

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BIHOM-ALTERNATIVE(-LEIBNIZ) ALGEBRAS THROUGH ASSOCIATED BIHOM-AKIVIS ALGEBRAS

SYLVAIN ATTAN

ABSTRACT. BiHom-Akivis algebras are introduced. It is shown that BiHom-Akivis algebras can be obtained either from Akivis algebras by twisting along two algebra morphisms or from a regular BiHom-algebra via the BiHom-commutator-BiHom-associator algebra. It is also proved that a BiHom-Akivis algebra associated to a regular BiHom-alternative algebra is a BiHom-Malcev algebra. Using the BiHom-Akivis algebra associated to a given regular BiHom-Leibniz algebra, a necessary and sufficient condition for BiHom-Lie admissibility of BiHom-Leibniz algebras is obtained.

1. Introduction

An Akivis algebra $(A, \{.,.\}, \{.,.,.\})$ is a vector space A together with a bilinear skew-symmetric map $(x, y) \rightarrow \{x, y\}$ and a trilinear map $(x, y, z) \rightarrow \{x, y, z\}$ satisfying the following so-called Akivis identity for all $x, y, z \in A$:

$$(1) \quad \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} \{x, \{y, z\}\} = \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} \{x, y, z\} - \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} \{y, x, z\}.$$

Initially called "W-algebras" [3] and later Akivis algebras [11], Akivis algebras were introduced ([1], [2], [3]) to study some aspects of web geometry and its connection with loop theory.

The theory of Hom-algebras originated from Hom-Lie algebras introduced by J.T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, and S.D. Silvestrov in [10] in the study of quasi-deformations of Lie algebras of vector fields, including q -deformations of Witt algebras and Virasoro algebras. In order to generalize the construction of associative algebras from Lie algebras, the notion of Hom-associative algebras is introduced in [17], where it is shown that the commutator algebra (with the twisting map) of a Hom-associative algebra is a Hom-Lie algebra. Since then, other Hom-type algebras such as Hom-alternative algebras, Hom-Jordan algebras [16, 19] or Hom-Malcev algebras [19] are introduced and discussed. The extension in the binary-ternary case of the general theory of Hom-algebras was initiated in [12] by defining the class of Hom-Akivis algebras as a Hom-analogue of the class of Akivis algebras ([1, 2, 11]) which are a typical example of binary-ternary algebra. Later Hom-Lie-Yamaguti algebras [8] and Hom-Bol algebras [4] are also defined as other classes of binary-ternary Hom-algebras.

Received February 19, 2024. Revised April 27, 2024. Accepted August 29, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17A30, 17D10, 17D99.

Key words and phrases: Akivis algebra, BiHom-associative algebra, BiHom-Leibniz algebra, BiHom-Lie algebra, BiHom-Lie-admissibility, BiHom-Malcev algebra, BiHom-Akivis algebra.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2024.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Generalizing the approach in [6] the authors of [9] introduce BiHom-algebras, which are algebras where the identities defining the structure are twisted by two homomorphisms α and β . When the two linear maps of a BiHom-algebra are the same, it reduces to a Hom-algebra. Therefore, the class of BiHom-algebras can be viewed as an extension of the one of Hom-algebras. These algebraic structures include BiHom-associative algebras, BiHom-Lie algebras, BiHom-bialgebras, BiHom-alternative algebras, BiHom-Jordan algebras, BiHom-Malcev algebras, BiHom-Novikov-Poisson algebras [14].

As for BiHom-associative, BiHom-Lie, BiHom-alternative, BiHom-Jordan, BiHom-Malcev algebras, we consider in this paper a twisted version by two commuting linear maps of the Akiwis identity which gives the so-called BiHom-Akiwis algebras. This work on BiHom-Akiwis algebras can be viewed as an extension in binary-ternary case of the theory of BiHom-algebras. It is known [3] that the commutator-associator algebra of a nonassociative algebra is an Akiwis algebra. The Hom-version of this result can be found in [12]. This led us to consider “non-BiHom-associative algebras” i.e. BiHom-nonassociative algebras or nonassociative BiHom-algebras and we prove that the BiHom-commutator-BiHom-associator algebra of a regular non-BiHom-associative algebra has a BiHom-Akiwis structure. Also the class of BiHom-Akiwis algebras contains the one of BiHom-Lie algebras in the same way as the class of Akiwis (resp. Hom-Akiwis) algebras contains the one of Lie (resp. Hom-Lie) algebras.

The rest of the present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic definitions and results about BiHom-algebras. Here, we prove that any two of the three conditions left BiHom-alternative, right BiHom-alternative and BiHom-flexible in a regular BiHom-algebra, imply the third (Proposition 2.8, Proposition 2.9 and Proposition 2.10). In Section 3, BiHom-Akiwis algebras are considered. Two methods are used to produce BiHom-Akiwis algebras starting with either a regular BiHom-algebra (Theorem 3.3) or classical Akiwis algebra along with twisting maps (Corollary 3.8). BiHom-Akiwis algebras are shown to be closed under twisting by two self-morphisms (Theorem 3.6). In Section 4, Generalizing the construction of Malcev (resp. Hom-Malcev) algebras from alternative [18] (resp. Hom-alternative [19]) algebras, we point out that BiHom-Akiwis algebras associated to a regular BiHom-alternative algebras are BiHom-Malcev algebras (these BiHom-algebras are recently introduced [7]). In section 5, it is observed that the associated BiHom-Akiwis algebra to a given regular BiHom-Leibniz algebra, leads to an additional property of BiHom-Leibniz algebras, which in turn gives a necessary and sufficient condition for BiHom-Lie admissibility of regular BiHom-Leibniz algebras.

Throughout this paper, all vector spaces and algebras are meant over a ground field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0.

2. Preliminaries

In the sequel, a BiHom-algebra refers to a quadruple (A, μ, α, β) , where $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ and $\beta : A \rightarrow A$ are linear maps such that $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$. The composition of maps is denoted by concatenation for simplicity and the map $\tau : A^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow A^{\otimes 2}$ denotes the twist isomorphism $\tau(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$.

DEFINITION 2.1. A BiHom-algebra (A, μ, α, β) is said to be regular if α and β are bijective and multiplicative if $\alpha \circ \mu = \mu \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}$ and $\beta \circ \mu = \mu \circ \beta^{\otimes 2}$.

DEFINITION 2.2. [9] Let (A, μ, α, β) be a BiHom-algebra.

1. A BiHom-associator of A is the trilinear map $as_{\alpha, \beta} : A^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$(2) \quad as_{\alpha, \beta} = \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \beta - \alpha \otimes \mu).$$

In terms of elements, the map $as_{\alpha, \beta}$ is given by

$$as_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = \mu(\mu(x, y), \beta(z)) - \mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

2. A BiHom-associative algebra [9] is a multiplicative BiHom-algebra (A, μ, α, β) satisfying the following BiHom-associativity condition:

$$(3) \quad as_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in A.$$

Note that if $\alpha = \beta = Id$, then the BiHom-associator is the usual associator denoted by as . Clearly, a Hom-associative algebra (A, μ, α) can be regarded as a BiHom-associative algebra (A, μ, α, α) .

REMARK 2.3. A non-BiHom-associative algebra is a BiHom-algebra (A, μ, α, β) for which there exists $x, y, z \in A$ such that $as_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) \neq 0$.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let (A, μ) be the two-dimensional algebra with basis (e_1, e_2) and multiplication given by

$$\mu(e_1, e_2) = \mu(e_2, e_2) = e_1$$

and all missing products are 0. Then (A, μ) is nonassociative since, e.g., $\mu(\mu(e_1, e_2), e_2) = e_1 \neq 0 = \mu(e_1, \mu(e_2, e_2))$. Next, if we define for any $\lambda \neq -1$, linear maps $\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\lambda(e_1) &= (\lambda + 1)e_1, \quad \alpha_\lambda(e_2) = \lambda e_1 + e_2 \quad \text{and} \\ \beta_\lambda(e_1) &= \frac{1}{\lambda + 1}e_1, \quad \beta_\lambda(e_2) = \frac{-\lambda}{\lambda + 1}e_1 + e_2, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$A_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda} = (A, \mu_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda} = \mu \circ (\alpha_\lambda \otimes \beta_\lambda), \alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda)$$

is a non BiHom-associative algebra where the non-zero products are

$$\mu_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda}(e_1, e_2) = \mu_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda}(e_2, e_2) = (\lambda + 1)e_1$$

since e.g. $as_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda}(e_1, e_2, e_2) = (\lambda + 1)e_1 \neq 0$. Actually, $A_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda}$ is a regular BiHom-algebra with $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$.

Let recall the notion of BiHom-alternative and BiHom-flexible algebras.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let (A, μ, α, β) be a multiplicative BiHom-algebra.

1. (A, μ, α, β) is said to be a left BiHom-alternative (resp. right BiHom-alternative) if its satisfies the left BiHom-alternative identity,

$$(4) \quad as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta(x), \alpha(y), z) + as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta(y), \alpha(x), z) = 0,$$

respectively, the right BiHom-alternative identity,

$$(5) \quad as_{\alpha, \beta}(x, \beta(y), \alpha(z)) + as_{\alpha, \beta}(x, \beta(z), \alpha(y)) = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. A BiHom-alternative algebra [7] is the one which is both a left and right BiHom-alternative algebra.

2. (A, μ, α, β) is said to be BiHom-flexible if it satisfies the BiHom-flexible identity,
- $$(6) \quad as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)) + as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta^2(z), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(x)) = 0$$
- for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Observe that when $\alpha = \beta = Id$, a BiHom-alternative and a BiHom-flexible algebra reduce to an alternative and flexible algebra respectively.

- REMARK 2.6. 1. Any BiHom-associative algebra is a BiHom-alternative and BiHom-flexible algebra.
2. It is proved that equations (4), (5) and (6) are respectively equivalent to $as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta(x), \alpha(x), z) = 0$, $as_{\alpha, \beta}(x, \beta(y), \alpha(z)) = 0$ and $as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(x)) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A$.

LEMMA 2.7. [7] *Let (A, μ, α, β) be a regular BiHom-algebra. Then, (A, μ, α, β) is a regular BiHom-alternative algebra if and only if the function $as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta^2 \otimes \alpha\beta \otimes \alpha^2)$ is alternating.*

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Any regular BiHom-alternative algebra is BiHom-flexible.*

Proof. Follows by Lemma 2.7. □

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Any regular left BiHom-alternative BiHom-flexible algebra is BiHom-alternative.*

Proof. Let (A, μ, α, β) be a regular left BiHom-alternative BiHom-flexible algebra. We need just to prove $as_{\alpha, \beta}(x, \beta(y), \alpha(y)) = 0$ since it is equivalent to (5) (see Remark 2.6). Now, let pick $x, y \in A$ then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} as_{\alpha, \beta}(x, \beta(y), \alpha(y)) &= as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta^2(\beta^{-2}(x)), \alpha\beta(\alpha^{-1}(y)), \alpha^2(\alpha^{-1}(y))) \\ &= -as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}(y)), \alpha\beta(\alpha^{-1}(y)), \alpha^2(\beta^{-2}(x))) \quad (\text{by (6)}) \\ &= -as_{\alpha, \beta}(\beta(\beta\alpha^{-1}(y)), \alpha(\beta\alpha^{-1}(y)), \alpha^2\beta^{-2}(x)) = 0 \quad (\text{by (4)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (A, μ, α, β) is right BiHom-alternative and therefore, it is BiHom-alternative. □

Similarly, we can prove:

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Any regular right BiHom-alternative BiHom-flexible algebra is BiHom-alternative.*

DEFINITION 2.11. Let $(A, [., .], \alpha, \beta)$ be a multiplicative BiHom-algebra.

1. The BiHom-Jacobiator of A is the trilinear map $J_{\alpha, \beta} : A^{\times 3} \rightarrow A$ defined as
- $$(7) \quad J_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = \circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} [\beta^2(x), [\beta(y), \alpha(z)]]$$
- where $\circlearrowleft_{x, y, z}$ denotes the sum over the cyclic permutation of x, y, z .
2. $(A, [., .], \alpha, \beta)$ is said to be a BiHom-Lie algebra if
- (a) $[\beta(x), \alpha(y)] = -[\beta(y), \alpha(x)]$ (BiHom-skew-symmetry),
 - (b) A satisfies the BiHom-Jacobi identity i.e.
- $$(8) \quad J_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

3. $(A, [., .], \alpha, \beta)$ is said to be a BiHom-Malcev algebra if
- (a) $[\beta(x), \alpha(y)] = -[\beta(y), \alpha(x)]$ (BiHom-skew-symmetry),

(b) A satisfies a BiHom-Malcev identity i.e.

$$(9) \quad J_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha\beta(x), \alpha\beta(y), [\beta(x), \alpha(z)]) = [J_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta(x), \beta(y), \beta(z)), \alpha^2\beta^2(x)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

- REMARK 2.12. 1. If $\alpha = \beta = Id$, a BiHom-Lie (resp. BiHom-Malcev) algebra reduces to a Lie (resp. Malcev) algebra.
 2. Any BiHom-Lie algebra is a BiHom-Malcev algebra.

3. BiHom-Akivis algebras: Constructions and examples

In this section we twist the defining identities of Akivis algebras by two self-morphisms to obtain the so-called BiHom-Akivis algebras. These algebraic objects generalise (Hom-) Akivis algebras. We also provide an example of a BiHom-Akivis algebra and some construction methods of these BiHom-algebras (the construction from non-BiHom-associative algebras and the one from Akivis algebras).

DEFINITION 3.1. A BiHom-Akivis algebra is a quintuple $(V, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$, where V is a vector space, $[., .] : V \times V \rightarrow V$ a BiHom-skew-symmetric bilinear map, $[., ., .] : V \times V \times V \rightarrow V$ a trilinear map and $\alpha, \beta : V \rightarrow V$ linear maps such that

$$(10) \quad J_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{O}_{x,y,z} [x, y, z] - \mathcal{O}_{x,y,z} [y, x, z]$$

for all x, y, z in V where $J_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{O}_{x,y,z} [\beta^2(x), [\beta(y), \alpha(z)]]$ is the BiHom-Jacobiator of $(V, [., .], \alpha, \beta)$.

A BiHom-Akivis algebra $(V, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ is said multiplicative if α and β preserve $[., .]$ and $[., ., .]$.

Similarly as Akivis and Hom-Akivis cases, let call (10) the *BiHom-Akivis identity*.

- REMARK 3.2. 1. If $\alpha = \beta = Id_V$, the BiHom-Akivis identity (10) is the usual Akivis identity (1).
 2. The BiHom-Akivis identity (10) reduces to the BiHom-Jacobi identity (8), when $[x, y, z] = 0$, for all x, y, z in V .

The following relevant result shows how one can obtain BiHom-Akivis algebras from regular BiHom-algebras and hence from regular-BiHom-associative algebras.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let (A, μ, α, β) be a multiplicative regular BiHom-algebra. Then the BiHom-commutator-BiHom-associator algebra*

$$(A, [., .] := \mu - \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}), [., ., .] := as_{\alpha,\beta} \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta^2 \otimes \beta \otimes \alpha))$$

of (A, μ, α, β) is a multiplicative BiHom-Akivis algebra.

Proof. Let (A, μ, α) be a multiplicative regular BiHom-algebra. For any x, y, z in A , we have $[x, y] := \mu(x, y) - \mu(\alpha^{-1}\beta(y), \alpha\beta^{-1}(x))$ and $[x, y, z] := as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(x), \beta(y), \alpha(z))$. Then, by [7] (Lemma 2.1), we have

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{O}_{x,y,z} as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(x), \beta(y), \alpha(z)) - \mathcal{O}_{x,y,z} as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(y), \beta(x), \alpha(z))$$

i.e.

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{O}_{x,y,z} [x, y, z] - \mathcal{O}_{x,y,z} [y, x, z].$$

Hence, $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ is a multiplicative BiHom-Akivis algebra. □

The BiHom-Akivis algebra provided by Theorem 3.3 is said *associated* (with a given regular BiHom-algebra).

EXAMPLE 3.4. Consider regular non-BiHom-associative algebras $A_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda}$ of Example 2.4. Define on A the following products:

$$[x, y] := \mu_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda}(x, y) - \mu_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda}(\alpha_\lambda^{-1}\beta_\lambda(y), \alpha_\lambda\beta_\lambda^{-1}(x))$$

and

$$[x, y, z] := a s_{\alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda}(\alpha_\lambda^{-1}\beta_\lambda^2(x), \beta_\lambda(y), \alpha_\lambda(z)).$$

Then, By Theorem 3.3, $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha_\lambda, \beta_\lambda)$ are multiplicative BiHom-Akivis algebras where the non-zero products are

$$[e_1, e_2] = (\lambda + 1)e_1, [e_2, e_1] = -\frac{1}{\lambda + 1}e_1, [e_2, e_2] = \frac{\lambda^2 + 2\lambda}{\lambda + 1}e_1$$

and

$$[e_1, e_2, e_2] = [e_2, e_2, e_2] = \frac{1}{\lambda + 1}e_1.$$

DEFINITION 3.5. Let $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ and $(\tilde{A}, \{., .\}, \{., ., .\}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$ be BiHom-Akivis algebras. A morphism $\phi : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ of BiHom-Akivis algebras is a linear map of \mathbb{K} -modules A and \tilde{A} such that $f \circ \alpha = \tilde{\alpha} \circ f, f \circ \beta = \tilde{\beta} \circ f$ and

$$f \circ [., .] = \{., .\} \circ f^{\otimes 2}, f \circ [., ., .] = \{., ., .\} \circ f^{\otimes 3}.$$

For example, if we take $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ as a multiplicative BiHom-Akivis algebra, then the twisting self-maps α and β are themselves self-morphisms of $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$.

The following result holds.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-Akivis algebra and $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow A$ self-morphisms of $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ such that $\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi$. Define on A a bilinear operation $[., .]_{\varphi, \psi}$ and a trilinear operation $[., ., .]_{\varphi, \psi}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y]_{\varphi, \psi} &:= [\varphi(x), \psi(y)], \\ [x, y, z]_{\varphi, \psi} &:= \varphi\psi^2([x, y, z]), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Then $A_{\varphi, \psi} := (A, [., .]_{\varphi, \psi}, [., ., .]_{\varphi, \psi}, \varphi\alpha, \psi\beta)$ is a BiHom-Akivis algebra.

Moreover, if $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ is multiplicative, then $A_{\varphi, \psi}$ is also multiplicative.

Proof. Clearly $[., .]_{\varphi, \psi}$ (resp. $[., ., .]_{\varphi, \psi}$) is a bilinear (resp. trilinear) map and the BiHom-skew-symmetry of $[., .]$ in $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ implies the BiHom-skew-symmetry of $[., .]_{\varphi, \psi}$ in $A_{\varphi, \psi}$.

Next, we have (by the BiHom-Akivis identity (10)),

$$\begin{aligned} \circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} [(\psi\beta)^2(x), [\psi\beta(y), \varphi\alpha(z)]_{\varphi, \psi}]_{\varphi, \psi} &= \circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} [\varphi\psi^2\beta^2(x), \psi([\varphi\psi\beta(y), \psi\varphi\alpha(z)])] \\ &= \circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} (\varphi\psi^2([\beta^2(x), [\beta(y), \alpha(z)]])) = \circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} (\varphi\psi^2([x, y, z]) - \varphi\psi^2([y, x, z])) \\ &= \circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} ([x, y, z]_{\varphi, \psi} - [y, x, z]_{\varphi, \psi}). \end{aligned}$$

The second assertion is proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\alpha([x, y]_{\varphi, \psi}) &= \varphi\alpha([\varphi(x), \psi(y)]) = [\varphi\alpha\varphi(x), \varphi\alpha\psi(y)] \\ &= [\varphi(\varphi\alpha(x)), \psi(\varphi\alpha(y))] = [\varphi\alpha(x), \varphi\alpha(y)]_{\varphi, \psi}, \\ \psi\beta([x, y]_{\varphi, \psi}) &= \psi\beta([\varphi(x), \psi(y)]) = [\psi\beta\varphi(x), \psi\beta\psi(y)] \\ &= [\varphi(\psi\beta(x)), \psi(\psi\beta(y))] = [\psi\beta(x), \psi\beta(y)]_{\varphi, \psi}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\alpha([x, y, z]_{\varphi,\psi}) &= \varphi\alpha\varphi\psi^2([x, y, z]) = \varphi\psi^2([\varphi\alpha(x), \varphi\alpha(y), \varphi\alpha(z)]) = [\varphi\alpha(x), \varphi\alpha(y), \varphi\alpha(z)]_{\varphi,\psi} \\ \psi\beta([x, y, z]_{\varphi,\psi}) &= \psi\beta\varphi\psi^2([x, y, z]) = \varphi\psi^2([\psi\beta(x), \psi\beta(y), \psi\beta(z)]) = [\psi\beta(x), \psi\beta(y), \psi\beta(z)]_{\varphi,\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

COROLLARY 3.7. *If $(A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ is a multiplicative BiHom-Akivis algebra, then so is A_{α^n, β^m} for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.6 if take $\varphi = \alpha^n$ and $\psi = \beta^m$. □

The following result gives a method for constructing BiHom-Akivis algebras from Akivis algebra and their self-morphisms. It is an extension in binary-ternary algebras case of the well-known construction of a class of BiHom-algebras from the corresponding class of untwisted algebras.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let $(A, [., .], [., ., .])$ be an Akivis algebra and α, β self-morphisms of $(A, [., .], [., ., .])$. Define on A a bilinear operation $[., .]_{\alpha, \beta}$ and a trilinear operation $[., ., .]_{\alpha, \beta}$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y]_{\alpha, \beta} &:= [\alpha(x), \beta(y)], \\ [x, y, z]_{\alpha, \beta} &:= \alpha\beta^2([x, y, z]), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then $A_{\alpha, \beta} = (A, [., .]_{\alpha, \beta}, [., ., .]_{\alpha, \beta}, \alpha, \beta)$ is a multiplicative BiHom-Akivis algebra.

Moreover, suppose that $(B, \{., .\}, \{., ., .\})$ is another Akivis algebra and that φ, ψ are endomorphisms of B . If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an Akivis algebra morphism satisfying $f \circ \alpha = \varphi \circ f$ and $f \circ \beta = \psi \circ f$, then $f : (A, [., .]_{\alpha, \beta}, [., ., .]_{\alpha, \beta}, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (B, \{., .\}_{\varphi, \psi}, \{., ., .\}_{\varphi, \psi}, \varphi, \psi)$ is a morphism of multiplicative BiHom-Akivis algebras.

Proof. The first of this theorem is a special case of Theorem 3.6 above when $\alpha = \beta = id$. The second part is proved Similarly as in Theorem 3.6. Clearly, we prove as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f([x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}) &= f([\alpha(x), \beta(y)]) = \{f\alpha(x), f\beta(y)\} = \{\varphi f(x), \psi f(y)\} = \{f(x), f(y)\}_{\varphi, \psi} \\ f([x, y, z]_{\alpha, \beta}) &= f\alpha\beta^2([x, y, z]) = \varphi\psi^2(\{f(x), f(y), f(z)\}) = \{f(x), f(y), f(z)\}_{\varphi, \psi}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. □

EXAMPLE 3.9. Consider the two-dimensional Akivis algebra $(A, [., .], [., ., .])$ with basis (e_1, e_2) given by

$$[e_1, e_2] = [e_1, e_2, e_2] = [e_2, e_2, e_2] = e_1$$

and all missing products are 0 (see Example 4.7 in [12]). For any $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, the maps α_r and β_s defined by $\alpha_r(e_1) = (r + 1)e_1, \alpha_r(e_2) = re_1 + e_2$ and $\beta_s(e_1) = (s + 1)e_1, \beta_s(e_2) = se_1 + e_2$ are commuting morphisms of A . Note that $\alpha_r \neq \beta_s$ if and only if $r \neq s$. Next, if we define the operations $[., .]_{\alpha_r, \beta_s}$ and $[., ., .]_{\alpha_r, \beta_s}$ with non-zero products by

$$[e_1, e_2]_{\alpha_r, \beta_s} = (r + 1)e_1 \text{ and } [e_1, e_2, e_2]_{\alpha_r, \beta_s} = [e_2, e_2, e_2]_{\alpha_r, \beta_s} = (r + 1)(s + 1)^2e_1,$$

we get, by Corollary 3.8, that $A_{\alpha_r, \beta_s} = (A, [., .]_{\alpha_r, \beta_s}, [., ., .]_{\alpha_r, \beta_s}, \alpha_r, \beta_s)$ are BiHom-Akivis algebras.

4. BiHom-Malcev structure on BiHom-Akivis algebras

In this section we define and study BiHom-alternative and BiHom-flexible BiHom-Akivis algebras. Next, we provide a characterization of BiHom-alternative algebras through associated BiHom-Akivis algebras. The relevant result here is to prove another version of Theorem 2.2 in [7] i.e. the BiHom-Akivis algebra associated with a regular BiHom-alternative algebra is BiHom-Malcev algebra.

DEFINITION 4.1. A BiHom-Akivis algebra $\mathcal{A} := (A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ is said:

(i) *BiHom-flexible*, if

$$(11) \quad [\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(z), \alpha(y), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

(ii) *BiHom-alternative*, if

$$(12) \quad [\alpha^2(x), \alpha^2(y), \beta(z)] + [\alpha^2(y), \alpha^2(x), \beta(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in A,$$

$$(13) \quad [\alpha(x), \beta^2(y), \beta^2(z)] + [\alpha(x), \beta^2(z), \beta^2(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

REMARK 4.2. 1. The BiHom-flexible law (11) in \mathcal{A} is equivalent to $[\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(x)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in A$.

2. The identities (12) and (13) are respectively called the left BiHom-alternativity and the right BiHom-alternativity. They are respectively equivalent to $[\alpha^2(x), \alpha^2(x), \beta(y)] = 0$ and $[\alpha(x), \beta^2(y), \beta^2(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

The next result follows from Theorem 3.3 and Definition 4.1.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a multiplicative regular BiHom-algebra and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}} = (A, [., .] = \mu - \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}) \circ \tau, [., ., .] = as_{\alpha,\beta} \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta^2 \otimes \beta \otimes \alpha), \alpha, \beta)$ its associated BiHom-Akivis algebra.

1. If (A, μ, α, β) is BiHom-flexible, then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is BiHom-flexible.
2. If (A, μ, α, β) is BiHom-alternative, then so is $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Now, we obtain the following characterization of BiHom-Lie algebras in terms of BiHom-Akivis algebras.

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let $\mathcal{A} := (A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-flexible BiHom-Akivis algebra such that α is surjective. Then $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, [., .], \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-Lie algebra if, and only if $\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [x, y, z] = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Proof. Pick x, y, z in A . Then there exists $a, b, c \in A$ such that $x = \alpha(a), y = \alpha(b), z = \alpha(c)$ since α is surjective. Therefore, the BiHom-Akivis identity (10) and the BiHom-flexibility (11) in \mathcal{A} imply

$$\begin{aligned} \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [\beta^2(x), [\beta(y), \alpha(z)]] &= \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [x, y, z] - \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [y, x, z] = \circlearrowleft_{a,b,c} [\alpha(a), \alpha(b), \alpha(c)] \\ &- \circlearrowleft_{a,b,c} [\alpha(b), \alpha(a), \alpha(c)] = 2 \circlearrowleft_{a,b,c} [\alpha(a), \alpha(b), \alpha(c)] = 2 \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [x, y, z]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [\beta^2(x), [\beta(y), \alpha(z)]] = 0$ if and only if $\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [x, y, z] = 0$ since the characteristic of the ground field \mathbb{K} is 0. □

The following result generalizes Proposition 2.1 in [7], which in turn is a generalization of a similar well-known result in alternative rings. The reader can also see Proposition 3.17 in [19] for the Hom-version of this result.

PROPOSITION 4.5. Let $\mathcal{A} := (A, [., .], [., ., .], \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-alternative BiHom-Akivis algebra such that α and β are surjective. Then

$$(14) \quad \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [\beta^2(x), [\beta(y), \alpha(z)]] = 6[x, y, z]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Proof. Pick $x, y, z \in A$. Then, there exists $a, b, c \in A$ such that $x = \alpha^2(a), y = \alpha^2(b), z = \alpha(c)$. Hence, the application to (10) of the BiHom-alternativity in \mathcal{A} gives :

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [\beta^2(x), [\beta(y), \alpha(z)]] &= \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [x, y, z] - \sigma[y, x, z] = \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [x, y, z] - \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [\alpha^2(b), \alpha^2(a), \beta(c)] \\ &= \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [x, y, z] + \circlearrowleft_{a,b,c} [\alpha^2(a), \alpha^2(b), \beta(c)] = 2 \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [x, y, z]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, again by the BiHom-alternativity in \mathcal{A} and surjectivity of α and β , we prove that $\sigma[x, y, z] = 3[x, y, z]$. Therefore

$$\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [\beta^2(x), [\beta(y), \alpha(z)]] = 6[x, y, z].$$

□

First, let recall the following.

DEFINITION 4.6. [7] Let (A, μ, α, β) be a regular BiHom-algebra. Define the BiHom-Bruck-Kleinfeld function $f : A^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow A$ as the multilinear map

$$(16) \quad f(w, x, y, z) = as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(w)\alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2\beta(y), \alpha^3(z)) - as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z))\alpha^3\beta(w) \\ + \alpha^2\beta^2(x)as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha\beta(w), \alpha^2(y), \alpha^3\beta^{-1}(z)).$$

The following result is very useful.

LEMMA 4.7. [7] Let (A, μ, α, β) be a regular BiHom-alternative algebra. Then the BiHom-Bruck-Kleinfeld function f is alternating.

PROPOSITION 4.8. Let (A, μ, α, β) be a regular BiHom-alternative algebra. Then

$$(17) \quad as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^3(x), \alpha\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)\alpha^2(z)) = as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{-1}\beta^3(x), \beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z))\alpha^2\beta^2(x)$$

$$(18) \quad as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^3(x), \alpha\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)\alpha^2(x)) = \alpha\beta^3(x)as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Proof. For (17), we compute as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^3(x), \alpha\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)\alpha^2(z)) &= as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(\beta(x)), \alpha\beta(\beta(y)), \alpha^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)z)) \\ &= as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)z), \alpha\beta(\beta(x)), \alpha^2(\beta(y))) \quad (\text{by alternativity of } as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2 \otimes \alpha\beta \otimes \alpha^2)) \\ &= as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x))\alpha\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(z)), \alpha^2\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)), \alpha^3(\alpha^{-1}\beta(y))) \\ &= f(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x), \alpha^{-1}\beta(z), \alpha^{-1}\beta(x), \alpha^{-1}\beta(y)) \\ &\quad + as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(z)), \alpha\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)), \alpha^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(y)))\alpha^3\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)) \\ &\quad + \alpha^2\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(z))as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)), \alpha^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)), \alpha^3\beta^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}\beta(y))) \\ &= as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{-1}\beta^3(x), \beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z))\alpha^2\beta^2(x) \quad (\text{by alternativity of } f \text{ and } as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2 \otimes \alpha\beta \otimes \alpha^2)). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of (17).

For (18), we compute as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^3(x), \alpha\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)\alpha^2(x)) = as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(\beta(x)), \alpha\beta(\beta(y)), \alpha^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(z)x)) \\
& = as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(z)x), \alpha\beta(\beta(x)), \alpha^2(\beta(y))) \quad (\text{by alternativity of } as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2 \otimes \alpha\beta \otimes \alpha^2) \quad) \\
& = as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(z))\alpha\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)), \alpha^2\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)), \alpha^3(\alpha^{-1}\beta(y))) \\
& = f(\alpha^{-1}\beta(z), \alpha^{-1}\beta(x), \alpha^{-1}\beta(x), \alpha^{-1}\beta(y)) \\
& + as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)), \alpha\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)), \alpha^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(y)))\alpha^3\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(z)) \\
& + \alpha^2\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x))as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(z)), \alpha^2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)), \alpha^3\beta^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}\beta(y))) \\
& = \alpha\beta^3(x)as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)) \quad (\text{by alternativity of } f \text{ and } as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2 \otimes \alpha\beta \otimes \alpha^2) \quad).
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of (18). \square

COROLLARY 4.9. *Let (A, μ, α, β) be a regular BiHom-alternative algebra. Then*

$$(19) \quad as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^3(x), \alpha\beta^2(y), [\alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(z)]) = [as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{-1}\beta^3(x), \beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \alpha^2\beta^2(x)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ where $[\cdot, \cdot] = \mu - \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}) \circ \tau$ is the BiHom-commutator bracket.

Proof. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^3(x), \alpha\beta^2(y), [\alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(z)]) \\
& = as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^3(x), \alpha\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(x)\alpha^2(z)) - as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^3(x), \alpha\beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)\alpha^2(x)) \\
& = as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{-1}\beta^3(x), \beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z))\alpha^2\beta^2(x) - \alpha\beta^3(x)as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^2(x), \alpha\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)) \\
& \quad (\text{by (17) and (18)}) \\
& = [as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{-1}\beta^3(x), \beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \alpha^2\beta^2(x)]
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

We now come to the main result of this section, which is Theorem 2.2 in [7] but from a point of view of BiHom-Akivis algebras.

THEOREM 4.10. *Let (A, μ, α, β) be a multiplicative regular BiHom-alternative BiHom-algebra and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}} = (A, [\cdot, \cdot] = \mu - \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}) \circ \tau, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot] = as_{\alpha,\beta} \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta^2 \otimes \beta \otimes \alpha), \alpha, \beta)$ its associated BiHom-Akivis algebra. Then $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-Malcev algebra.*

Proof. From Proposition 4.3 we get that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is BiHom-alternative so that (14) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha\beta(x), \alpha\beta(y), [\beta(x), \alpha(y)]) = 6[\alpha\beta(x), \alpha\beta(y), [\beta(x), \alpha(z)]] \\
& = 6as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta^3(x), \alpha\beta^2(y), [\alpha\beta(x), \alpha^2(z)]) \\
& = [6as_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{-1}\beta^3(x), \beta^2(y), \alpha\beta(z)), \alpha^2\beta^2(x)] \quad (\text{by (19)}) \\
& = [[\beta(x), \beta(y), \beta(z)], \alpha^2\beta^2(x)] = [J_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta(x), \beta(y), \beta(z)), \alpha^2\beta^2(x)]
\end{aligned}$$

and so, we obtain the BiHom-Malcev identity (9). Hence, $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-Malcev algebra. \square

5. Some characterizations of BiHom-Leibniz algebras

This section is devoted to BiHom-version of some known properties of left Leibniz algebras. Using the associated BiHom-Akivis algebra to a given regular BiHom-Leibniz algebra, we give a characteristic property of BiHom-Leibniz algebras (Proposition 5.5). Basing on this property a necessary and sufficient condition for the BiHom-Lie admissibility of these BiHom-algebras is obtained (Corollary 5.7). In the sequel, for a given bilinear map μ on A , the terms $\mu(x, y)$, $\mu(\mu(x, y), z)$ and $\mu(x, \mu(y, z))$ will be often denoted by xy , $xy \cdot z$ and $x \cdot yz$ respectively for all $x, y, z \in A$ to reduce the number of braces. First, let recall the following:

DEFINITION 5.1. A (left) BiHom-Leibniz algebra is a multiplicative BiHom-algebra (A, μ, α, β) such that the following identity

$$(20) \quad \mu(\alpha\beta(x), \mu(y, z)) = \mu(\mu(\beta(x), y), \beta(z)) + \mu(\beta(y), \mu(\alpha(x), z))$$

holds for all $x, y, z \in A$. In terms of BiHom-associators, the identity

(20) called the BiHom-Leibniz identity, is written as

$$(21) \quad as_{\alpha,\beta}(\beta(x), y, z) = -\beta(x) \cdot (\alpha(x) \cdot z).$$

Therefore, from the definition above and Remark 2.3, we observe that BiHom-Leibniz algebras are examples of non-BiHom-associative algebras. Hence, thanks to Theorem 3.3, we obtain.

COROLLARY 5.2. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a multiplicative regular BiHom-Leibniz algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}} = (A, [\cdot, \cdot] = \mu - \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}) \circ \tau, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot] = as_{\alpha,\beta} \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta^2 \otimes \beta \otimes \alpha), \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-Akivis algebra.

We have the following result:

PROPOSITION 5.3. Let (A, μ, α, β) be a multiplicative BiHom-Leibniz algebra. Then

$$(22) \quad (\beta(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)\alpha(x)) \cdot \beta(z) = 0$$

$$(23) \quad \alpha\beta(x)[\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)] = [\beta(x)\beta(y), \alpha^2\beta(z)] + [\beta^2(y), \alpha(x)\alpha^2(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Proof. The BiHom-Leibniz identity (20) implies $(\beta(x)\alpha(y)) \cdot \beta(z) = \alpha\beta(x) \cdot \alpha(y)z - \alpha\beta(y) \cdot \alpha(x)z$. Similarly, interchanging x and y , we get $(\beta(y)\alpha(x)) \cdot \beta(z) = \alpha\beta(y) \cdot \alpha(x)z - \alpha\beta(x) \cdot \alpha(y)z$. Then adding memberwise these equalities, we obtain (22).

Next, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\beta(x)\beta(y), \alpha^2\beta(z)] + [\beta^2(y), \alpha(x)\alpha^2(z)] \\
 &= \beta(x)\beta(y) \cdot \alpha^2\beta(z) - \beta\alpha^{-1}(\alpha^2\beta(z)) \cdot \alpha\beta^{-1}(\beta(x)\beta(y)) + \beta^2(y) \cdot \alpha(x)\alpha^2(z) \\
 &\quad - \beta\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x)\alpha^2(z)) \cdot \alpha\beta^{-1}(\beta^2(y)) \\
 &= \beta(x)\beta(y) \cdot \alpha^2\beta(z) - \alpha\beta^2(z) \cdot \alpha(x)\alpha(y) + \beta^2(y) \cdot \alpha(x)\alpha^2(z) \\
 &\quad - \beta(x)\alpha\beta(z) \cdot \alpha\beta(y) \\
 &= \alpha\beta(x) \cdot \beta(y)\alpha^2(z) - \alpha\beta(\beta(z)) \cdot \alpha(x)\alpha(y) - \beta(x)\alpha\beta(z) \cdot \alpha\beta(y) \text{ (by (20))} \\
 &= \alpha\beta(x) \cdot \beta(y)\alpha^2(z) - \beta^2(z)\alpha(x) \cdot \beta\alpha(y) - \beta\alpha(x) \cdot \alpha\beta(z)\alpha(y) \\
 &\quad - \beta(x)\alpha\beta(z) \cdot \alpha\beta(y) \text{ (again by (20))} \\
 &= \alpha\beta(x)[\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)] - (\beta(\beta(z))\alpha(x) + \beta(x)\alpha(\beta(z))) \cdot \beta(\alpha(y)) \\
 &= \alpha\beta(x)[\beta(y), \alpha^2(z)] \text{ (by (22))}
 \end{aligned}$$

and then, we obtain (23). □

REMARK 5.4. If $\alpha = \beta = Id$ in Proposition , then one gets the well-known identities of Leibniz algebras: $(xy + yx) \cdot z = 0$ and $x[y, z] = [xy, z] + [y, xz]$ (see [5], [15]). The readers can also see the Hom-versions of these properties in [13].

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Let (A, μ, α, β) be a regular BiHom-Leibniz algebra. Then*

$$(24) \quad J_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)} (\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(x)\beta(y) \cdot \alpha\beta(z)).$$

Proof. Note that by (21), the ternary operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ of the associated BiHom-Akivis algebra to the considered regular BiHom-Leibniz algebra (see Corollary5.2) is

$$[x, y, z] = -\beta^2(y) \cdot \beta(x)\alpha(z)$$

and then by (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) &= \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)} (-\beta^2(y) \cdot \beta(x)\alpha(z)) - \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)} (-\beta^2(x) \cdot \beta(y)\alpha(z)) \\
 &= \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)} (\beta^2(x) \cdot \beta(y)\alpha(z) - \beta^2(y) \cdot \beta(x)\alpha(z)) \\
 &= \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)} (\alpha\beta(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x)) \cdot \beta(y)\alpha(z) - \beta(\beta(y)) \cdot \alpha(\alpha^{-1}\beta(x))\alpha(z)) \\
 (25) \quad &= \circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)} (\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(x)\beta(y) \cdot \alpha\beta(z)) \text{ (by (20))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get the desired identity. □

Note that in BiHom-Leibniz algebras case, equation (24) is the specific form of the BiHom-Akivis identity (10).

DEFINITION 5.6. [9] A multiplicative regular BiHom-algebra (A, μ, α, β) is said BiHom-Lie admissible if $(A, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot], \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-Lie algebra where $[\cdot, \cdot] = \mu - \mu \circ (\alpha^{-1}\beta \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}) \circ \tau$.

From Proposition 5.5 one obtains the following necessary and sufficient condition for the BiHom-Lie admissibility of a given regular Hom-Leibniz algebra.

COROLLARY 5.7. *A regular BiHom-Leibniz algebra (A, μ, α, β) is BiHom-Lie admissible if and only if*

$$\circlearrowleft_{(x,y,z)} (\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(x)\beta(y) \cdot \alpha\beta(z)) = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

References

- [1] M.A. Akivis, *Three-webs of multidimensional surfaces*, Trudy Geom. Sem. **2** (1969), 7–31 (Russian).
<https://www.mathnet.ru/eng/intg12>
- [2] M.A. Akivis, *Local differentiable quasigroups and three-webs of multidimensional surfaces*, in “Studies in the Theory of Quasigroups and Loops”, 3-12, Izdat. “Shtiintsa”, Kishinev, 1973 (Russian).
- [3] M.A. Akivis, *Local algebras of a multidimensional three-web*, Siberian Math. J. **17** (1) (1976), 3–8.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00969285>
- [4] S. Attan and A. N. Issa, *Hom-Bol algebras*, Quasigroups Relat. Syst. **21** (2) (2013), 131–146.
<http://quasigroups.eu/contents/21.php>
- [5] Sh.A. Ayupov and B.A. Omirov, *On Leibniz algebras*, in: Algebras and Operator Theory, Proceedings of the Colloquium in Tashkent, Kluwer (1998), 1–13.
- [6] S. Caenepeel and I. Goyvaerts, *Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 2216–2240.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2010.490800>
- [7] T. Chtioui, S. Mabrouk and A. Makhlouf, *BiHom-alternative, BiHom-Malcev and BiHom-Jordan algebras*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **50** (2020), 69–90.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1216/rmj.2020.50.69>
- [8] D. Gaparayi and A.N. Issa, *A twisted generalization of Lie-Yamaguti algebras*, Int. J. Algebra **6** (7) (2012), 339–352.
<https://www.m-hikari.com/ija/ija-2012/ija-5-8-2012/issaIJA5-8-2012.pdf>
- [9] G. Graziani, A. Makhlouf, C. Menini and F. Panaite, *BiHom-Associative Algebras, BiHom-Lie Algebras and BiHom-Bialgebras*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **11** (2015), Paper 086, 34 pp.
<http://sigma-journal.com/2015/086/sigma15-086.pdf>
- [10] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations*, J. Algebra **292** (2006), 314–361.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.07.036>
- [11] K.H. Hofmann and K. Strambach, *Lie’s fundamental theorems for local analytical loops*, Pacific J. Math. **123** (1986), 301–327.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1986.123.301>
- [12] A.N. Issa, *Hom-Akivis algebras*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. **52** (2011), 485–500.
<https://dml.cz/dmlcz/142800>
- [13] A.N. Issa, *Some characterizations of Hom-Leibniz algebras*, Int. Elect. J. Algebra **14** (2013), 1–9.
<https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/ieja/issue/25197/266250>
- [14] L. Liu, A. Makhlouf, C. Menini and F. Panaite, *Tensor products and perturbations of BiHom-Novikov-Poisson algebras*, J. Geom. Phys. **161** (2021), 104026.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2020.104026>
- [15] J.-L. Loday, *Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz*, Enseign. Math. **39** (1993), 269–293.
<http://eudml.org/doc/274921>
- [16] A. Makhlouf, *Hom-Alternative algebras and Hom-Jordan algebras*, Int. Elect. J. Algebra **8** (2010), 177–190.
<https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/ieja/issue/25212/266439>
- [17] A. Makhlouf and S.D. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2** (2008), 51–64.
- [18] A.I. Maltsev, *Analytic loops*, Mat. Sb. **36** (1955), 569–576.
<https://www.mathnet.ru/eng/sm5205>
- [19] D. Yau, *Hom-Maltsev, Hom-alternative and Hom-Jordan algebras*, Int. Elect. J. Algebra **11** (2012), 177–217.
<https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/ieja/issue/25200/266294>

Sylvain Attan

Département de Mathématiques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi,
01 BP 4521, Cotonou 01, Bénin.

E-mail: syltane2010@yahoo.fr