# PARTIAL SUMS AND NEIGHBORHOODS OF JANOWSKI-TYPE SUBCLASSES OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Abdullah Alatawi\* and Maslina Darus

ABSTRACT. The paper presents the introduction of a novel linear derivative operator for meromorphic functions that are linked with *q*-calculus. Using the linear derivative operator, a new category of meromorphic functions is generated in the paper. We obtain sufficient conditions and show some properties of functions belonging to these subclasses. The partial sums of its sequence and the *q*-neighborhoods problem are solved.

### 1. Introduction

Quantum calculus known as q-calculus is sometimes described as limitless calculus. It substitutes a difference operator for the classical derivative, allowing for the manipulation of sets of non-differentiable functions. Quantum difference operators play an intriguing role in a variety of mathematical fields, including the geometric function theory, calculus of variations, and relativity theory (see [2], [9], [27]). Kac & Cheung's and Gasper & Rahman's books [7,13] cover a large number of fundamental aspects of q-calculus.

We use the symbol  $\Sigma$  to represent the set of functions f that takes the following form

(1) 
$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mathbf{z}^n, \qquad (a_n > 0)$$

that are analytic in the punctured open unit disk

 $\mathbb{U}^* = \{\mathsf{z}: \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{C}: 0 < |\mathsf{z}| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}.$ 

Tang et al. [28] introduced the q-derivative  $\partial_q(f(\mathbf{z}))$  for meromorphic functions, defined as follows:

(2) 
$$\partial_q f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{z}) - f(q\mathbf{z})}{(1-q)\mathbf{z}} = -\frac{1}{q\mathbf{z}^2} + \sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{\infty} [\mathsf{n}]_q a_\mathsf{n} \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{n}-1}, \quad (0 < q < 1),$$

Received May 9, 2023. Revised June 21, 2023. Accepted August 21, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32A20, 30D30, 30C45.

Key words and phrases: Analytic function, Meromorphic functions, Starlike function, neighborhood, Partial sums, q-calculus.

\* Corresponding author.

(c) The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2023.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. where

(3) 
$$[\mathbf{n}]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^{\mathbf{n}}}{1-q}, & \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N} \\ 1+q+q^2+\dots, & \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

If  $q \to 1-$ , then  $[\mathbf{n}]_q \to \mathbf{n}$  and  $\lim_{q \to 1-} \partial_q f = f'$ .

More recently, Alatawi et al. [1] defined the q-derivative operator  $\mathsf{D}_q^k:\Sigma\to\Sigma$  as below

(4)  

$$D_q^0 f(\mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{z})$$

$$D_q^k f(\mathbf{z}) = q\beta \mathbf{z} \partial_q D_q^{k-1} f(\mathbf{z}) + (1+\beta) D_q^{k-1} f(\mathbf{z}).$$

$$D_q^k f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+\beta [n+1]_q)^k a_n \mathbf{z}^n, \quad (\beta \le 0, k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Building on the research conducted in [19, 24], we introduce a subset denoted as  $\mathcal{MS}_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ , which is defined using the operator  $\mathsf{D}_q^k f$  in the following manner:

DEFINITION 1.1. A function  $f \in \Sigma$  is said to belong to the class  $\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ , if

(5) 
$$\left| \frac{qz\partial_q \left( \mathsf{D}_q^k f(\mathsf{z}) \right) + \mathsf{D}_q^k f(\mathsf{z})}{\mathsf{B}qz\partial_q \left( \mathsf{D}_q^k f(\mathsf{z}) \right) + \mathsf{A}\mathsf{D}_q^k f(\mathsf{z})} \right| < 1, \quad (\mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{U}^*),$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, -1 \leq \mathsf{B} < \mathsf{A} \leq 1$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$ .

Furthermore, a function

(6) 
$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{\infty} a_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}} \qquad (a_{\mathbf{n}} > 0, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{U}^*),$$

belongs to the class  $\mathcal{T}\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$  if it meets the requirement stated in equation (5).

It's worth noting that the previous definition is primarily inspired by the latest research by Morga [19] and Srivastava et al. [24]

This paper's primary goal is to introduce neighborhoods and partial sums of functions that belong to the classes  $\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$  and  $\mathcal{T}\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ . Unless specified otherwise, we'll assume that  $-1 \leq \mathsf{B} < \mathsf{A} \leq 1$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$  in this paper.

# 2. Coefficient Bonds

This section outlines the process of the sufficient conditions based on coefficient estimates for functions f that are part of the subclasses  $\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$  and  $\mathcal{T}\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ .

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $f \in \Sigma$  as in (1) and satisfies the inequality

(7) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( (\mathsf{B}+1)q[n]_q + \mathsf{A}+1 \right) (1+\beta[n+1]_q)^k a_n \le (\mathsf{A}-\mathsf{B}),$$

then  $f \in \Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ .

*Proof.* To prove that  $f \in \Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$  satisfies a certain condition, it's enough to demonstrate that

(8) 
$$\Re e\left\{\frac{qz\partial_q\left(\mathsf{D}_q^kf(\mathsf{z})\right) + \mathsf{D}_q^kf(\mathsf{z})}{\mathsf{B}qz\partial_q\left(\mathsf{D}_q^kf(\mathsf{z})\right) + \mathsf{A}\mathsf{D}_q^kf(\mathsf{z})}\right\} > -1, \qquad (\mathsf{z}\in\mathbb{U}^*).$$

If the inequality (7) true and holds, we must show that

(9) 
$$\left|qz\partial_{q}\left(\mathsf{D}_{q}^{k}f(z)\right) + \mathsf{D}_{q}^{k}f(z)\right| - \left|\mathsf{B}qz\partial_{q}\left(\mathsf{D}_{q}^{k}f(z)\right) + \mathsf{A}\mathsf{D}_{q}^{k}f(z)\right| \le 0.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left| qz\partial_{q} \left( \mathsf{D}_{q}^{k}f(z) \right) + \mathsf{D}_{q}^{k}f(z) \right| &- \left| \mathsf{B}qz\partial_{q} \left( \mathsf{D}_{q}^{k}f(z) \right) + \mathsf{A}\mathsf{D}_{q}^{k}f(z) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{\infty} (q[\mathsf{n}]_{q} + 1)(1 + \beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_{q})^{k}a_{\mathsf{n}}\mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{n}} \right| - \left| (\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{B})\frac{1}{\mathsf{z}} + \sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{\infty} (\mathsf{A} + \mathsf{B}q[\mathsf{n}]_{q})(1 + \beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_{q})^{k}a_{\mathsf{n}}\mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{n}} \right| \\ (10) \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{\infty} \left( (\mathsf{B}+1)q[\mathsf{n}]_{q} + \mathsf{A} + 1 \right)(1 + \beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_{q})^{k}a_{\mathsf{n}}|\mathsf{z}|^{\mathsf{n}+1} - (\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{B}). \end{aligned}$$

Since (10) holds for all  $r = |\mathbf{z}|, 0 < r < 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{\infty} \left( (\mathsf{B}+1)q[\mathsf{n}]_q + \mathsf{A}+1 \right) (1+\beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_q)^k a_{\mathsf{n}} - (\mathsf{A}-\mathsf{B}) \le 0,$$

by (7), which yields (9).

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $f = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{T}\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ , if and only if inequality (7) is satisfied. The result is sharp for the function  $f(\mathsf{z})$ , which is defined as

(11) 
$$f(z) = \frac{A - B}{((B+1)q[n]_q + A + 1)(1 + \beta[n+1]_q)^k} z^n, \quad (n \ge 1).$$

*Proof.* Considering Theorem 2.1, it's enough to prove the validity of the "if" component.

Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{T}\Sigma_q[k; A, B]$ . Then, we have

(12) 
$$\Re e\left\{\frac{qz\partial_q\left(\mathsf{D}_q^kf(\mathsf{z})\right) + \mathsf{D}_q^kf(\mathsf{z})}{\mathsf{B}qz\partial_q\left(\mathsf{D}_q^kf(\mathsf{z})\right) + \mathsf{A}\mathsf{D}_q^kf(\mathsf{z})}\right\} > -1, \qquad (\mathsf{z}\in\mathbb{U}^*).$$

Since  $\Re e \{f(\mathsf{z})\} \leq |f(\mathsf{z})|$  for all  $\mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{U}^*$ , then

(13) 
$$\Re e\left\{\frac{\sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{\infty} (q[\mathsf{n}]_q + 1)(1 + \beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_q)^k a_\mathsf{n} \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{n}+1}}{\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{B} + \sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{\infty} (\mathsf{A} + \mathsf{B}q[\mathsf{n}]_q)(1 + \beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_q)^k a_\mathsf{n} \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{n}+1}}\right\} < 1,$$

for all z and the above equation is true. By letting  $z \to 1-$  on the real axis, we have the following inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (q[n]_q + 1)(1 + \beta[n+1]_q)^k a_n \le \mathsf{A} - \mathsf{B} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathsf{A} + \mathsf{B}q[n]_q)(1 + \beta[n+1]_q)^k a_n.$$

Thus, we get the required inequality

$$\sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{\infty} (q[\mathsf{n}]_q(\mathsf{B}+1) + (\mathsf{A}+1))(1+\beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_q)^k a_\mathsf{n} \le \mathsf{A} - \mathsf{B}.$$

This concludes the demonstration of our theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. Let f given by (6),  $0 \leq \gamma_j \leq 1$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j = 1$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{T}\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ , if and only if

(14) 
$$f(\mathsf{z}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j f_j,$$

where (15)

$$f_0(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}}, \quad f_j(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}}{\left(q[j]_q(\mathbf{B} + 1) + (\mathbf{A} + 1)\right)(1 + \beta[j+1]_q)^k}\right) \mathbf{z}^j, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j f_j$$
  
=  $\gamma_0 f_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j f_j = \frac{\gamma_0}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \left( \frac{\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}}{\left(q[j]_q(\mathbf{B}+1) + (\mathbf{A}+1)\right)(1 + \beta[j+1]_q)^k} \right) \mathbf{z}^j \right\},$ 

by applying condition we get (7),

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( q[j]_q(\mathsf{B}+1) + (\mathsf{A}+1) \right) (1 + \beta[j+1]_q)^k \left( \frac{\mathsf{A}-\mathsf{B}}{\left( q[j]_q(\mathsf{B}+1) + (\mathsf{A}+1) \right) (1 + \beta[j+1]_q)^k} \gamma_j \right) \\ &= (1-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j = (1-\alpha) (1-\gamma_1) \le \mathsf{A}-\mathsf{B}. \end{split}$$

This means that  $f \in \mathcal{T}\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}].$ 

Conversely, Let  $f \in \mathcal{T}\Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ . By setting

$$\gamma_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( q[j]_q (\mathsf{B}+1) + (\mathsf{A}+1) \right) (1 + \beta [j+1]_q)^k a_j \qquad (0 \le \gamma_j \le 1),$$
  
$$\gamma_0 = = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j.$$

As a result, the function f can be represented as

$$\begin{split} f(\mathbf{z}) &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \mathbf{z}^j \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}}{\left(q[j]_q(\mathbf{B} + 1) + (\mathbf{A} + 1)\right)(1 + \beta[j+1]_q)^k} \gamma_j \right) \mathbf{z}^j \\ &= \mathbf{z}_0 \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{z}}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \frac{\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}}{\left(q[j]_q(\mathbf{B} + 1) + (\mathbf{A} + 1)\right)(1 + \beta[j+1]_q)^k} \mathbf{z}^j \right) \gamma_j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j f_j, \end{split}$$

this concludes the demonstration of our theorem.

# 3. Neighborhoods and partial sums

Inspired by previous studies that used the conventional idea of neighborhoods for analytic functions, such as Goodman [8], Silverman [25,26], Murugusundaramoorthy and Velayudam [15], Darus and Ibrahim [5], and recently Altintas and Owa [3], and Elhaddad and Darus [6], we present the following:

For  $\mu \ge 0$ ,  $-1 \le \mathsf{B} < \mathsf{A} \le 1$  and  $f = \frac{1}{\mathsf{z}} + \sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{\infty} |a_\mathsf{n}| \mathsf{z}^\mathsf{n}$ , we define the  $\delta$ -nieghbourhoods of  $f(\mathsf{z})$  by

(16) 
$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu,q}(f) = \left\{ g(\mathsf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{z}} + \sum_{\mathsf{n}=2}^{\infty} |b_\mathsf{n}| \mathsf{z}^\mathsf{n} \text{ and } \sum_{\mathsf{n}=2}^{\infty} \frac{((\mathsf{B}+1)q[\mathsf{n}]_q + \mathsf{A}+1)(1+\beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_q)^k}{\mathsf{A}-\mathsf{B}} ||a_\mathsf{n}| - |b_\mathsf{n}|| \le \mu \right\}.$$

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\mu > 0$  and  $-1 < A \le 0$ . If  $f \in \Sigma$  of the form (1) satisfies the condition

(17) 
$$\frac{f(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\epsilon}{\mathbf{z}}}{1 + \epsilon} \in \Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$$

for any complex number  $\epsilon$  satisfying  $|\epsilon| < \delta$ , we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu,q}(f) \subset \Sigma_q[k;\mathsf{A},\mathsf{B}].$$

*Proof.* It is obvious from (5) and  $g(z) \in \Sigma_q[k; A, B]$  if and only if for any complex number  $\eta$  with  $|\eta| = 1$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{z}\partial_q\left(\mathsf{D}_q^kg(\mathsf{z})\right) + \mathsf{D}_q^kg(\mathsf{z})}{\mathrm{Bz}\partial_q\left(\mathsf{D}_q^kg(\mathsf{z})\right) + \mathsf{A}\mathsf{D}_q^kg(\mathsf{z})} \neq \eta \qquad (\mathsf{z}\in\mathbb{U}^*),$$

this is the same as saying

(18) 
$$\frac{g(\mathbf{z}) * h(\mathbf{z})}{\mathbf{z}^{-1}} \neq 0 \qquad (\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{U}^*),$$

where

(19) 
$$h(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \mathbf{z}^n = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left((1+q[\mathbf{n}]_q) - \eta(\mathbf{A}+q[\mathbf{n}]_q\mathbf{B})\right)}{(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A})\eta} (1+\beta[\mathbf{n}+1]_q)^k \mathbf{z}^n.$$

From (17), we have

(20)  
$$|c_{\mathsf{n}}| = \left| \frac{\left( (1+q[\mathsf{n}]_{q}) - \eta(\mathsf{A}+q[\mathsf{n}]_{q}\mathsf{B}) \right)}{(\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{A})\eta} (1+\beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_{q})^{k} \right| \\ \leq \frac{\left( (1-\mathsf{A}) + q[\mathsf{n}]_{q}\eta(1-\mathsf{B}) \right)}{(\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{A})\eta} (1+\beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_{q})^{k}.$$

If  $f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mathbf{z}^n$ ,  $(a_n > 0)$  fulfills the condition (17), then (18) yields

(21) 
$$\left|\frac{f(\mathbf{z}) * h(\mathbf{z})}{\mathbf{z}^{-1}}\right| \ge \delta \qquad (\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{U}^*).$$

Now let  $\rho(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \mathbf{z}^n \in \mathcal{N}_{mu,q}(f)$ , then

$$\left|\frac{(\rho(\mathbf{z}) - f(\mathbf{z})) * h(\mathbf{z})}{\mathbf{z}^{-1}}\right| = \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n) c_n \mathbf{z}^{n+1}\right|$$
$$\leq |\mathbf{z}| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left((1 - \mathbf{A}) + q[\mathbf{n}]_q \eta(1 - \mathbf{B})\right)}{(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}) \eta} (1 + \beta[\mathbf{n} + 1]_q)^k |b_n - a_n|$$
$$< \delta.$$

Thus for any complex number  $\eta$  satisfying  $|\eta|=1,$  then

$$\frac{\rho(\mathbf{z}) * h(\mathbf{z})}{\mathbf{z}^{-1}} \neq 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*).$$

this leads to the conclusion that  $\rho(\mathsf{z}) \in \Sigma_q[k;\mathsf{A},\mathsf{B}].$ 

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $-1 < A \leq 0$ . If  $f \in \Sigma$  of the form (1) and  $\mathfrak{s}_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} a_n z^n$   $(k \geq 2)$ . Suppose that

(22) 
$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{\infty} c_{\mathbf{n}} |a_{\mathbf{n}}| \le 1,$$

where

$$c_{\mathsf{n}} = \frac{\left((1-\mathsf{A}) + q[\mathsf{n}]_q \eta(1-\mathsf{B})\right)}{(\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{A})\eta} (1+\beta[\mathsf{n}+1]_q)^k$$

Then, we have

(23) 
$$1)f(z) \in \Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}].$$
$$2) \Re e\left\{\frac{f(\mathsf{z})}{\mathfrak{s}_k(\mathsf{z})}\right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{c_{k-1}}.$$

(24) 3) 
$$\Re e\left\{\frac{\mathfrak{s}_k(\mathsf{z})}{f(\mathsf{z})}\right\} > \frac{c_{k-1}}{1+c_{k-1}}.$$

The estimates are sharp.

*Proof.* (1) It is obvious that  $\frac{1}{z} \in \Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ . Thus from Theorem 2.1, and the condition (22), we have  $\mathcal{N}_{mu,q}(\frac{1}{z}) \subseteq \Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ . This gives  $f(\mathsf{z}) \in \Sigma_q[k; \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B}]$ . (2) It is easy to see that  $1 < c_k < c_{k+1}$ . Thus

(25) 
$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{k-2} |a_{\mathbf{n}}| + c_{k+1} \sum_{\mathbf{n}=k-1}^{\infty} |a_{\mathbf{n}}| \le \sum_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{\infty} c_{\mathbf{n}} |a_{\mathbf{n}}| \le 1.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{h}_{1} = c_{k-1} \left\{ \frac{f(\mathbf{z})}{\mathfrak{s}_{k}(\mathbf{z})} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_{k-1}}\right) \right\} = 1 + \frac{c_{k-1} \sum_{\mathsf{n}=k-1}^{\infty} a_{\mathsf{n}} \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{n}+1}}{1 + \sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{k-2} a_{\mathsf{n}} \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{n}+1}}.$$

It follows from (25) that

$$\left|\frac{\mathfrak{h}_{1}-1}{\mathfrak{h}_{1}+1}\right| \leq \frac{c_{k-1}\sum_{\mathbf{n}=k-1}^{\infty}|a_{\mathbf{n}}|}{2-2\sum_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{k-2}|a_{\mathbf{n}}|-c_{k-1}\sum_{\mathbf{n}=k-1}^{\infty}|a_{\mathbf{n}}|} \leq 1, \qquad (\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{U}^{*}).$$

From this we obtain the inequality (23). If we take

(26) 
$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}} - \frac{\mathbf{z}^{k-1}}{c_{k-1}},$$

then

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{z}^k}{c_{k-1}} \longrightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{c_{k-1}}$$
 as  $k \longrightarrow 1 - .$ 

This demonstrates that the bound in (23) is best possible for any k.

Similarly, assuming that we take

$$\mathfrak{h}_{2} = (1 + c_{k+1}) \left\{ \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{k}(\mathsf{z})}{f(\mathsf{z})} - \left( \frac{c_{k-1}}{1 + c_{k-1}} \right) \right\} = 1 + \frac{(1 + c_{k-1}) \sum_{\mathsf{n}=k-1}^{\infty} a_{\mathsf{n}} \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{n}+1}}{1 + \sum_{\mathsf{n}=0}^{\infty} a_{\mathsf{n}} \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{n}+1}}.$$

Then, we deduce that

$$\left|\frac{\mathfrak{h}_{2}-1}{\mathfrak{h}_{2}+1}\right| \leq \frac{(1+c_{k-1})\sum_{\mathsf{n}=k-1}^{\infty}|a_{\mathsf{n}}|}{2-2\sum_{\mathsf{n}=1}^{k-2}|a_{\mathsf{n}}|+(1-c_{k-1})\sum_{\mathsf{n}=k-1}^{\infty}|a_{\mathsf{n}}|} \leq 1, \qquad (\mathsf{z}\in\mathbb{U}^{*}).$$

which yields (24). The estimate (24) is sharp with the extremal function f(z) given by (26).

# References

- A. Alatawi, M. Darus and S. Sivasubramanian, Generalised subclasses of meromorphically qstarlike function using the Janowski functions, Mathematical Foundations of Computing, 2023. https://doi.org/10.3934/mfc.2023021.
- [2] A. Alatawi, M. Darus, B. Alamri, Applications of Gegenbauer polynomials for subfamilies of bi-univalent functions involving a Borel distribution-type Mittag-Leffler function, Symmetry. 15 (2023), 785.
- [3] O. Altintas and S. Owa, Neighborhoods of cartain analytic functions with negative coefficients, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences. 19 (1996), 797–800.
- [4] J. Clune, On meromorphic Schlicht functions, Journal of the London Mathematical Society. 1 (1959), 215–216.
- [5] M. Darus and R.W. Ibrahim, On partial sums of generalized differential operator, Proc, Pakistan Acad. Sci. 46 (2009), 209–215.
- [6] S. Elhaddad, H. Aldweby and M. Darus, Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator involving Mittag-Leffler function, Acta Universitatis Apulensis. 18 (2018), 1–10.
- [7] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, Cambridge university press, 2004.
- [8] A.W. Goodman, Univalent functions and non analytic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 598-601.
- [9] S.H. Hadi, M. Darus and A. Alb Lupaş, A class of Janowski-type (p,q)-convex harmonic functions involving a generalized q-Mittag-Leffler function, Axioms. 12 (2023), 190.
- [10] F.H. Jackson, On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. 46 (1909), 253–281.
- [11] F.H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals, The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 41 (1910), 193–203.
- [12] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, Annales Polonici Mathematici. 28 (1973), 297–326.
- [13] V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [14] V. Karunakaran, On a class of meromorphic starlike functions in the unit disc, Mathematical chronicle. 4 (1974),112–121.
- [15] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and S.V.S. Velayudam, Neighborhoods and Partial sums of meromorphic Univalent Functions, Mapana Journal of Sciences. 4 (2005), 22–31.
- [16] J.E. Miller, Convex meromorphic mappings and related functions, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. 25 (1970), 220–228.
- [17] A. Mohammed and M. Darus, A generalized operator involving the q-hypergeometric function, Matematicki Vesnik. 65 (2013), 454–465.
- [18] S. Mahmood, Q.Z. Ahmad, H.M. Srivastava, N. Khan, B. Khan, and M. Tahir, A certain subclass of meromorphically q-starlike functions associated with the Janowski functions, Journal of Inequalities and Applications. (2019), 01–11.
- [19] L.M. Morga, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, Mathematica Japonica. 35 (1990), 01–11.
- [20] C. Pommerenke, On meromorphic starlike functions, Pacific Journal of Mathematics. 13 (1963), 221–235.

- [21] W.C. Royster, Meromorphic starlike multivalent functions, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. 107 (1963), 300–308.
- [22] T.M. Seoudy and M.K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of new classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions of complex order, Jornal of Mathematical Inequalities. 10 (2016), 135–145.
- [23] A. S. Shinde, R. N. Ingle and P. T. Reddy, On Certain Subclass Of Meromorphic Functions With Positive Coefficients, Palestine Journal of Mathematics. 10 (2021),685–693.
- [24] H.M. Srivastava, H.M. Hossen and M.K. Aouf, A unified presentation of some classes of meromorphically multivalent functions, Comput. Math. Appl. 38 (1996), 63–70.
- [25] H. Silverman, Neighborhoods of a classes of analytic function, Far East J. Math.Sci. 3 (1995), 165–169.
- [26] H. Silverman, Partial sums of starlike and convex functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 209 (1997), 221–227.
- [27] H.M. Srivastava, S.H. Hadi and M. Darus, Some subclasses of p-valent  $\gamma$ -uniformly type q-starlike and q-convex functions defined by using a certain generalized q-Bernardi integral operator, Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat. **117** (2023), 65.
- [28] H. Tang, H. Zayed and A. Mostafa and M. Aouf, Fekete-Szego Problems for Certain Classes of Meromorphic Functions Using q-Derivative Operator, Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications. 38 (3) (2018), 236-246. http://dx.doi.org/10.3770/j.issn:2095-2651.2018. 03.002

### Abdullah Alatawi

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, 43600, Selangor, Malaysia;

Department of Mathematics, University of Tabuk,

Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia

*E-mail*: p106137@siswa.ukm.edu.my

### Maslina Darus

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, 43600, Selangor, Malaysia. *E-mail*: maslina@ukm.edu.my