# EXPANSIVE TYPE MAPPINGS IN DISLOCATED QUASI-METRIC SPACE WITH SOME FIXED POINT RESULTS AND APPLICATION

### Haripada Das and Nilakshi Goswami<sup>∗</sup>

Abstract. In this paper, we prove some new fixed point results for expansive type mappings in complete dislocated quasi-metric space. A common fixed point result is also established considering such mappings. Suitable examples are provided to demonstrate our results. The solution to a system of Fredholm integral equations is also established to show the applicability of our results.

# 1. Introduction

Metric fixed point theory is regarded as a cornerstone in analysis. The Banach contraction principle [\[3\]](#page-11-0) applied to complete metric space marks as crucial and noteworthy advancement in the fixed point theory. Following this breakthrough, numerous researchers have contributed to the field of fixed point theory by establishing various theorems in their respective works  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$  $[1, 6–8, 12, 13, 18]$ .

In 1986, Matthews [\[14\]](#page-11-6) introduced some concepts of metric domains in the context of domain theory, where the idea of dislocated metric space first appeared. Later in 2000, Hitzler and Seda [\[10\]](#page-11-7) introduced the concept of dislocated metric space, in which the self-distance of a point is not necessarily zero. They also generalized the Banach contraction principle in this space. Dislocated metric space has a crucial role in topology, logical programming, electronic engineering and computer science etc. Zeyada et al. [\[24\]](#page-12-1) presented the complete dislocated quasi-metric space and generalized the result of Hitzler [\[10\]](#page-11-7) in dislocated quasi-metric space.

In the year 1984, Wang et al. [\[22\]](#page-12-2) introduced the groundbreaking concept of expansive mapping, conducting a thorough exploration and unveiling intricate fixed point results within the realm of complete metric space. Expansive mappings have applications in dynamical system theory, chaos theory and nonlinear analysis. Following this, a number of researchers have done rigorous investigations, systematically expanding and elaborating fixed point theoretical outcomes in this particular domain [\[2,](#page-11-8) [5,](#page-11-9) [9,](#page-11-10) [16,](#page-12-3) [17,](#page-12-4) [19–](#page-12-5)[21,](#page-12-6) [23\]](#page-12-7).

Received March 10, 2024. Revised May 11, 2024. Accepted May 11, 2024.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases: fixed point, dislocated quasi-metric space, expansive mapping.

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>©</sup> The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2024.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Motivated by this, in this paper, we derive some new fixed point results in the setting of dislocated quasi-metric space, pertaining to both single and paired selfmappings. Our results extend some existing results. The significance of our study is that the results are achieved without imposing the continuity requirement. Examples are provided and an application is given to illustrate practical implication of our findings.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Hitzler et al. [\[10\]](#page-11-7) presented the notion of dislocated metric space in the following manner.

DEFINITION 2.1. [\[10\]](#page-11-7) Let X be a nonempty set and  $d: X \times X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a distance function satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \implies x = y \quad \forall x, y \in X,$ 

(ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$ 

(iii)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$ 

Then d is called a dislocated metric (d-metric) on X. If d satisfies (i) and (iii), then d is called dislocated quasi-metric ( $dq$ -metric) on X and the pair  $(X, d)$  is called dislocated quasi-metric space with  $dq$ -metric d. Every d-metric space is always  $dq$ -metric space, but converse is not true.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $d : X \times X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by

 $d(x, y) = max\{|x|, |y|\} \quad \forall x, y \in X.$ 

Then  $X$  is a *d*-metric space and also a  $dq$ -metric space. But if  $d: X \times X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  is defined by

$$
d(x, y) = |x| \quad \forall \ x, y \in X,
$$

then X is a  $dq$ -metric space, but not a  $d$ -metric space.

DEFINITION 2.3. [\[24\]](#page-12-1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a *dq*-metric space  $(X, d)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

 $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon \quad \forall \; m, n \geq n_0.$ 

 ${x_n}$  is said to be *dq*-convergent to x if

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0.
$$

Here, x is called a  $dq$ -limit of  $\{x_n\}$ .

 $(X, d)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is  $dq$ -convergent.

DEFINITION 2.4. [\[24\]](#page-12-1) Let  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(X, d_2)$  be two dq-metric spaces. Then the function  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  is said to be continuous if for each sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , which is  $d_1q$ -convergent to  $x_0$  in X, the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}\$ is  $d_2q$ -convergent to  $f(x_0)$  in Y.

LEMMA 2.5. [\[24\]](#page-12-1) Every subsequence of dq-convergent sequence to a point  $x_0$  is  $dq$ -convergent to  $x_0$ .

Lemma 2.6. [\[24\]](#page-12-1) dq-limits in a dq-metric space are unique.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>LEMMA 2.7. [\[15\]](#page-12-8) If x is a limit of some sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a dq-metric space  $(X, d)$ , then  $d(x, x) = 0$ .

## 3. Main results

In this section, we formulate some fixed point results for onto expansive type mapping in a complete dq-metric space.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>THEOREM 3.1. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dq-metric space and T be an onto selfmapping on X such that

<span id="page-2-2"></span>(1) 
$$
d(Tx,Ty) \geq \lambda \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 d(x,y), \ \beta_1 \frac{d(Tx,x)d(Ty,y)}{d(x,y)} + \beta_2 d(x,y), \\ \gamma_1 d(Tx,x) + \gamma_2 d(Ty,y) + \gamma_3 d(x,y), \\ \delta_1 d(Tx,y) + \delta_2 d(Ty,x) + \delta_3 d(x,y), \end{array} \right\},
$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) \neq 0, \lambda > 1$ , nonnegative real numbers  $\alpha_1, \beta_i, \gamma_j, \delta_i$  for  $i=1,2;\ j=1,2,3$  and

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda} < \min\left\{\alpha_1, \beta_2, \gamma_3, \delta_1 + \delta_3, \lambda \delta_2(\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \delta_3, \right\}, \delta_3 > 0.
$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

Proof. We take

$$
\tau(x,y) = min \begin{cases} \alpha_1 d(x,y), \ \beta_1 \frac{d(Tx,x)d(Ty,y)}{d(x,y)} + \beta_2 d(x,y), \\ \gamma_1 d(Tx,x) + \gamma_2 d(Ty,y) + \gamma_3 d(x,y), \\ \delta_1 d(Tx,y) + \delta_2 d(Ty,x) + \delta_3 d(x,y), \end{cases}.
$$

Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Since T is onto, there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $x_0 = Tx_1$ . Continuing in this way, we define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X with  $x_{n-1} = Tx_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The following cases will arise.

**Case 1.** If  $\tau(x, y) = \alpha_1 d(x, y)$ , then

(2) 
$$
d(Tx,Ty) \geq \lambda \alpha_1 d(x,y) \quad \forall \ x,y \in X.
$$

Now, using [\(2\)](#page-2-0), we get

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \ge \lambda \alpha_1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}),
$$
  
*i.e.*,  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \frac{1}{\lambda \alpha_1} d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$ 

Let  $h=\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$  $\frac{1}{\lambda \alpha_1}$ . Then the above inequality becomes

$$
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq h \ d(x_{n-1}, x_n).
$$

Also,

$$
d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le h \ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le h^2 \ d(x_{n-1}, x_n).
$$

From this, we get

$$
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le h^n \ d(x_0, x_1), \ n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

For  $m > n$ ,

$$
d(x_n, x_m) \le d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le (h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{m-1})d(x_0, x_1)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le \frac{h^n}{1 - h}d(x_0, x_1).
$$

Since  $h=\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$  $\frac{1}{\lambda \alpha_1} \in [0,1)$  for  $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{\lambda}$  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X and there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = u$ .

Since T is onto, we can find  $p \in X$  such that  $Tp = u$ . Now for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
d(u, x_n) = d(Tp, Tx_{n+1}) \geq \lambda \alpha_1 d(p, x_{n+1}).
$$

Since  $d(u, .), d(p, .): X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous,

$$
d(u, u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(u, x_n) \geq \lambda \alpha_1 \lim_{n \to \infty} d(p, x_{n+1}),
$$

*i.e.*,  $\lambda \alpha_1 d(p, u) \leq d(u, u)$ .

Using Lemma [2.7,](#page-1-0) since  $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{\lambda}$  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ , we get  $d(p, u) = 0$  and similarly,  $d(u, p) = 0$ . Thus  $d(p, u) = d(u, p) = 0$ . So  $u = p$ .

To show the uniqueness, let u and v be two different fixed points of  $T$  in  $X$ . Then

$$
d(Tu,Tv) \geq \lambda \alpha_1 d(u,v),
$$

$$
i.e., \ (\lambda \alpha_1 - 1)d(u, v) \le 0,
$$

which gives  $d(u, v) = 0$ . Similarly,  $d(v, u) = 0$ , and thus  $u = v$ .

Case 2: If 
$$
\tau(x, y) = \beta_1 \frac{d(T_x, x)d(T_y, y)}{d(x, y)} + \beta_2 d(x, y)
$$
, then

(3) 
$$
d(Tx,Ty) \geq \lambda \left(\beta_1 \frac{d(Tx,x)d(Ty,y)}{d(x,y)} + \beta_2 d(x,y)\right).
$$

Using  $(3)$ , we get

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \lambda \beta_1 \frac{d(Tx_n, x_n) d(Tx_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} + \lambda \beta_2 d(x_n, x_{n+1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \lambda \beta_1 \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} + \lambda \beta_2 d(x_n, x_{n+1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \lambda \beta_1 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \lambda \beta_2 d(x_n, x_{n+1})
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \lambda \beta_2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}).
$$

This implies that

$$
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le h \ d(x_{n-1}, x_n)
$$
, where  $h = \frac{1}{\lambda \beta_2} \in [0, 1)$ .

Similar to the previous case,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X, which converges to some  $u \in X$ , which can be shown to be the unique fixed point of T.

**Case 3:** If  $\tau(x, y) = \gamma_1 d(Tx, x) + \gamma_2 d(Ty, y) + \gamma_3 d(x, y)$ , then (4)  $d(Tx, Ty) \geq \lambda \left[ \gamma_1 d(Tx, x) + \gamma_2 d(Ty, y) + \gamma_3 d(x, y) \right] \quad \forall x, y \in X,$ 

and using [\(4\)](#page-3-1), we have

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \ge \lambda \gamma_1 \ d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \lambda \gamma_2 \ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda \gamma_3 \ d(x_n, x_{n+1})
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge \lambda(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3)d(x_n, x_{n+1}),
$$
  
\ni.e.,  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le h \ d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ , where  $h = \frac{1}{\lambda(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3)} \in [0, 1)$ .

So  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X, converging to some  $u \in X$ , which is the unique fixed point of T.

Case 4: If 
$$
\tau(x, y) = \delta_1 d(Tx, y) + \delta_2 d(Ty, x) + \delta_3 d(x, y)
$$
, then  
\n(5)  $d(Tx, Ty) \ge \lambda [\delta_1 d(Tx, y) + \delta_2 d(Ty, x) + \delta_3 d(x, y)] \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .  
\nNow,

$$
d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq \lambda \delta_1 \ d(Tx_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda \delta_2 \ d(Tx_{n+1}, x_n) + \lambda \delta_3 \ d(x_n, x_{n+1})
$$
  
=  $\lambda \delta_1 \ d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \lambda \delta_2 \ d(x_n, x_n) + \lambda \delta_3 \ d(x_n, x_{n+1})$   
(6)  
 $\geq \lambda \delta_2 \ d(x_n, x_n) + \lambda \delta_3 \ d(x_n, x_{n+1}).$ 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Also,

$$
d(x_n, x_n) = d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \lambda \delta_1 d(Tx_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \lambda \delta_2 d(Tx_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \lambda \delta_3 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})
$$
  
\n(7) 
$$
\geq \lambda(\delta_1 + \delta_2)d(x_n, x_{n+1}).
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Using  $(7)$  in  $(6)$ , we get

$$
d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \ge \lambda^2 \delta_2(\delta_1 + \delta_2) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda \delta_3 d(x_n, x_{n+1}),
$$
  
*i.e.*,  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le h d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ , where  $h = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \delta_2(\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \lambda \delta_3} \in [0, 1).$ 

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = u$ , for some  $u \in X$ . Then u is the unique fixed point of T.  $\Box$ 

Now, we demonstrate Theorem [3.1](#page-2-1) by an example as follows:

EXAMPLE 3.2. Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and define a complete  $dq$ -metric  $d : X \times X \longrightarrow$  $[0, \infty)$  by  $d(x, y) = |y| \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .

We define an onto self-mapping 
$$
T
$$
 on  $X$  by

(8) 
$$
Tx = \begin{cases} 3x, & x \le 3 \\ 2x, & x > 3. \end{cases}
$$

Suppose that,  $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}$  $\frac{3}{2}, \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0, \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}, \alpha_1 = \beta_2 = \gamma_3 = \delta_3 = 1.$ 



Figure 1.

250 H. Das and N. Goswami

The Figure 1 illustrates the condition [\(1\)](#page-2-2) of Theorem [3.1,](#page-2-1) with blue surface representing the left part of the condition and green surface representing the right part of the condition. Thus all the conditions of Theorem [3.1](#page-2-1) are satisfied. So T has a unique fixed point, which is clearly 0 here.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>COROLLARY 3.3. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dq-metric space and T be an onto selfmapping on X such that

$$
d(Tx, Ty) \ge \lambda \, d(x, y),
$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\lambda > 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X.

*Proof.* Putting  $\beta_1 = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$  and  $\alpha_1 = \beta_2 = \gamma_3 = \delta_3 = 1$  in Theorem [3.1,](#page-2-1) we get this result.  $\Box$ 

REMARK 3.4. Corollary [3.3](#page-5-0) extends the result of [\[22\]](#page-12-2) in the framework of  $dq$ -metric space.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>COROLLARY 3.5. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dq-metric space and T be an onto selfmapping on X such that

$$
d(Tx,Ty) \ge \lambda \ min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x,y), \ \frac{d(Tx,x)d(Ty,y)}{d(x,y)} + d(x,y), \\ d(Tx,x) + d(Ty,y) + d(x,y), \\ d(Tx,y) + d(Ty,x) + d(x,y), \end{array} \right\}
$$

,

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) \neq 0, \lambda > 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X.

*Proof.* By taking  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 1$  in Theorem [3.1,](#page-2-1) the result follows easily.  $\Box$ 

We apply Corollary [3.5](#page-5-1) to prove the next result.

THEOREM 3.6. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dq-metric space and T be an onto selfmapping on X such that

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
d(Tx,Ty) \ge a_1 d(x,y) + a_2 \left[ \frac{d(Tx,x)d(Ty,y)}{d(x,y)} + d(x,y) \right]
$$
  
(9) 
$$
+ a_3 [d(Tx,x) + d(Ty,y) + d(x,y)] + a_4 [d(Tx,y) + d(Ty,x) + d(x,y)],
$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) \neq 0$ , where  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  are non-negative real numbers satisfying  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 > 1$ . Then the self-mapping T has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. Let

(10) 
$$
\tau(x,y) = min \begin{cases} d(x,y), \frac{d(Tx,x)d(Ty,y)}{d(x,y)} + d(x,y), \\ d(Tx,x) + d(Ty,y) + d(x,y), \\ d(Tx,y) + d(Ty,x) + d(x,y), \end{cases}.
$$

Using [\(9\)](#page-5-2), we get

$$
d(Tx,Ty) \ge a_1 \tau(x,y) + a_2 \tau(x,y) + a_3 \tau(x,y) + a_4 \tau(x,y)
$$
  
 
$$
\ge (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) \tau(x,y).
$$

Let  $\lambda = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4$ . Then the above inequality becomes

$$
d(Tx, Ty) \ge \lambda \tau(x, y) \quad \text{with } \lambda > 1.
$$

Hence Corollary [3.5](#page-5-1) concludes the proof.

In the following, we deduce a common fixed point theorem to a pair of onto expansive type self-mappings.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>THEOREM 3.7. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dq-metric space and  $S, T$  be two onto self-mappings on  $X$  such that

<span id="page-6-3"></span>(11) 
$$
d(Sx,Ty) \geq \lambda \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 d(x,y), \ \beta_1 \frac{d(Sx,x)d(Ty,y)}{d(x,y)} + \beta_2 d(x,y), \\ \gamma_1 d(Sx,x) + \gamma_2 d(Ty,y) + \gamma_3 d(x,y), \\ \delta_1 d(Sx,y) + \delta_2 d(Ty,x) + \delta_3 d(x,y), \end{array} \right\},
$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) \neq 0, \lambda > 1$ , nonnegative real numbers  $\alpha_1, \beta_i, \gamma_j, \delta_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, 3$  and

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda} < \min \left\{ \alpha_1, \beta_2, \gamma_3, \delta_3 \right\}.
$$

Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

Proof. Let

$$
\tau(x,y) = min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 \ d(x,y), \ \beta_1 \ \frac{d(Sx,x)d(Ty,y)}{d(x,y)} + \beta_2 \ d(x,y), \\ \gamma_1 \ d(Sx,x) + \gamma_2 \ d(Ty,y) + \gamma_3 \ d(x,y), \\ \delta_1 \ d(Sx,y) + \delta_2 \ d(Ty,x) + \delta_3 \ d(x,y), \end{array} \right\}.
$$

For  $x_0 \in X$ , since  $S, T$  are onto, there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $x_0 = Sx_1, x_1 = Tx_2$ . Continuing this process, we define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by

<span id="page-6-0"></span>(12) 
$$
Sx_1 = x_0, \dots, Sx_{2n-1} = x_{2n-2},
$$

$$
Tx_2 = x_1, \dots, Tx_{2n} = x_{2n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

We consider the following cases:

**Case 1:** If  $\tau(x, y) = \alpha_1 d(x, y)$ , then

(13) 
$$
d(Sx,Ty) \geq \lambda \alpha_1 d(x,y) \quad \forall \ x,y \in X.
$$

Using  $(12)$  and  $(13)$ , we get

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = d(Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) \ge \lambda \alpha_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}),
$$

*i.e.*, 
$$
d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le \frac{1}{\lambda \alpha_1} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})
$$
  
=  $h d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$ , where  $h = \frac{1}{\lambda \alpha_1}$ .

Also,

$$
d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq h \ d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).
$$

So

$$
d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq h^2 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).
$$

Thus we get

$$
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le h^n \ d(x_0, x_1), \ n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

For  $m > n$ ,

$$
d(x_n, x_m) \le \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(x_0, x_1).
$$

Since  $h=\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$  $\frac{1}{\lambda \alpha_1} \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X and there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=u$ .

Since S, T are onto mappings, we can find  $p, q \in X$  such that  $Sp = Tq = u$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
d(x_{2n}, u) = d(Sx_{2n+1}, Tq) \geq \lambda \alpha_1 d(x_{2n+1}, q).
$$

Using continuity of  $d(., u), d(., q) : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and Lemma [2.7,](#page-1-0) we get  $d(u, q) = 0$ . Similarly  $d(q, u) = 0$ . Thus  $d(u, q) = d(q, u) = 0$ , which implies that  $u = q$ . Similarly,  $u = p$ .

For the uniqueness, let u and v be two different fixed points of S and T in X. Using  $(13)$ , we have

$$
d(u, v) = d(Su, Tv) \geq \lambda \alpha_1 d(u, v),
$$
  
*i.e.*,  $(\lambda \alpha_1 - 1)d(u, v) \leq 0$ ,

which shows that  $u = v$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Case 2:** If  $\tau(x, y) = \beta_1 \frac{d(Sx, x)d(Ty, y)}{d(x, y)} + \beta_2 d(x, y)$ , then

(14) 
$$
d(Sx,Ty) \ge \lambda \left(\beta_1 \frac{d(Sx,x)d(Ty,y)}{d(x,y)} + \beta_2 d(x,y)\right) \quad \forall x,y \in X.
$$

Using  $(12)$  and  $(14)$ , we get

$$
d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le h \ d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), \text{ where } h = \frac{1}{\lambda \beta_2} \in [0, 1).
$$

Similar to the previous case, it can be shown that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X, which converges to some  $u \in X$ . Clearly u is the unique common fixed point of S and T.

Case 3: If 
$$
\tau(x, y) = \gamma_1 d(Sx, x) + \gamma_2 d(Ty, y) + \gamma_3 d(x, y)
$$
, then  
\n(15)  $d(Sx, Ty) \ge \lambda [\gamma_1 d(Sx, x) + \gamma_2 d(Ty, y) + \gamma_3 d(x, y)] \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .

Using  $(12)$  and  $(15)$ , we get

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le h \ d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}),
$$
 where  $h = \frac{1}{\lambda(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3)} \in [0, 1).$ 

So  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X, converging to some  $u \in X$ . It can be easily shown that  $u$  is the unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Case 4:** If  $\tau(x, y) = \delta_1 d(Sx, y) + \delta_2 d(Ty, x) + \delta_3 d(x, y)$ , then

(16) 
$$
d(Sx,Ty) \ge \lambda \left[\delta_1 d(Sx,y) + \delta_2 d(Ty,x) + \delta_3 d(x,y)\right] \quad \forall x,y \in X.
$$

Using  $(12)$  and  $(16)$ , we get

$$
d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le h \ d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}),
$$
 where  $h = \frac{1}{\lambda \delta_3} \in [0, 1).$ 

So  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X, which converges to some  $u \in X$ . Then u is the unique common fixed point of S and T.  $\Box$ 

Putting  $\beta_1 = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$  and  $\alpha_1 = \beta_2 = \gamma_3 = \delta_3 = 1$  in Theorem [3.7,](#page-6-2) we get the following result.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>COROLLARY 3.8. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dq-metric space and  $S, T$  be two onto self-mappings on X such that

$$
d(Sx, Ty) \ge \lambda \ d(x, y),
$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\lambda > 1$ . Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

The following example exhibits Theorem [3.7](#page-6-2)

EXAMPLE 3.9. Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and define a complete dq-metric  $d : X \times X \longrightarrow$  $[0, \infty)$  such that

$$
d(x, y) = |y| \quad \forall \ x, y \in X.
$$

We define two onto self-mappings  $S$  and  $T$  on  $X$  by

(17) 
$$
Sx = \begin{cases} 6x, & x \in X - \{2, 3\} \\ 18, & x = 2 \\ 12, & x = 3 \end{cases}, \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 5x, & x \le 2 \\ 4x, & x > 2 \end{cases}.
$$

Consider  $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}$  $\frac{3}{2}, \alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 1.$ 



Figure 2.

The Figure 2 depicts the condition [\(11\)](#page-6-3) of Theorem [3.7,](#page-6-2) with red surface denoting the left part of the condition and green surface denoting the right part of the condition. Clearly, all the conditions of Theorem [3.7](#page-6-2) are satisfied and 0 is the unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$  here.

In [\[11\]](#page-11-11), Hu established a proof outlining the characterization of completeness of metric spaces using contraction mapping. Cobzas [\[4\]](#page-11-12) investigated the characterization of completeness of uniformly Lipschitz connected metric spaces. Here, we provide a result concerning expansive type mappings in the context of characterizing the completeness of dislocated quasi-metric space.

THEOREM 3.10. Let  $(X, d)$  be a dq-metric space such that for some  $0 < \eta < 1$ , d satisfies

(18) 
$$
d(x,x) \geq \eta \max \{d(x,y), d(y,x)\} \forall x, y \in X.
$$

If for every closed subset Y of X, every onto expansive self-mapping  $T$  on Y satisfying

<span id="page-8-0"></span> $d(T x, T y) > \lambda d(x, y) \forall x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,

for some  $\lambda > 1$ , has a fixed point, then X is complete.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}$  be an arbitrary Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . If we can establish the existence of convergent subsequence, then we are done. Let us assume that  $\{x_n\}$  does not have any convergent subsequence. Following [\[11\]](#page-11-11), we consider

$$
\beta(x_n) = \min\left[\inf \{d(x_n, x_m), m > n\}, \inf \{d(x_m, x_n), m > n\}\right] > 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

For a real number  $c > \frac{1}{\eta^2}$ , we construct inductively a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $d(x_i, x_j) < \frac{1}{c}$  $\frac{1}{c}\beta(x_{n_{k-1}})$   $\forall i,j \geq n_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $Y = \{x_{n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}\$ is a closed subset of  $X$ . Define  $T: Y \longrightarrow Y$  by  $Tx_{n_0} = x_{n_1}, Tx_{n_k} = x_{n_{k-1}} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly T is fixed point free.

Let  $x, y \in Y$ . Then the following cases will arise: **Case 1:** Let  $x = x_{n_0}, y = x_{n_1}$ . Then

$$
d(Tx,Ty) = d(Tx_{n_0}, Tx_{n_1}) = d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_0}) \ge \beta(x_{n_0}) > c d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_1})
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge c\eta d(x_{n_0}, x_{n_1}) \text{ (by condition (18))}
$$
  
\n
$$
> c\eta^2 d(x_{n_0}, x_{n_1}) = \lambda d(x,y), \text{ where } \lambda = c\eta^2.
$$

**Case 2:** For  $x = x_{n_0}, y = x_{n_2},$ 

$$
d(Tx, Ty) = d(Tx_{n_0}, Tx_{n_2}) = d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_1}) \ge \eta \ d(x_{n_0}, x_{n_1}) \ge \eta \ \beta(x_{n_0})
$$
  
>  $\eta c \ d(x_{n_2}, x_{n_2}) \ge c\eta^2 \ d(x_{n_0}, x_{n_2})$  (by condition (18))  
=  $\lambda \ d(x, y)$ .

**Case 3:** For  $x = x_{n_0}, y = x_{n_k}, k > 2$ ,

$$
d(Tx,Ty) = d(Tx_{n_0}, Tx_{n_k}) = d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_{k-1}}) \ge \beta(x_{n_1}) > c d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k})
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge c\eta d(x_{n_0}, x_{n_k}) \text{ (by condition (18))}
$$
  
\n
$$
> c\eta^2 d(x_{n_0}, x_{n_k}) = \lambda d(x,y).
$$

**Case 4:** For  $x = x_{n_k}$ ,  $y = x_{n_{k+i}}$  for  $k, i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
d(Tx,Ty) = d(Tx_{n_k}, Tx_{n_{k+i}}) = d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_{k+i-1}}) \ge \beta(x_{n_{k-1}}) > c d(x_{n_{k+i}}, x_{n_{k+i}})
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge c\eta d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_{k+i}}) \text{ (by condition (18))}
$$
  
\n
$$
> c\eta^2 d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_{k+i}}) = \lambda d(x,y).
$$

The cases when  $y = x_{n_0}, x = x_{n_k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y = x_{n_k}, x = x_{n_{k+i}}, k, i \in \mathbb{N}$  can be shown similarly.

Thus T is an onto expansive mapping satisfying the given condition having no fixed point on the closed subset  $Y$  of  $X$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $(X, d)$  is complete.  $\Box$ 

# 4. Application to Fredholm integral equation

We solve a system of Fredholm integral equations as an application of our derived result. Consider the following system of integral equations:

<span id="page-10-0"></span>(19) 
$$
x(t) = \int_{a}^{b} k_1(t, r, x(r)) dr + f(t),
$$

$$
y(t) = \int_{a}^{b} k_2(t, r, y(r)) dr + g(t),
$$

where  $x, y, f, g \in C[a, b]$ , which is the space of all continuous real valued functions on  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}, t, r \in [a, b]$  and  $k_1, k_2 : [a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions. We consider  $x, y$  as onto mappings.

We take  $X = C[a, b]$  with  $d : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$
d(x, y) = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \{|x(t) - y(t)| + |y(t)|\} \ \forall \ x, y \in X.
$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete dq-metric space.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>THEOREM 4.1. If there exists  $\lambda > 1$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ 

(20) 
$$
\left| \int_a^b k_1(t, r, x(r)) dr \right| \geq \lambda \left| x(t) - y(t) \right| + \lambda \left| y(t) \right| \ \forall \ t \in [a, b],
$$

then the system of integral equations [\(19\)](#page-10-0) has a unique solution.

*Proof.* For  $x, y \in X$ , we take

$$
F_x(t) = \int_a^b k_1(t, r, x(r)) dr,
$$
  
\n
$$
G_y(t) = \int_a^b k_2(t, r, y(r)) dr,
$$

and define

$$
Sx(t) = F_x(t) + f(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
Ty(t) = G_y(t) + g(t) \forall t \in [a, b].
$$

Then  $S$  and  $T$  are two onto self-mappings on  $X$ . Then the existence of solution to [\(19\)](#page-10-0) is equivalent to the existence of common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . Now,

$$
d(Sx, Ty) = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \{|Sx(t) - Ty(t)| + |Ty(t)|\}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \{|F_x(t) + f(t) - G_y(t) - g(t)| + |G_y(t) + g(t)|\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \{|F_x(t) + f(t) - G_y(t) - g(t) + G_y(t) + g(t)|\}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \{|F_x(t) + f(t)|\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |F_x(t)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |F_x(t)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \lambda \{|x(t) - y(t)| + |y(t)|\} \text{ (by condition (20))}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \lambda d(x, y).
$$

Therefore, all the conditions of Corollary [3.8](#page-8-1) are satisfied. Hence the system of integral  $\Box$ equations [\(19\)](#page-10-0) has a unique solution.

#### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have extended and refined some fixed point results of [\[15\]](#page-12-8) for single expansive type mapping in the framework of  $dq$ -metric space. Also, we established a common fixed point theorem considering such mappings. A characterization of completeness of dq-metric space is derived using expansive mappings. Furthermore, we have demonstrated the practical implications of our findings through their application in solving system of Fredholm integral equations. The study of the concept of coupled fixed point and proximity point for expansive type mappings in dq-metric space is a scope for further discussion. Additionally, the convergence of iteration schemes may be explored considering such mappings in convex dq-metric space.

### References

- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[1] C. Aage and J. Salunke, The results on fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric space, Appl. Math. Sci. 2 (59) (2008), 2941–2948.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[2] M. Ahmed, A common fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in 2-metric spaces and its application, Chaos, Solitons Fractals 42 (5) (2009), 2914–2920. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2009.04.034>
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[3] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations  $intégrales$ , Fund. Math. **3** (1) (1922), 133–181.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>[4] S. Cobzas, Fixed points and completeness in metric and generalized metric spaces, J. Math. Sci. 250 (2020), 475–535.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-020-05027-1>

- <span id="page-11-9"></span>[5] R. Daheriya, R. Jain and M. Ughade, Some fixed point theorem for expansive type mapping in dislocated metric space, ISRN Math. Anal. 2012 (2012), Article ID 376832.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[6] B. K. Dass and S. Gupta, An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 6 (12) (1975), 1455–1458.
- [7] J. Górnicki, Fixed point theorems for F-expanding mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2017 (2017), Paper No 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-017-0602-3>
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[8] N. Goswami and B. Patir, Fixed-point theorems for  $(\phi, \psi, \beta)$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings in partially ordered fuzzy metric spaces with applications, Korean J. Math.  $30$  (2) (2022), 375-389.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>[9] Y. Han and S. Xu, Some new theorems of expanding mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013 (2013), Paper No. 3. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-3]( https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-3)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[10] P. Hitzler and A. K. Seda, *Dislocated topologies*, J. Electr. Eng. **51** (12) (2000), 3-7.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>[11] T. Hu, On a fixed-point theorem for metric spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly  $74$  (4) (1967), 436-437.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[12] U. M. Jeevanandam, A. Annadurai, G. Mani and S. Kumar, Some common fixed point theorem of rational contractive mappings in dislocated metric spaces, Topo. Alg. Appl. 11 (1) (2023), 20220135. <https://doi.org/10.1515/taa-2022-0135>
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[13] S. Li, A. Zada, R. Shah and T. Li, Fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi-metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 10 (2017), 4695–4703. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.09.12>
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[14] S. Matthews, *Metric domains for completeness*, PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Warwick Coventry, UK, 1–127, (1986).
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[15] S. Mhanna, O. Baiz, H. Benaissa and D. El Moutawakil, Some new results of fixed point in dislocated quasi-metric spaces, J. Math. Comput. Sci. 24 (2022), 22–32. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.024.01.03>
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[16] M. Rahman and M. Sarwar, Fixed point theorems for expanding mappings in dislocated metric space, Math. Sci. Lett. 4 (1) (2015), 69-73.
- <https://www.naturalspublishing.com/files/published/zy8r14l4g1nm54.pdf>
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[17] A. Rani, A. Rani and K. Jyoti,  $d - \alpha - \psi$  expansive mapping in dislocated metric space, Asian J. Math. Comput. Research 15 (2) (2017), 103-112.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[18] B. Rhoades, A comparison of various definition of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 226 (1977), 257–290.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[19] B. Rhoades, Some fixed point theorems for pairs of mappings, Jnanabha 15 (1985), 151–156.
- [20] P. Shahi, J. Kaur and S. Bhatia, Fixed point theorems for  $(\xi, \alpha)$ -expansive mappings in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (2012), Paper No. 157. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-157>
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[21] T. Taniguchi, Common fixed point theorems on expansion type mappings on complete metric spaces, Math. Japon. **34** (1989), 139–142.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[22] S. Z. Wang, B.Y. Li, Z.M. Gao and K. Iseki, Some fixed point theorerms on expansion mappings, Math. Japon. 29 (1984), 631–636.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[23] S. S. Yeşilkaya and C. Aydın, Fixed point results of expansive mappings in metric spaces, Math. 8 (10) (2020), Paper No. 1800. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8101800>
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[24] F. Zeyada, G. Hassan and M. Ahmed, A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 31 (1A) (2005), 111.

#### Haripada Das

Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University, Guwahati-781014, Assam, India.  $E-mail:$  dasharipada0880gmail.com

### Nilakshi Goswami

Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University, Guwahati-781014, Assam, India.  $E-mail:$  nila\_g2003@yahoo.co.in