# A $(k, \mu)$ -CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLD AS AN $\eta$ -EINSTEIN SOLITON

ARUP KUMAR MALLICK\* AND ARINDAM BHATTACHARYYA

ABSTRACT. The aim of the paper is to study an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on (2n + 1)dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold. At first, we establish various results related to (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold that exhibit an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton. Next we study some curvature conditions admitting an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold. Furthermore, we consider specific conditions associated with an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold. Finally, we show the existance of an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold.

## 1. Introduction

In 1995, Blair et al. [4] introduced the notion of contact metric manifold with characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)$  distribution and such type of manifold is called  $(k, \mu)$ - contact metric manifold. They obtained several results and a full classification of this manifold has been given by Boeckx [8].

A contact metric manifold is known [13] to exist where the curvature tensor R, in the direction of the characteristic vector field  $\xi$ , satisfies the equation  $R(X,Y)\xi = 0$ for any tangent vector field X, Y. For instance, the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold possesses such a structure [5]. By applying a D-homothetic deformation [21] on  $M^{2n+1}$  with the equation  $R(X,Y)\xi = 0$ , A novel class of contact metric manifolds that fulfills the condition

(1) 
$$R(X,Y)\xi = k \{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\} + \mu \{\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY\}, k, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

where h represents the Lie differentiation of  $\phi$  in the direction of  $\xi$  and R is the curvature tensor. A notable characteristic of this class is that the equation's type remains unchanged under a D-homothetic deformation.

A contact metric manifold that satisfies the aforementioned relation (1) is known as a  $(k, \mu)$ - contact metric manifold. This class of manifolds encompasses both Sasakian and non-Sasakian manifolds. In the case of Sasakian manifolds, k = 1, resulting in h = 0. However, for non-Sasakian manifolds, k < 1. Examples of such manifolds can be found in all dimensions. Notably, the tangent sphere bundles of Riemannian

Received February 10, 2024. Revised April 22, 2024. Accepted April 23, 2024.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C25, 53C50, 53D15.

Key words and phrases:  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold, Ricci flow,  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>(</sup>c) The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2024.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### A. K. Mallick and A. Bhattacharyya

manifolds with constant sectional curvature c, excluding c = 1, serve as characteristic examples of non-Sasakian  $(k, \mu)$ - contact metric manifolds. Particularly in the 3-dimensional case, this class includes the Lie group SO(3), SL(2, R), SU(2), O(1, 2), E(2), E(1, 1) with a left invariant metric [4]. For additional examples and a comprehensive classification of such manifolds, we refer to the mentioned paper [4]. It is worth noting that the papers also discuss contact metric manifolds with  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)$ - nullity distribution [7, 18, 19, 22, 23], along with numerous other studies on this topic.

For the real constants  $k, \mu$ , the  $(k, \mu)$ - nullity distribution of a contact metric manifold forms a distribution [7]

(2)  

$$N(k,\mu): p \to N_p(k,\mu) = [Z \in TpM : R(X,Y)Z]$$

$$=k \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\}$$

$$+ \mu \{g(Y,Z)hX - g(X,Z)hY\}],$$

for each  $X, Y \in T_p M$ .

Consequently, if the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(k, \mu)$ - nullity distribution, the above relation holds true. If  $\xi \in N(k)$ , we classify the manifold as an N(k)contact metric manifold [3]. For k = 1, then the manifold is Sasakian, and if k = 0, the manifold is locally isometric to the product  $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$  for n > 1 and flat for n = 1 [6], where n is the dimension of the manifolds. In a  $(k, \mu)$ - contact metric manifold, the manifold becomes an N(k)- contact manifold for  $\mu = 0$ .

In 1982, R.S. Hamilton [15, 16] introduced the concept of the Ricci flow as means to determine a canonical metric on a smooth manifold. The Ricci flow is an evolution equation that applies to a Riemannian metric g(t) on a smooth manifold M. It is defined by the following equation:

(3) 
$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2S,$$

where S is the Ricci tensor of the metric g(t).

A smooth manifold M, equipped with a Riemannian metric g, is known as a Ricci soliton if it moves only by a one parameter family of diffeomorphism and scaling. The Ricci soliton there exists a constant  $\lambda$  and a smooth vector field V on M that satisfies the following equation:

(4) 
$$\pounds_V g + 2S = 2\lambda g,$$

where  $\pounds_V$  denotes the Lie derivative along the direction of the vector field V and  $\lambda$  is a constant. The Ricci soliton exhibits shrinking, steady and expanding behaviour depending on  $\lambda > 0, \lambda = 0, \lambda < 0$  respectively.

A Ricci soliton is a generalization of an Einstein metric which moves only by an oneparameter group diffeomorphisms and scaling [15].

A.E. Fischer [14] in 2005, developed the concept of conformal Ricci flow equation which is a variation of the classical Ricci flow equation that modifies the unit volume constraint of that equation to a scalar curvature constraint. The conformal Ricci flow on M is defined by the equation [14]

(5) 
$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + 2\left(S + \frac{g}{n}\right) = -pg, \quad r(g) = -1,$$

where M is considered as a smooth closed connected oriented manifold, p is a nondynamical(time dependent) scalar field, r(g) is the scalar curvature of the manifold and n is the dimension of manifold.

In 2015, N. Basu and A. Bhattacharyya [1] introduced the notion of conformal Ricci soliton as a generalization of the Ricci soliton and the equation is given by

(6) 
$$(\pounds_V g) + 2S = \left[2\lambda - \left(p + \frac{2}{n}\right)\right]g,$$

where p is the conformal pressure.

The concept of  $\eta$ -Ricci soliton introduced by J.T. Cho and M. Kimura [11], and later C. Calin and M. Crasmareanu [9] studied it on Hopf hyper- surfaces in complex space forms. A Riemannian manifold is said to admit an  $\eta$ -Ricci soliton if for a smooth vector field V, the metric g satisfies the following equation

(7) 
$$(\pounds_V g) + 2S + 2\lambda g + 2\mu\eta \otimes \eta = 0,$$

where  $\pounds_V$  is the Lie derivative along the direction of V.

In 2018, M.D. Siddiqui [12] introduced the concept of a conformal  $\eta$ -Ricci soliton and the equation is given by

(8) 
$$(\pounds_V g) + 2S + \left[2\lambda - \left(p + \frac{2}{n}\right)\right]g + 2\mu\eta \otimes \eta = 0.$$

In 2018, A.M. Blaga [2] proposed that a Riemannian manifold admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton if the equation satisfies

(9) 
$$\pounds_V g + 2S + (2\lambda - r)g + 2\mu\eta \otimes \eta = 0,$$

For  $\mu = 0$ , the data  $(g, \xi, \lambda)$  is called Einstein soliton [10].

The outline of the paper is organized as follows:

The introduction provides an overview and motivation for the study of an  $\eta$ -Einstein solitons on  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifolds. Section 2 presents fundamental tools and concepts related to (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifolds. Section 3 focuses on (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold that admit an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton. Section 4 investigates an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ contact metric manifolds satisfying R(X, Y).S = 0. Section 5 is devoted to the study of an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifolds satisfying curvature condition  $C(\xi, X).S = 0$ . The investigation continues in Section 6, which delves into torse-forming vector field on  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifolds admitting an  $\eta$ -Einstein solitons. In section 7, a specific example of (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold possesses an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton is presented.

### 2. Preliminaries

A (2n + 1)-dimensional smooth manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is called an almost contact manifold with structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ , where  $\phi$  is a tensor field of type (1,1),  $\xi$  is a vector field,  $\eta$  is a 1-form and a Riemannian metric g if

(10) 
$$\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \phi \xi = 0,$$

for any  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

Let g be a conformable Riemannian metric with structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , i.e.,

(11) 
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad g(\xi, \xi) = 1.$$

Then  $M^{2n+1}$  becomes an almost contact metric manifold furnish with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , i.e.,

(12) 
$$g(X,\phi Y) = -g(\phi X,Y),$$

for every  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

An almost contact metric structure enhance a contact metric structure if

(13) 
$$d\eta \left( X,Y\right) =g\left( X,\phi Y\right) ,$$

for every  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

In a contact metric manifold  $M^{2n+1}$ , we define the (1,1)-tensor field h by  $2hX = (\pounds_{\xi}\phi)(X)$ , where  $\pounds_{\xi}$  denotes Lie differentiation in the direction of the vector field  $\xi$ . The tensor h is symmetric, such that

(14) 
$$h\xi = 0, \quad h\phi = -\phi h, \quad tr(h) = 0, \quad tr(\phi h) = 0,$$

(15) 
$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi h X.$$

(16) 
$$(\nabla_X \eta) Y = g(X, \phi Y) - g(X, \phi hY).$$

In a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold the following results hold [4,5]:

(17) 
$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX),$$

(18) 
$$h^2 = (k-1)\phi^2$$

and

(19) 
$$rank\phi = 2n.$$

Also in a (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold, we have the following relations hold from [4,8]

(20)  
$$\eta \left( R(X,Y)Z \right) = k \left[ g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y) \right] + \mu \left[ g(hY,Z)\eta(X) - g(hX,Z)\eta(Y) \right],$$

(21) 
$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) - 2nk\eta(X)\eta(Y) - 2(2n - 2 + \mu)g(hX, Y),$$

$$S(X,Y) = (2n - 2 - n\mu) g(X,Y) + (2 - 2n + 2nk + n\mu) \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

(22) 
$$+ (2n - 2 + \mu) g(hX, Y),$$

(23) 
$$S(X,\xi) = 2nk\eta(X),$$

 $(24) S(\xi,\xi) = 2nk,$ 

(25) 
$$R(\xi, X) Y = k [g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] + \mu [g(hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)hX],$$

(26) 
$$R(\xi, X)\xi = k[\eta(X)\xi - X] + \mu[\eta(hX) - hX],$$

(27) 
$$r = (2n - 2 + k - n\mu),$$

(28) 
$$Q\xi = 2nk\xi.$$

Now, we give the following definitions:

DEFINITION 2.1. [20] A Riemannian manifold is said to have Ricci-recurrent if it satisfies the following relation

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = B(X)S(Y, Z),$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ , where B is a 1-form on M. If the 1-form B is identically zero on M, then the Ricci-recurrent manifold is said to be a Ricci-symmetric manifold, that is, the Ricci tensor is covariant constant.

DEFINITION 2.2. The concircular curvature tensor in a (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ contact metric manifold is defined by [24]

(29) 
$$C(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \left[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\right],$$

for each vector fields  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ . The manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is called  $\xi$ -concircularly flat if  $C(X, Y)\xi = 0$  for each vector fields  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A vector field V on a (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold is said to be torse-forming vector field [25] if

(30) 
$$\nabla_Y V = fY + \gamma(Y)V,$$

where f is a smooth function and  $\gamma$  is a 1-form.

DEFINITION 2.4. A (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold is said to be an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for all  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$  and smooth functions a, b on M. If b = 0, then the manifold is said to be an Einstein manifold.

## 3. (2n + 1)-dimensional $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold admitting an $\eta$ -Einstein Soliton

Here we consider  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  admitting an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton. In the first part, we try to characterize the nature of the soliton by calculating the condition under which an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton is shrinking, steady or expanding on a (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold.

Now, we state the following theorem :

THEOREM 3.1. If a (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$ is Ricci symmetric (i.e.,  $\nabla S = 0$ ) and admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$ , then  $\mu = 0$  and the constant scalar curvature  $r = 2\lambda + 4kn$ . Furthermore, the soliton is shrinking, steady and expanding for r < 4kn, r = 4kn and r > 4kn, respectively.

*Proof.* Let us consider a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  admitting an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$ . Then from the equation (9), we have

(31) 
$$(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) + 2S(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r)g(X,Y) + 2\mu\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0,$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ . From (31), we get

(32) 
$$2S(X,Y) = -(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) - (2\lambda - r)g(X,Y) - 2\mu\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Now, with the help of (15), we have

(33) 
$$(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) = -2g(\phi hX,Y)$$

From (32) and (33), we obtain

(34) 
$$S(X,Y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \lambda\right)g(X,Y) - \mu\eta(X)\eta(Y) + g(\phi hX,Y).$$

Putting  $Y = \xi$  in (34), we get

(35) 
$$S(X,\xi) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \lambda - \mu\right)\eta(X).$$

Comparing the equations (35) and (23), we have

$$2kn\eta(X) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \lambda - \mu\right)\eta(X).$$

Since  $\eta$  is a non-zero 1-form, it becomes

(36) 
$$r = 2\lambda + 2\mu + 4kn.$$

It is well known that,

(37) 
$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = X(S(Y,Z)) - S(\nabla_X Y,Z) - S(Y,\nabla_X Z),$$

for every vector fields X, Y, Z on  $M^{2n+1}$ .

Using the equation (34) and (37), we achieve

(38) 
$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = -\mu[\eta(Z)(\nabla_X \eta)Y + \eta(Y)(\nabla_X \eta)Z],$$

for every vector fields X, Y, Z on  $M^{2n+1}$ .

Using equation (16), the above equation becomes

(39)

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = -\mu \left[ \eta(Z) \left( g(X,\phi Y) - g(X,\phi hY) \right) + \eta(Y) \left( g(X,\phi Z) - g(X,\phi hZ) \right) \right]$$

If the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is Ricci symmetric, then  $\nabla S = 0$ . Therefore the equation (20) reduces to

(40) 
$$-\mu \left[\eta(Z) \left(g(X, \phi Y) - g(X, \phi hY)\right) + \eta(Y) \left(g(X, \phi Z) - g(X, \phi hZ)\right)\right] = 0,$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ .

Putting  $Z = \xi$  in the equation (40), we have

(41) 
$$\mu\left[g(X,\phi Y) - g(X,\phi hY)\right] = 0$$

for any  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ . Then  $\mu=0$  as  $g(\phi X, Y) \neq g(X, \phi hY)$ . Equation (36) reduce to

(42) 
$$r = 2\lambda + 4kn.$$

From (42), we can conclude the following :

(i) If  $\lambda < 0$ , then r < 4kn implies the soliton is shrinking.

(*ii*) If  $\lambda = 0$ , then r = 4kn implies the soliton is steady.

(*iii*) If  $\lambda > 0$ , then r > 4kn implies the soliton is expanding. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.2. If the metric of a (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold is an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton and the Ricci tensor is  $\eta$ -Recurrent (i.e.  $\nabla S = \eta \otimes S$ ), then the constant scalar curvature  $r = 2(\lambda + \mu)$ 

*Proof.* Let us have a look the Ricci tensor is  $\eta$ -Recurrent, then we get

(43) 
$$\nabla S = \eta \otimes S,$$

that is,

(44) 
$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = \eta(X)S(Y,Z),$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z on M.

From equations (39) and (44), we obtain

(45)

$$-\mu \left[\eta(Z) \left(g(X, \phi Y) - g(X, \phi hY)\right) + \eta(Y) \left(g(X, \phi Z) - g(X, \phi hZ)\right)\right] = \eta(X)S(Y, Z).$$

Putting  $Y = Z = \xi$  in the equation (45) and using the equation (35), we obtain

(46) 
$$\left(\frac{r}{2} - \lambda - \mu\right)\eta(X) = 0.$$

Since  $\eta$  is 1-form, the above equation becomes

$$r = 2(\lambda + \mu).$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.3. If a (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ - contact metric manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$ admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \nu, \lambda, \mu)$  such that the vector field  $\nu$  is pointwise collinear with  $\xi$  (i.e  $\nu$  is a constant multiple of  $\xi$ ), then the manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$ becomes an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold of constant scalar curvature  $r = 2\lambda + 2\mu + 4kn$ .

*Proof.* Considering a  $(k, \mu)$ - contact metric manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  that admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(q, \nu, \lambda, \mu)$  such that  $\nu$  is parallel to  $\xi$ , that is,  $\nu = c\xi$  for some function c, and using this in equation (9), it follows that

$$(\pounds_{c\xi}g)(X,Y) + 2S(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r)g(X,Y) + 2\mu\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0,$$

which gives

(47) 
$$cg(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + (Xc)\eta(Y) + cg(\nabla_Y \xi, X) + (Yc)\eta(X) + 2S(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r)g(X,Y) + 2\mu\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0.$$

Using (15) in the equation (47), we get

$$-cg(\phi X, Y) - cg(\phi hX, Y) + (Xc)\eta(Y) - cg(\phi Y, X) - cg(\phi hY, X) + (Yc)\eta(X)$$
48)

(48)

$$+ 2S(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r)g(X,Y) + 2\mu\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0.$$

Substituting  $Y = \xi$  in (48), we have

(49) 
$$(Xc) + (2\lambda - r + \xi c + 4kn + 2\mu)\eta(X) = 0.$$

If

$$(2\lambda - r + \xi c + 4kn + 2\mu) = 0,$$

then Xc = 0, that is, c is constant. This implies  $\xi c = 0$ . From equation (49), we obtain

(50) 
$$r = 2\lambda + 2\mu + 4kn.$$

Since c is constant, equation (48) becomes

321

(51) 
$$S(X,Y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \lambda\right)g(X,Y) - \mu\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for all  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ . Hence the result.

## 4. $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on (2n+1)-dimensional $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot S = 0$

In this section, first we consider a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  that admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$  and the manifold satisfies the curvature condition R(X, Y).S = 0, then

(52) 
$$S(R(X,Y)Z,W) + S(Z,R(X,Y)W) = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$ . we can state the following theorem:

THEOREM 4.1. Let (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k,\mu)$ -contact metric manifold admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$ . If the manifold satisfies the curvature condition R(X,Y).S = 0, then the manifold admit a constant scalar curvature  $r = 2\lambda + 4kn$ and the soliton is shrinking, steady and expanding as

(i) r < 4kn, (ii) r = 4kn, (iii) r > 4kn.

*Proof.* Setting  $W = \xi$  in (52), we obtain

(53) 
$$S(R(X,Y)Z,\xi) + S(Z,R(X,Y)\xi) = 0,$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ . Using equations (1), (20) and (23) in (53), we get

(54) 
$$2nk(k[g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)] + \mu[g(hY,Z)\eta(X) - g(hX,Z)\eta(Y)]) + S(Z,k\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\} + \mu\{\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY\}) = 0,$$

which implies,

(55) 
$$[2nk^2g(Y,Z) - kS(Y,Z) + 2nk\mu g(hY,Z) - \mu S(hY,Z)]\eta(X) + [kS(X,Z) - 2nk^2g(X,Z) + \mu S(Z,hX) - 2nk\mu g(hX,Z)]\eta(Y) = 0.$$

Taking  $X = \xi$  in the above equation, then it reduces to

(56) 
$$kS(Y,Z) + \mu S(hY,Z) = 2nk^2g(Y,Z) + 2nk\mu g(hY,Z).$$

Now, X replace by hX in (22), we get

(57) 
$$S(hX,Y) = (2n-2-n\mu) g(hX,Y) - (k-1) (2n-2+\mu) g(X,Y) + (k-1) (2n-2+\mu) \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

From (56) and (57), we obtain

$$S(Y,Z) = \left[2kn + \frac{k-1}{k}(2n-2+\mu)\mu\right]g(Y,Z) + \left[2n\mu - \frac{1}{k}(2n-2-n\mu)\mu\right]g(hY,Z)$$
  
(58) 
$$-\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)(2n-2+\mu)\mu\eta(Y)\eta(Z).$$

If 
$$\left[2n\mu - \frac{1}{k}(2n-2-n\mu)\mu\right] = 0$$
,  
that is,  $\mu = 0$  and  $\left[2n - \frac{1}{k}(2n-2-n\mu)\right] \neq 0$ , then (58) becomes  
(59)  $S(Y,Z) = 2kng(Y,Z),$ 

for all  $Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ .

Let us assume that the Einstein semi-symmetric (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ - contact metric manifold admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(q, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$ . Then equation (34) holds and combining (34) with the equation (59), we obtain

(60) 
$$2kn(2n+1) = (2n+1)\left(\frac{r}{2} - \lambda\right),$$

that is,

(61) 
$$r = 2\lambda + 4kn,$$

for any  $X \in \chi(M)$ . From (61), we can conclude the following : (i) If  $\lambda < 0$ , then r < 4kn implies the soliton is shrinking. (*ii*) If  $\lambda = 0$ , then r = 4kn implies the soliton is steady. (*iii*) If  $\lambda > 0$ , then r > 4kn implies the soliton is expanding. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 4.2. Let (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k,\mu)$ -contact metric manifold admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$ . If the manifold is Ricci semi-symmetric, then the manifold is locally isometric to the Riemannian product  $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$  for n > 1and flat for n = 1.

*Proof.* Again from (56) and (57), we obtain

$$k(2n-2-n\mu)g(Y,Z) + k(2-2n+2nk+n\mu)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + k(2n-2+\mu)g(hY,Z)$$
  
=  $[2k^2n + (k-1)(2n-2+\mu)\mu]g(Y,Z) + [2kn\mu + (2n-2-n\mu)\mu]g(hY,Z)$   
(62)  
-  $(k-1)(2n-2+\mu)\mu n(Y)n(Z)$ 

$$-(k-1)(2n-2+\mu)\mu\eta(Y)\eta(Z).$$

Comparing the both sides, we get

 $\mu = 0, k = 0.$ 

Hence the manifold is locally isometric to the Riemannian product  $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$ for n > 1 and flat for n = 1. Hence the result. 

323

## 5. $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on (2n+1)-dimensional $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying $C(\xi, X).S = 0$

In this section, we consider a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  that admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$  and the manifold satisfies the curvature condition  $C(\xi, X).S = 0$ , then

(63) 
$$S(C(\xi, X)Y, Z) + S(Y, C(\xi, X)Z) = 0.$$

Now we can state the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. Let (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k,\mu)$ -contact metric manifold admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$ . If the manifold satisfies the curvature condition  $C(\xi, X).S = 0$ , then the manifold admit a constant scalar curvature  $r = 2\lambda + 4kn$ .

*Proof.* From equation (29), we find

(64) 
$$C(\xi, X)Y = R(\xi, X)Y - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \left[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\right].$$

Using (25) in (64), we have

(65) 
$$C(\xi, X)Y = \left[k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}\right] [g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] + \mu [g(hX,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)hX].$$
  
Similarly

Similarly,

(66) 
$$C(\xi, X)Z = \left[k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}\right] \left[g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)X\right] + \mu \left[g(hX, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)hX\right].$$

Using equations (65), (66) in (63), we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \end{bmatrix} S([g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X], Z) + S(\mu [g(hX,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)hX], Z) +$$
(67)
$$\begin{bmatrix} k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \end{bmatrix} S([g(X,Z)\xi - \eta(Z)X], Y) + S(\mu [g(hX,Z)\xi - \eta(Z)hX], Y) = 0,$$
(67)

which implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \end{bmatrix} [2kng(X,Y)\eta(Z) - S(X,Z)\eta(Y) + 2kng(X,Z)\eta(Y) - S(X,Y)\eta(Z)] \\ (68) \\ + \mu [2kng(hX,Y)\eta(Z) - S(hX,Z)\eta(Y) + 2kng(hX,Z)\eta(Y) - S(hX,Y)\eta(Z)] = 0.$$
Setting  $Z = \xi$  in (68) and using (23), we get
$$(69) \quad \left[ k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \right] [2kng(X,Y) - S(X,Y)] + \mu [2kng(hX,Y) - S(hX,Y)] = 0.$$

Using equation (57) in (69), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \end{bmatrix} S(X,Y) = \left\{ 2kn \left[ k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \right] + (k-1)(2n-2+\mu)\mu \right\} g(X,Y) + (2kn-2n+2+n\mu)\mu g(hX,Y) - (k-1)(2n-2+\mu)\mu \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

If  $[2kn - 2n + 2 + n\mu] \mu = 0$ , that is,  $\mu = 0$  and  $[2kn - 2n + 2 + n\mu] \neq 0$ , then (70) becomes (71) S(X, Y) = 2kng(X, Y),

for all  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

Let us assume that the Einstein semi-symmetric (2n+1)-dimensional  $(k, \mu)$ - contact metric manifold admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$ . Then equation (34) holds and combining (34) with the equation (70), we obtain

 $r = 2\lambda + 4kn.$ 

(72) 
$$2kn(2n+1) = (2n+1)\left(\frac{r}{2} - \lambda\right),$$

that is,

(73)

This completes the proof.

## 6. $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on (2n+1)-dimensional $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold with torse-forming vector field

In this section we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.1. Let (2n + 1)-dimensional  $(k,\mu)$ -contact metric manifold admits an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$  with torse-forming vector field  $\xi$ , then the manifold becomes an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

*Proof.* Let us consider a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  admitting an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$  and assume that Reeb vector field  $\xi$  of the manifold is a torse-forming vector field. Then  $\xi$  being a torse-forming vector field, from equation (30), we infer that

(74) 
$$\nabla_Y \xi = fY + \gamma(Y)\xi,$$

for each  $Y \in \chi(M)$ .

Using equation (15) and taking inner product with  $\xi$ , we obtain

(75) 
$$g(\nabla_Y \xi, \xi) = -(\phi + \phi h)\eta(Y).$$

Taking inner product in equation (74), with  $\xi$  we have

(76) 
$$g(\nabla_Y \xi, \xi) = f\eta(Y) + \gamma(Y).$$

The equations (75) and (76), give us

(77) 
$$\gamma = -(\phi + \phi h + f).$$

Thus for a torse-forming vector field  $\xi$  in  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold, we obtain

(78) 
$$\nabla_Y \xi = f(Y - \eta(Y)\xi) - (\phi + \phi h)\eta(Y)\xi$$

Since  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$  is an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton, from equation (9), we have

(79) 
$$g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + g(\nabla_Y \xi, X) + 2S(X, Y) + (2\lambda - r)g(X, Y) + 2\mu\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0,$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

Using (78) in the above equation, we obtain

(80) 
$$S(X,Y) = \left[\frac{r}{2} - (\lambda + f)\right] g(X,Y) + (\phi + \phi h + f - \mu)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

This means that the manifold is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

Now, we give an example of a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold:

## 7. Example of a $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold admitting an $\eta$ -Einstein soliton

Let us consider  $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3, (x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)\}$  be a three-dimensional manifold [17] admitting an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda, \mu)$ . The vector fields  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  so as

$$[e_1, e_2] = (1 + \beta)e_3, [e_3, e_1] = (1 - \beta)e_2, [e_2, e_3] = 2e_1,$$

where  $\beta = \pm \sqrt{1-k}$  is a real number. We define the Riemannian metric g by  $g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_1, e_3) = 0$  and  $g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = 1$ . Let 1-form  $\eta$  defined by  $\eta(X) = g(X, e_1)$ , for each  $X \in \chi(M)$ . The (1,1) tensor field  $\phi$  is defined as  $\phi(e_1) = 0, \phi(e_2) = e_3, \phi(e_3) = -e_2$ .

Using the linearity of  $\phi$  and q, we have

$$\eta(e_1) = 1,$$
  
$$\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)e_1$$

and

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for each  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ . Furthermore  $he_1 = 0, he_2 = \beta e_2$ , and  $he_3 = -\beta e_3$ . By using Koszul's formula for the Riemannian metric g, we can calculate

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = 0, \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = 0,$$
  
$$\nabla_{e_2} e_1 = -(1+\beta)e_3, \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = (1+\beta)e_1,$$
  
$$\nabla_{e_3} e_1 = (1-\beta)e_2, \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = -(1-\beta)e_1, \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0.$$

Using these we can verify  $\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi h X$  for  $e_1 = \xi$ . Hence the manifold is a contact metric manifold with the contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ .

Also from the relation of Riemmanian curvature tensor we can calculate the following components

$$\begin{aligned} R(e_1, e_1)e_1 &= 0, R(e_1, e_2)e_1 = -(1 - \beta^2)e_2, R(e_1, e_2)e_2 = (1 - \beta^2)e_1, \\ R(e_1, e_2)e_3 &= 0, R(e_2, e_3)e_1 = 0, R(e_2, e_3)e_3 = -(1 - \beta^2)e_2, \\ R(e_1, e_3)e_1 &= (1 - \beta^2)e_3, R(e_1, e_3)e_2 = 0, R(e_1, e_3)e_3 = (1 - \beta^2)e_1, \\ R(e_2, e_1)e_1 &= -(1 - \beta^2)e_2, R(e_3, e_1)e_1 = (1 - \beta^2)e_3, R(e_2, e_3)e_2 = (1 - \beta^2)e_3. \end{aligned}$$

From these curvature tensors, we can calculate the components of Ricci tensors as follows:

$$S(e_1, e_1) = 2(1 - \beta^2), S(e_2, e_2) = 0, S(e_3, e_3) = 0.$$

From equation (59), we can obtain

$$S(e_3, e_3) = 2kng(e_3, e_3) = 2kn.$$

By equating both the values of  $S(e_3, e_3)$ , we get

$$k = 0.$$

Hence the manifold  $(R^3, g)$  is locally isometric to the product  $E^2(0) \times S^1(4)$ . Again, we can calculate equation(34)

$$S(e_3, e_3) = \left[\frac{r}{2} - (\lambda + \mu)\right].$$

Therefore,

$$\left[\frac{r}{2} - (\lambda + \mu)\right] = 0,$$

which implies that,

$$r = 2(\lambda + \mu).$$

Since k = 0, equation(36) reduces to

$$r = 2(\lambda + \mu).$$

Hence the constants  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  satisfies equation (36) and so g defines an  $\eta$ -Einstein soliton on  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold M.

Further, putting k = 0 in (42), we can calculate

$$\lambda = \frac{r}{2}.$$

Thus the soliton  $(g, \xi, \lambda)$  on  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold is shrinking, steady and expanding as r < 0, r = 0 and r > 0, respectively.

### References

- N. Basu and A. Bhattacharyya, Conformal Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold, Global Journal of Advanced Research on classical and Modern Geometrices, 4 (1) (2015), 15–21.
- [2] A. M. Blaga, On gradient η-Einstein solitons, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics 42 (2) (2018), 229–237.
  - https://doi.org/10.5937/KGJMATH1802229B
- D. E. Blair, J. S. Kim and M. M. Tripathi, On the concircular curvature tensor of a contact metric manifold, J.Korean Math.Soc. 42 (2005), 883–992. https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.2005.42.5.883
- [4] D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos and B. J. Papantoniou, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, Israel J. Math. 91 (1995), 189–214.
- [5] D.E. Blair, Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture notes in Mathematics, Springer- Verlag, Berlin, Vol.509 (1976).
- [6] D. E. Blair, Two remarks on contact metric structures, Tohoku Math.J. 29 (1977), 319–324. https://doi.org/10.2748/TMJ/1178240602

- [7] E. Boeckx, P. Bueken and L. Vanhecke, φ-symmetric contact metric spaces, Glasgow Math. J. 41 (1999), 409–416.
- [8] E. Boecks, A full classification of contact metric (k, μ)-spaces, Illinois J. Math. 44 (2000), 212–219.
- [9] C. Calin and M. Crasmareanu, η-Ricci solitons on Hopf hypersurfaces in a complex space forms, Revue Roumaine de Math.Pures et Appl. 57 (1) (2012), 53–63.
- [10] G. Catino and I. Mazzieri, Gradient Einstein solitons, Nonlinear Anal. 132 (2016), 66–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2015.10.021
- J.T. Cho and M. Kimura, Ricci solitons and real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, Tohoku Math. J 61 (2) (2009), 205-212.
   https://doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1245849443
- [12] Mohd. Danish Siddiqi, Conformal  $\eta$ -Ricci solitons in  $\delta$ -Lorentzian trans Sasakian manifolds, International Journal of Maps in Mathematics **1** (1) (2018), 15–34.
- [13] U. C. De and A. A. Shaikh, Complex Manifolds and Contact Manifolds, 142–143.
- [14] A. E. Fischer, An introduction to conformal Ricci flow, class. Quantum. Grav. 21 (2004), 171– 218.

https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/3/011

- [15] R. S. Hamilton, The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow, Surveys in Differential Geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1993) 2 7-136, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
- [16] R. S. Hamilton, Three manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, J.Differential Geom. (1982), Vol.17, isu.2, 255–306.

https://doi.org/10.1086/wp.17.4.1180866

- [17] D. Kar, P. Majhi and U. C. De, η-Ricci solitons on 3-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifolds, Acta Univ. Apulensis 54 (2018), 71–88.
- [18] T. Koufogiorgos, Contact metric manifolds, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry 11 (1993), 25–34.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00773361

[19] T. Koufogiorgos, On a class of contact Riemannian 3-manifolds, Results in Mathematics 27 (1995), 51–62.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03322269

- [20] E. M. Patterson, Some theorems on Ricci recurrent spaces, J. London Math. Soc. 27 (1952), 287–295.
- [21] S. Tanno, The topology of contact Riemannian manifolds, Illinois J. Math. 12 (1968), 700-717. https://doi.org/10.1215/IJM/1256053971
- [22] M. M. Tripathi and J. S. Kim, On the concircular curvature tensor of a (k, μ)-manifold, Balkan J Geo Appl 2 (2004), 104–114.
- [23] C. Udriste, On contact 3-structures, Bull. Univ. Brasov 16 (1974), 85–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002090100279
- [24] K. Yano, Concircular geometry I. Concircular transformations, Proc. Impe. Acad., Tokyo., 16 (1940), 195–200.

https://doi.org/10.3792/PIA/1195579139

[25] K. Yano, On torse-forming directions in Riemannian spaces, Proc. Impe. Acad., Tokyo., 20 (1944), 701–705.

https://doi.org/10.3792/PIA/1195572958

### Arup Kumar Mallick

Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India *E-mail*: arupkm140gmail.com

#### Arindam Bhattacharyya

Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India *E-mail*: bhattachar1968@yahoo.co.in