

HERMITE-HADAMARD TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR PREINVEX FUNCTIONS WITH APPLICATIONS

SHIWANI SINGH, SHASHI KANT MISHRA, VANDANA SINGH, PANKAJ KUMAR,
AND HÜSEYİN BUDAK*

ABSTRACT. In this article, we establish new Hermite-Hadamard Type inequalities for functions whose first derivative in absolute value are preinvex. Further, we give some application of our obtained results to some special means of real numbers. Moreover, we discuss several special cases of the results obtained in this paper.

1. Introduction

It is well known that convexity plays an important role in mathematical programming and optimization theory. An important generalization of convex functions is that of invex functions, which is introduced by Hanson [1]. The result of Hanson influenced many subsequent work, which has greatly expanded the role and applications of invexity in non-linear optimization and other branches of pure and applied science. The concept of preinvex functions was introduced by Ben-Israel and Mond [2]. It is well known that invex sets and preinvex functions may not be convex sets and convex functions. Weir and Mond [3] and further, Noor *et al.* [4] have studied the basic properties of the preinvex functions and their role in optimization see, [5].

The idea of integral inequality is a fascinating area for research within mathematical analysis. Hermite-Hadamard inequality [6, 7] plays most important role in the subject of convex analysis. This inequality is one of the most well established inequalities in the theory of convex function with a geometrical interpretation and many applications. Noor [9] introduced the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for preinvex and log-preinvex function, which are the generalization of the classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality. Various refinements of the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for the convex functions and their variants forms are being obtained in the literature by many researchers see, [10–17].

Received September 4, 2023. Revised February 21, 2025. Accepted February 21, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A51; 26A48; 26D99.

Key words and phrases: Hermite-Hadamard type inequality; preinvex function; Holder's inequality.

* Corresponding author.

The first author is financially supported by BHU-UGC Non-NET fellowship R/Dev./Sch./UGC Non-Net Fellowship/2022-23/58420. The second author is financially supported by "Research Grant for Faculty" (IoE Scheme) under Dev. Scheme NO. 6031.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2025.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Recent years, several well-known inequalities like the Hermite-Hadamard type inequality, the Ostrowski type inequality and the Minkowski type inequality have been presented with the help of using interval analysis. Furthermore, mathematicians have recently begun to establish integral inequalities using interval-valued fractional operators. Srivastava *et al.* [18] introduced Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for interval-Valued preinvex functions via fractional integral operators. Recently, Khan *et al.* [19] introduced some new versions of integral inequalities for left and right preinvex functions in the interval-valued settings. Further, Lai *et al.* [20] introduced the concept of preinvex interval-valued function and obtained the new inclusion of fractional Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities of these functions. For more details of the connections between the different form of interval-valued functions and integral inequalities see, [21–24].

The paper is summarized as follows: In section 2, we recall some basic results that are necessary for our main results. In section 3, we prove Hermite-Hadamard-type inequalities for a differentiable preinvex functions. In section 4, we obtain the applications to some special means. In section 5, we present the conclusion and future direction of this study.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some necessary definitions which are used throughout this paper. Let $\Omega : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where S is non-empty set in \mathbb{R}^n , be continuous functions.

DEFINITION 2.1. [3] A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be invex with respect to the mapping $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, if

$$\tau + t\eta(\nu, \tau) \in S$$

for every $\nu, \tau \in S$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Notice that every convex set is invex with respect to the mapping $\eta(\nu, \tau) = \nu - \tau$, but the converse is not necessarily true see [28] and references therein.

DEFINITION 2.2. [3] The function Ω defined on the invex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be preinvex with respect to η , if

$$\Omega(\tau + t\eta(\nu, \tau)) \leq (1 - t)\Omega(\tau) + t\Omega(\nu), \forall \nu, \tau \in S, t \in [0, 1].$$

The concept of preinvexity is more general than convexity since every convex function is preinvex with respect to the mapping $\eta(\nu, \tau) = \nu - \tau$, but the converse is not true.

Mohan and Neogy [8] introduced the following well-known condition C:

Condition C: Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. The function η satisfies the condition C if for any $\nu, \tau \in S$ and any $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\tau, \tau + t\eta(\nu, \tau)) &= -t\eta(\nu, \tau), \\ \eta(\nu, \tau + t\eta(\nu, \tau)) &= (1 - t)\eta(\nu, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $\nu, \tau \in S$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, then from condition C, we have

$$\eta(\tau + t_2\eta(\nu, \tau), \tau + t_1\eta(\nu, \tau)) = (t_2 - t_1)\eta(\nu, \tau).$$

In [9], Noor provided the following proof of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for the preinvex functions:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\Omega : S = [\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a preinvex function on the interval of real numbers S^0 (the interior of S) and $\tau, \nu \in S^0$ with $\tau < \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)$. Then the following inequality holds:-*

$$(1) \quad \Omega\left(\frac{2\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega(x) dx \leq \frac{\Omega(\tau) + \Omega(\nu)}{2}.$$

Barani *et al.* [25], proved the following theorem:-

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\Omega : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differential function. If $|\Omega'|$ is preinvex on S then, for every $\tau, \nu \in S$ with $\eta(\nu, \tau) \neq 0$ the following inequality holds:-*

$$(2) \quad \left| \frac{\Omega(\tau) + \Omega(\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau))}{2} - \frac{1}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{|\eta(\nu, \tau)|}{8} (|\Omega'(\tau)| + |\Omega'(\nu)|).$$

THEOREM 2.5. [26] Under the assumptions of the above theorem, the following inequality holds:

$$(3) \quad \left| \Omega\left(\frac{2\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{|\eta(\nu, \tau)|}{8} (|\Omega'(\tau)| + |\Omega'(\nu)|).$$

3. Main Result

In this section, we introduce some generalizations of Hermite-Hadamard-type inequality for functions whose first derivatives absolute values are preinvex.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let Ω be an absolutely continuous function on an interval $[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$ and let $\Omega' \in L_1[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$ be its derivative. Then the following result holds true:-*

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3} \left[\Omega(\tau) + \Omega(\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)) + \Omega\left[\frac{(2\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau))}{2}\right] \right] - \frac{1}{\eta(\nu, \eta)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega(x) dx \\ & = \eta(\nu, \tau) \left[\int_0^{1/2} \left(x - \frac{1}{3}\right) \Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau)) dx + \int_{1/2}^1 \left(x - \frac{2}{3}\right) \Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau)) dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us solve the subsequent integral by integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(x - \frac{1}{3}\right) \Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau)) dx \\ &= \left[\left(x - \frac{1}{3}\right) \left[\frac{\Omega(\tau + x(\eta(\nu, \tau)))}{\eta(\nu, \tau)}\right] \right]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} - \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Omega(\tau + x(\eta(\nu, \tau)))}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega\left(\frac{2\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\Omega(\tau)}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} - \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Omega(\tau + x(\eta(\nu, \tau)))}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(x - \frac{2}{3}\right) \Omega'(\tau + x(\eta(\nu, \tau))) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{\Omega(\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau))}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} + \frac{1}{6\eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega\left(\frac{2\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)}{2}\right) - \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{\Omega(\tau + x(\eta(\nu, \tau)))}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By adding both equalities I_1 and I_2 , then multiplying by $\eta(\nu, \tau)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta(\nu, \tau)[I_1 + I_2] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[\Omega(\tau) + \Omega(\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)) + \Omega\left[\frac{2\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)}{2}\right] \right] - \frac{1}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let Ω be an absolutely continuous function on an interval $[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$ and $\Omega' \in L_1[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$ be its derivative. Suppose also that $|\Omega'|^q$ is preinvex on $[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$ for some $q \geq 1$. Then the following result holds true:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{3} \left[\Omega(\tau) + \Omega(\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)) + \Omega\left(\frac{2\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega(x) dx \right| \\ (5) \quad &\leq |\eta(\nu, \tau)| \left(\frac{5}{72}\right)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})} \left\{ \left(\frac{111 |\Omega'(\tau)|^q}{1944} + \frac{|\Omega'(\nu)|^q}{81} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\ (6) \quad &\left. + \left(\frac{|\Omega'(\tau)|^q}{81} + \frac{111 |\Omega'(\nu)|^q}{1944} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{3} \left[\Omega(\tau) + \Omega(\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)) + \Omega\left(\frac{2\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega(x) dx \right| \\ &= |\eta(\nu, \tau)| \left| \int_0^{1/2} \left(x - \frac{1}{3}\right) \Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau)) dx + \int_{1/2}^1 \left(x - \frac{2}{3}\right) \Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau)) dx \right| \\ (7) \quad &\leq |\eta(\nu, \tau)| \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left|x - \frac{1}{3}\right| |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left|x - \frac{2}{3}\right| |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))| dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we assume that $q=1$, and by using the fact that the function $|\Omega'|^q$ is preinvex on $[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$, we derive the following inequality:-

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left| x - \frac{2}{3} \right| |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))| dx \\
 & \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| [(1-x)|\Omega'(\tau)| + x|\Omega'(\nu)|] dx \\
 & \quad + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left| x - \frac{2}{3} \right| [(1-x)|\Omega'(\tau)| + x|\Omega'(\nu)|] dx \\
 & \leq |\Omega'(\tau)| \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-x) \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-x) \left| x - \frac{2}{3} \right| dx \right) \\
 & \quad + |\Omega'(\nu)| \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} x \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 x \left| x - \frac{2}{3} \right| dx \right) \\
 (8) \quad & = \frac{5(|\Omega'(\tau)| + |\Omega'(\nu)|)}{72}.
 \end{aligned}$$

As a result, the desired inequality asserted by Theorem 3.2 holds true when $q = 1$. Let us we assume that $q > 1$. In addition, we will use the Hölder integral inequality in the classical settings for $L_p - L_q$ functions; About this inequality, see, e.g.,the monograph [27]. Thus, from the Hölder integral inequality with $p=\frac{q}{q-1}$, we obtain-

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))| dx \\
 & = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right|^{(1-\frac{1}{q})} \left(\left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} \Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau)) \right) dx \\
 & \leq \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| \right)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{5}{72} \right)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})} \left(|\Omega'(\tau)|^q \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-x) \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| dx + |\Omega'(\nu)|^q \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} x \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 (9) \quad & \leq \left(\frac{5}{72} \right)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})} \left(\frac{111|\Omega'(\tau)|^q}{1944} + \frac{|\Omega'(\nu)|^q}{81} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

similarly, we find that

$$(10) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left| x - \frac{2}{3} \right| |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))| dx \leq \left(\frac{5}{72} \right)^{(1-\frac{1}{q})} \left(\frac{|\Omega'(\tau)|^q}{81} + \frac{111|\Omega'(\nu)|^q}{1944} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

From (7), (9) and (10), we obtain the result (5) asserted by Theorem 3.2. □

REMARK 3.3. If we choose $\eta(\nu, \tau) = \nu - \tau$, Theorem 3.2 reduces to [[12]: Theorem 3].

THEOREM 3.4. *Let Ω be an absolutely continuous function on an interval $[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$ and let its derivative $\Omega' \in L_1[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$. Suppose also that $|\Omega'|^q$ is preinvex on $[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$ for some $q > 1$. Then the following result holds true:*

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{1}{3} \left[\Omega(\tau) + \Omega(\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)) + \Omega \left(\frac{2\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)}{2} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{\eta(\nu, \tau)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)} \Omega(x) dx \right| \\ \leq \frac{|\eta(\nu, \tau)|}{12} \left(\frac{1 + 2^{(p+1)}}{3(p+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left[|\Omega'(\tau)|^q + |\Omega'(\nu)|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. As the function $|\Omega'|^q$ is convex on $[\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)]$, we have-

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))|^q dx \leq \frac{3|\Omega'(\tau)|^q + |\Omega'(\nu)|^q}{8},$$

and

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))|^q dx \leq \frac{|\Omega'(\tau)|^q + 3|\Omega'(\nu)|^q}{8}.$$

straightforward calculation yields

$$(12) \quad \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right|^p dx = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left| x - \frac{2}{3} \right|^p dx = \frac{1 + 2^{(p+1)}}{6^{(p+1)}(p+1)}.$$

Thus, by applying the Hölder integral inequality, we obtain

$$(13) \quad \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right| |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))| dx \\ \leq \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| x - \frac{1}{3} \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$(14) \quad \leq \left(\frac{1 + 2^{(p+1)}}{6^{(p+1)}(p+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{3|\Omega'(\tau)|^q + |\Omega'(\nu)|^q}{8} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and

$$(15) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left| x - \frac{2}{3} \right| |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))| dx \\ \leq \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left| x - \frac{2}{3} \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |\Omega'(\tau + x\eta(\nu, \tau))|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$(16) \quad \leq \left(\frac{1 + 2^{(p+1)}}{6^{(p+1)}(p+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{|\Omega'(\tau)|^q + 3|\Omega'(\nu)|^q}{8} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Finally, by combining (7), (13), and (15) and making some basic simplifications, the asserted result (11) is obtained. \square

REMARK 3.5. If we choose $\eta(\nu, \tau) = \nu - \tau$, Theorem 3.4 reduces to [[12]: Theorem 4].

4. Applications to Special Means

Our aim in this section is to derive some new inequalities involving combinations of special means and its powers. In fact, the logarithmic mean and a generalized logarithmic mean are special cases of the means introduced by Tibor Radó and also establish several important inequalities for them see, for details, [27]. The study of different types of means is fulfilled see, [30–33].

We consider some means for arbitrary positive real numbers s, t with $s < t$.

1. The arithmetic mean:

$$M(s, t) = \frac{s+t}{2}.$$

2. The logarithmic mean:

$$L(s, t) = \frac{t-s}{\log(t)-\log(s)}, \quad s \neq t.$$

3. The generalized logarithmic mean:

$$L_r(s, t) = \left(\frac{(t)^{r+1} - (s)^{r+1}}{(r+1)(t-s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0\}; s \neq t.$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \tau < \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)$. Then the following inequality holds true:*

$$(17) \quad \left| \frac{2}{3} M((\tau)^r, (\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau))^r) + \frac{1}{3} M^r(\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)) - L_r^r(\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)) \right| \\ \leq r |\eta(\nu, \tau)| \left(\frac{5}{72} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \left(\frac{111\tau^{q(r-1)}}{1944} + \frac{\nu^{q(r-1)}}{81} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{\tau^{q(r-1)}}{81} + \frac{111\nu^{q(r-1)}}{1944} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}$$

for all $q \geq 1$.

Proof. Proposition 4.1 follows from Theorem 3.2 upon setting $q = 1, \Omega(x) = x^r$. \square

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Under the assumption of Proposition 1, the following inequalities hold true:*

$$(18) \quad \left| \frac{2}{3} M((\tau)^r, (\tau + \eta(\nu, \tau))^r) + \frac{1}{3} M^r(\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)) - L_r^r(\tau, \tau + \eta(\nu, \tau)) \right| \\ \leq \frac{r |\eta(\nu, \tau)|}{6} \left(\frac{1 + 2^{p+1}}{6(p+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} M^{\frac{1}{q}}(\tau^{q(r-1)}, \nu^{q(r-1)}),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \quad p > 1.$$

Proof. Proposition 4.2 follows from Theorem 3.4 by putting $\Omega(x) = x^r, r \in (0, 1]$. \square

5. Conclusion and Future Directions

In this paper, we have established new Hermite-Hadamard-type inequalities of function for differentiable preinvex functions. As Consequences of some of our main results, we have obtained some applications to special means of real numbers. These results can be viewed as refinement and significant improvements of the previously known results. The results obtained in this paper can be extended to interval-valued functions and the corresponding differential equations and optimization problems. It is expected that the ideas and techniques of this paper may motivate further research in field of inequality.

Declarations

Authors' contributions. All authors contributed equally to this work.

Institutional Review Board Statement. Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement. Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement. Data sharing not applicable to this paper as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgments. The authors are indebted to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and remarks that helped to improve the presentation and quality of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] M.A. Hanson, *On sufficiency of the Kuhn-Tucker conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **80** (2) (1981), 545–550.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(81\)90123-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(81)90123-2)
- [2] A. Ben-Israel, and B. Mond, *What is invexity?*, The ANZIAM Journal. **28** (1) (1986), 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0334270000005142>
- [3] T. Weir and B. Mond., *Pre-invex functions in multiple objective optimization*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and applications. **136** (1) (1988), 29–38.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(88\)90113-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(88)90113-8)
- [4] M.A. Noor, K.I. Noor, and S. Rashid, *Some new classes of preinvex functions and inequalities*, Mathematics. **7** (1) (2019), 29.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/math7010029>
- [5] S.K. Mishra and G. Giorgio, *Invexity and optimization*, (Vol. 88). Springer Science and Business Media, (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-78562-0>
- [6] D. S. Mitrinović and I. B. Lacković, *Hermite and convexity*, Aequationes mathematicae, **28**(1) (1985), 229–232.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02189414>
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, *Refinements of the Hermite-Hadamard integral inequality for log-convex functions*, RGMIA research report collection. **3**(4) (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bulsci.2023.103316>
- [8] S. R. Mohan and S. K. Neogy, *On invex sets and preinvex functions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. **189** (1995), 901-908.
<https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1995.1057>

- [9] M.A. Noor, *Hermite-Hadamard integral inequalities for log-preinvex functions*, J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory 2(2)(2007), 126–131.
- [10] Y. Deng, H. Kalsoom and S. Wu, *Some new Quantum Hermite–Hadamard-type estimates within a class of generalized (s, m) –preinvex functions* Symmetry (2019).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/sym11101283>
- [11] M.A. Latif, *Hermite–Hadamard-type inequalities for geometrically r -convex functions in terms of Stolarskys mean with applications to means*, Adv. Differ. Equ. , 371 (2021)..
<https://advancesindifferenceequations.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s13662-021-03517-3>
- [12] H.M. Srivastava, Sana Mehrez, and M.S. Sergei, *Hermite-Hadamard-type integral inequalities for convex functions and their applications*, Mathematics. **10** 17 (2022)., 31-27.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math10173127>
- [13] B.Y. Xi and F. Qi, *Some integral inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type for convex functions with applications to means*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. , 980-438 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/980438>
- [14] S. Özcan and İ. İşcan, *Some new Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for the s -convex functions and theirs applications*, J. Inequal. Appl. , 201 (2019).
<https://journalofinequalitiesandapplications.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s13660-019-2151-2>
- [15] M. Tariq, S.K. Sahoo, F. Jarad and B. Kodamasingh, *Some integral inequalities for generalized preinvex functions with applications*, AIMS Mathematics. 6 (2021), 13907–13930.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/math.2021805>
- [16] S. S. Dragomir, *On the Hadamard's inequality for convex functions on the co-ordinates in a rectangle from the plane*, Taiwanese journal of mathematics. 775-788 (2001).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.11650/twjm/1500574995>
- [17] M. A. Latif, and S. S. Dragomir, *Some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for functions whose partial derivatives in absolute value are preinvex on the co-ordinates*, Facta Universitatis, Series Mathematics and Informatics. **28**(3) (2013)., 257-270.
- [18] H.M. Srivastava, S.K. Sahoo, P.O. Mohammed, D. Baleanu, and B. Kodamasingh, *Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities for interval-valued preinvex functions via fractional integral operators*, International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems. **15**(1) (2022)., p.8.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s44196-021-00061-6>
- [19] M. B. Khan, S. Treanță, M.S. Soliman, K. Nonlaopon and H.G. Zaini, *Some new versions of integral inequalities for left and right preinvex functions in the interval-valued settings*, Mathematics. **10**(4) (2022), 611.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math10040611>
- [20] K.K. Lai, J. Bisht, N. Sharma and S.K. Mishra, *Hermite-Hadamard-Type Fractional Inclusions for Interval-Valued Preinvex Functions*, Mathematics. **10**(2) (2022), 264.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math10020264>
- [21] K.K. Lai, S.K. Mishra, J. Bisht, and M.Hassan, *Hermite–Hadamard Type Inclusions for Interval-Valued Coordinated Preinvex Functions*, Symmetry. **14**(4) (2022), 771.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/sym14040771>
- [22] K.K. Lai, S.k. Mishra, and V. Singh, *Ostrowski Type Inequalities via Some Exponentially s -Preinvex Functions on Time Scales with Applications*, Symmetry. **15**(2) (2023), p.410.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/sym15020410>
- [23] F. Shi, G. Ye, D. Zhao, and W. Liu, *Some fractional Hermite–Hadamard-type inequalities for interval-valued coordinated functions*, Advances in Difference Equations, 2021(1) (2021) , 1-17.
<https://advancesindifferenceequations.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s13662-020-03200-z>
- [24] D. Zhao, T. An, G. Ye, and W. Liu, *Chebyshev type inequalities for interval-valued functions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. **396** (2020), 82-101.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2019.10.006>
- [25] A. Barani, A. G. Ghazanfari and S.S.Dragomir, *Hermite-Hadamard inequality for functions whose derivatives absolute values are preinvex*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications. (2012) 1-9.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2012-247>

- [26] Sarikaya, M. Z., Bozkurt, H., and Alp, N. (2012). On Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for preinvex and log-preinvex functions. arXiv preprint arXiv:1203.4759.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2012-247>
- [27] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluewer Acad. (1993), 99-133.
<https://doi.org/10.1137/1036070>
- [28] T. Antczak, *Mean value in invexity analysis*, Nonlinear analysis: theory, methods and applications. **60**(8) (2005), 1473-1484.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2004.11.005>
- [29] X.M. Yang, and D. Li, *On properties of preinvex functions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. **256**(1) (2001), 229-241.
<https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2000.7310>
- [30] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge university press (1952).
<https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2013.37078>
- [31] D. Karp and S. M. Sitnik, *Log-convexity and log-concavity of hypergeometric-like functions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. **364**(2) (2010), 384-394.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2009.10.057>
- [32] P. S. Bullen, D. S. Mitrinovic and M. Vasic, *Means and their inequalities* (Vol. 31), Springer Science and Business Media (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-2226-1>
- [33] P.S. Bullen, *Handbook of means and their inequalities*, (Vol. 560), Springer Science and Business Media (2003).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0399-4>

Shiwani singh

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University,
Varanasi 221005, Uttar Pradesh, India
E-mail: shiwanisinh1312@bhu.ac.in

Shashi Kant Mishra

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University,
Varanasi 221005, Uttar Pradesh, India
E-mail: shashikant.mishra@bhu.ac.in

Vandana singh

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University,
Varanasi 221005, Uttar Pradesh, India
E-mail: svandana96@bhu.ac.in

Pankaj Kumar

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University,
Varanasi 221005, Uttar Pradesh, India
E-mail: p_mmv@bhu.ac.in

Hüseyin Budak

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts,
Düzce University, Düzce, Türkiye
E-mail: hsyn.budak@gmail.com