cyclic- 2 convex contraction on $A \cup B$. If either A or B is ω -compact, then T has a best proximity point.

Proof. Let $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic-2 convex contraction mapping. Fix $x_0 \in A$. Assume that A is ω -compact. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Since A is ω -compact, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Hence there exists $p \in$

A such that $x_{n_i} \rightarrow p$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By the previous theorem, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{n+1}, x_n) = \omega(1, A, B)$. Since, T is ω -continuous,

$$\omega(1, p, Tp) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{n_i}, Tx_{n_i}).$$

Hence T has a best proximity point. \square

3.1. Best proximity points for cyclic 2-sided convex contraction mappings.

In this section by defining cyclic 2-sided convex contraction, we prove existence of proximity points for cyclic 2-sided convex contraction mappings.

DEFINITION 3.6. Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a generalized modular metric space X_ω . A cyclic ω -continuous mapping $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is said to be a cyclic 2-Sided Convex Contraction if there exists $C, D, E, F \in D_{m,m}([0, 1])$ such that for every $x \in A, y \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1, T^2x, T^2y) &\leq C\omega(1, x, Tx) + D\omega(1, Tx, T^2x) + E\omega(1, y, Ty) + F\omega(1, Ty, T^2y) \\ &\quad + (I - (C + D + E + F))\omega(1, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

where $C + D + E + F < I$ and $\omega(1, A, B) = \inf\{\omega(1, a, b) : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$.

THEOREM 3.7. Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a complete strongly regular generalized modular metric space X_ω and let T be a cyclic 2-sided convex contraction on $A \cup B$. Then for any $x_0 \in A \cup B$, the sequence $\omega(1, T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0)$ Let $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic 2-sided convex contraction mapping. Fix $x_0 \in X_\omega$.

Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Let $k = \max\{\omega(1, x_0, x_1), \omega(1, x_1, x_2)\}$.

converges to $\omega(1, A, B)$.

Proof. Let $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic 2-sided convex contraction mapping. Fix $x_0 \in X_\omega$.

Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Let $k = \max\{\omega(1, x_0, x_1), \omega(1, x_1, x_2)\}$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1, x_2, x_3) &= \omega(1, T^2x_0, T^2x_1) \\ &\leq C\omega(1, x_0, x_1) + D\omega(1, x_1, x_2) + E\omega(1, x_2, x_1) + F\omega(1, x_2, x_3) \\ &\quad + (I - (C + D + E + F))\omega(1, A, B) \\ &\leq k((C + D + E) + F\omega(1, x_2, x_3) + (I - (C + D + E))\omega(1, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(I - F)\omega(1, x_2, x_3) \leq k((C + D + E) + (I - (C + D + E))\omega(1, A, B)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(1, x_2, x_3) &\leq k((C + D + E)(I - F)^{-1} + (I - (C + D + E))(I - F)^{-1})\omega(1, A, B) \\ &\leq k((C + D + E)(I - F)^{-1} + \omega(1, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\omega(1, x_3, x_4) \leq k((C + D + E)(I - F)^{-1} + \omega(1, A, B)$$

In general, by induction

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(1, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) &\leq k((C + D + E)/(I - F)^{-1})^n + \omega(1, A, B) \\ \text{and } \omega(1, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &\leq k((C + D + E)/(I - F)^{-1})^n + \omega(1, A, B)\end{aligned}$$

Since $(I - (C + D + E))(I - F)^{-1} < I$,

$$\omega(1, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq k((C + D + E)/(I - F)^{-1})^n + \omega(1, A, B) \rightarrow \omega(1, A, B) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \omega(1, A, B)$. But for each n , $\omega(1, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \geq \omega(1, A, B)$.

Therefore $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = \omega(1, A, B)$. Let $2n = n$. Thus $\omega(1, T^m x_0, T^{m+1} x_0)$ converges to $\omega(1, A, B)$. \square

THEOREM 3.8. *Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a complete strongly regular generalized modular metric space X_ω and let T be a cyclic 2-sided convex contraction on $A \cup B$. If either A or B is ω -compact, then T has a best proximity point.*

Proof. Let $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic 2-sided convex contraction mapping. Fix $x_0 \in A$. Assume that A is ω -compact. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Since A is ω -compact, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Hence there exists $p \in A$ such that $x_{n_i} \rightarrow p$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By the previous theorem, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{n+1}, x_n) = \omega(1, A, B)$. Since, T is ω -continuous,

$$\omega(1, p, Tp) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{n_i}, Tx_{n_i}).$$

Hence T has a best proximity point. \square

3.2. Best proximity points for M-weakly cyclic-2 convex contraction mappings. In this section we prove best proximity point theorem for M-weakly cyclic 2-convex contraction mappings

DEFINITION 3.9. Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a generalized modular metric space X_ω . A cyclic ω -continuous mapping $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is said to be a M-weakly cyclic-2 Convex Contraction if there exists $C, D, E, F \in D_{m,m}([0, 1])$ such that for every $x \in A, y \in B$.

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(1, T^2x, T^2y) &\leq C \{\omega(1, x, Tx) + \omega(1, y, Ty)\} + D \omega(1, x, y) \\ &\quad + E \{\omega(1, x, Ty) + \omega(1, y, Tx)\} + (I - (2C + D + 2E)) \omega(1, A, B)\end{aligned}$$

where $2C + D + 2E < I$ and $\omega(1, A, B) = \inf\{\omega(1, a, b) : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$.

THEOREM 3.10. *Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a complete strongly regular generalized modular metric space X_ω and let T be a M-weakly cyclic-2 convex contraction on $A \cup B$. Then for any $x_0 \in A \cup B$, the sequence $\omega(1, T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0)$ converges to $\omega(1, A, B)$.*

Proof. Let $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a M-weakly cyclic-2 convex contraction mapping. Fix $x_0 \in X_\omega$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let $k = \max\{\omega(1, x_0, x_1), \omega(1, x_1, x_2)\}$. Since T is M-weakly cyclic 2-convex contraction on

$A \cup B$, then we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(1, x_3, x_2) &\leq C[\omega(1, x_0, x_1) + \omega(1, x_1, x_2)] + D\omega(1, x_1, x_0) \\
&\quad + E[\omega(1, x_0, x_2) + \omega(1, x_1, x_1)] \\
&\quad + (I - (2C + D + 2E))\omega(1, A, B) \\
&\leq (C + E)\omega(1, x_1, x_2) + (C + D + E)\omega(1, x_0, x_1) \\
&\quad + (I - (2C + D + 2E))\omega(1, A, B) \\
&\leq (2C + D + 2E)k + \omega(1, A, B) \\
\omega(1, x_4, x_3) &\leq (C + E)\omega(1, x_3, x_2) + (C + D + E)\omega(1, x_2, x_1) \\
&\quad + (I - (2C + D + 2E))\omega(1, A, B) \\
&\leq (C + E)[(2C + D + 2E)k + \omega(1, A, B)] + (C + D + E)k \\
&\quad + (I - (2C + D + 2E))\omega(1, A, B) \\
&\leq (C + E)k + (C + E)\omega(1, A, B) + (C + D + E)k \\
&\quad + (I - (2C + D + 2E))\omega(1, A, B) \\
&= (2C + D + 2E)k + \omega(1, A, B) \\
\omega(1, x_5, x_4) &\leq (C + E)\omega(1, x_4, x_3) + (C + D + E)\omega(1, x_3, x_2) \\
&\quad + (I - (2C + D + 2E))\omega(1, A, B) \\
&\leq (C + E)[(2C + D + 2E)k + \omega(1, A, B)] \\
&\quad + (C + D + E)[(2C + D + 2E)k + \omega(1, A, B)] \\
&\quad + (I - (2C + D + 2E))\omega(1, A, B) \\
&= (2C + D + 2E)^2k + \omega(1, A, B) \\
\omega(1, x_6, x_5) &\leq (C + E)\omega(1, x_5, x_4) + (C + D + E)\omega(1, x_4, x_3) \\
&\quad + (I - (2C + D + 2E))\omega(1, A, B) \\
&\leq (C + E)(2C + D + 2E)^2k + \omega(1, A, B) \\
&\quad + (C + D + E)(2C + D + 2E)k + \omega(1, A, B) \\
&\quad + (2C + D + 2E)k + \omega(1, A, B) \\
&\leq (C + E)(2C + D + 2E)k \\
&\quad + \omega(1, A, B) + (C + D + E)(2C + D + 2E)k + \omega(1, A, B) \\
&\quad + (2C + D + 2E)k + \omega(1, A, B) \\
&= (2C + D + 2E)^2k + \omega(1, A, B).
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction, □

THEOREM 3.11. *Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of a complete strongly regular generalized modular metric space X_ω and let T be a M - weakly cyclic- 2 convex contraction on $A \cup B$. If either A or B is ω -compact, then T has a best proximity point.*

Proof. Let $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a M - weakly cyclic-2 convex contraction mapping. Fix $x_0 \in A$. Assume that A is ω -compact. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Since A is ω -compact, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Hence there exists $p \in A$ such that $x_{n_i} \rightarrow p$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By the previous theorem, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{n+1}, x_n) = \omega(1, A, B)$. Since, T is ω -continuous,

$$\omega(1, p, Tp) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega(1, x_{n_i}, Tx_{n_i}).$$

Hence T has a best proximity point. \square

4. Conclusion

In this paper, some best proximity points for cyclic 2-convex contraction mapping theorem and 2-sided convex contraction theorem are established in generalized modular metric spaces by using various types of contraction mappings. It is worth observing that all the results established in the present paper produces more restricted fixed points. Since different findings delivered in the future might be shown in a smaller setting to ensure the existence of the fixed points.

References

- [1] A.A.N. Abdou, *Fixed points of Kannan maps in modular metric spaces*, AIMS Mathematics **5** (6) (2020), 6395–6403.
- [2] C. Alaca, M.E. Ege and C. Park, *Fixed point results for modular ultrametric spaces*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, **20** (7) (2016), 1259–1267.
- [3] M.U. Ali, T. Kamran, H. Houmani and M. Postolache, *On the solution of a system of integral equations via matrix version of Banach contraction principle*, Communications in Mathematics and Applications **8** (3) (2017), 207–215.
- [4] V. S. Bright and M. Marudai, *Fixed point theorem for weakly B-contractive mapping in ordered metric spaces*, Gen. Math. notes **35** (2) (2016), 1–14.
- [5] M.E. Ege and C. Alaca, *Fixed point results and an application to homotopy in modular metric spaces*, Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications **8** (6) (2015), 900–908.
- [6] M.E. Ege and C. Alaca, *Some properties of modular S-metric spaces and its fixed point results*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications **20** (1) (2016), 24–33.
- [7] M.E. Ege and C. Alaca, *Some results for modular b-metric spaces and an application to system of linear equations*, Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics **8** (1) (2018), 3–14.
- [8] A. Gholidahneh, S. Sedghi, O. Ege, Z.D. Mitrovic and M. De la Sen, *The Meir-Keeler type, contractions in extended modular b-metric spaces with an application*, AIMS Mathematics **6** (2) (2021), 1781–1799.
- [9] H. Hosseinzadeh and V. Parvaneh, *Meir-Keeler type contractive mappings in modular and partial modular metric spaces*, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics **13** (5) (2020), 2050087.
- [10] I.V. Istraescu, *Some fixed point theorems for convex contraction mappings and mappings with convex diminishing diameters-I*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **130** (4) (1982), 89–104.
- [11] M. Menaka and M. Marudai, *Fixed point theorems for weakly generalized w-contraction mappings and applications*, International Journal of Mathematics and its Applications, **6** (1-C), (2018), 471–481.
- [12] G.A. Okeke, D. Francis and M. De la Sen, *Some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying rational inequality in modular metric spaces with applications*, Heliyon, **6** (8) (2020), e04785.
- [13] A.I. Perov, *On the Cauchy problem for a system of ordinary differential equations*, Pvbilzhnen, Met.Reshen, Differ. Uvavn. **2** (1964), 115–134.
- [14] M. Ramezani, H. Baghani, O. Ege and M. De la Sen, *A new version of Schauder and Petryshyn type fixed point theorems in s-modular function spaces*, Symmetry-Basel, **12** (1) (2020), 1–8.
- [15] B. Sam, Jr. Nadler, *Multivalued contraction mappings*, Pacific Journal Of Mathematics, **30** (2) (1969).

V. Anbukkarasi

Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University,
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, Tamilnadu, India

E-mail: anbuvelmath@gmail.com

M. Marudai

Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University,
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, Tamilnadu, India

E-mail: mmarudai@yahoo.com

R. Theivaraman

Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University,
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, Tamilnadu, India

E-mail: deivaraman@gmail.com