

FUZZY CONNECTIONS AND COMPLETENESS IN COMPLETE RESIDUATED LATTICES

YONG CHAN KIM* AND YOUNG SUN KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the properties of fuzzy Galois (dual Galois, residuated, dual residuated) connections in a complete residuated lattice L .

1. Introduction

Galois connection is an important mathematical tool for algebraic structure, data analysis and knowledge processing [1-5,7-11]. Orłowska and Rewitzky [9] investigated the algebraic structures of operators of Galois-style (Galois, dual Galois, residuated, dual residuated) connections on set. Hájek [6] introduced a complete residuated lattice L which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. Recently, Yao and Lu [11] introduced Galois connections and fuzzy completeness in a complete residuated lattice L . Bělohlávek [1-3] developed the notion of fuzzy contexts using Galois connections with $R \in L^{X \times Y}$ on a complete residuated lattice L .

In this paper, we investigate the properties of fuzzy Galois (dual Galois, residuated, dual residuated) connections as an extension of Yao and Lu [11] in a complete residuated lattice L .

Received February 4, 2013. Revised March 29, 2013. Accepted April 10, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06A06, 06A15, 06B30, 54F05, 68U35.

Key words and phrases: Fuzzy Galois (dual Galois, residuated, dual residuated) connections, Order preserving (reversing) map, Fuzzy complete.

*Corresponding author.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2013.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. ([6,11]) An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is called a complete residuated lattice if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1) $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 1, 0)$ is a complete lattice with the greatest element 1 and the least element 0;
- (C2) $(L, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid;
- (C3) $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for $x, y, z \in L$.

REMARK 2.2. ([6]) (1) A completely distributive lattice $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, 1, 0)$ is a complete residuated lattice defined by

$$x \rightarrow y = \bigvee \{z \mid x \wedge z \leq y\}.$$

In particular, the unit interval $([0, 1], \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a complete residuated lattice defined by

$$x \rightarrow y = \bigvee \{z \mid x \wedge z \leq y\}.$$

(2) The unit interval $([0, 1], \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ with a left-continuous t -norm \odot is a complete residuated lattice defined by

$$x \rightarrow y = \bigvee \{z \mid x \odot z \leq y\}.$$

In this paper, we assume $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a complete residuated lattice.

DEFINITION 2.3. ([11]) Let X be a set. A function $e_X : X \times X \rightarrow L$ is called:

- (E1) reflexive if $e_X(x, x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$,
- (E2) transitive if $e_X(x, y) \odot e_X(y, z) \leq e_X(x, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.
- (E3) if $e_X(x, y) = e_X(y, x) = 1$, then $x = y$.

If e_X satisfies (E1) and (E2), e_X is a fuzzy preorder and (X, e_X) is a fuzzy preorder set. If e satisfies (E1), (E2) and (E3), e_X is a fuzzy partially order and (X, e_X) is a fuzzy partially order set (simply, fuzzy poset).

EXAMPLE 2.4. (1) We define a function $e_L : L \times L \rightarrow L$ as $e_L(x, y) = x \rightarrow y$. Then e_L is a partial order.

(2) We define a function $e_{L^X} : L^X \times L^X \rightarrow L$ as $e_{L^X}(A, B) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$. Then (L^X, e_{L^X}) is a fuzzy poset.

(3) If (X, e_X) is a fuzzy poset and we define a function $e_X^{-1}(x, y) = e_X(y, x)$, then (X, e_X^{-1}) is a fuzzy poset.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let (X, e_X) and (Y, e_Y) be a fuzzy poset and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ maps.

- (1) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is called a Galois connection if for all $x \in X, y \in Y$,

$$e_Y(y, f(x)) = e_X(x, g(y)).$$
- (2) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is called a dual Galois connection if for all $x \in X, y \in Y$,

$$e_Y(f(x), y) = e_X(g(y), x).$$
- (3) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is called a residuated connection if for all $x \in X, y \in Y$,

$$e_Y(f(x), y) = e_X(x, g(y)).$$
- (4) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is called a dual residuated connection if for all $x \in X, y \in Y$,

$$e_Y(y, f(x)) = e_X(g(y), x).$$
- (5) f is an order preserving map if $e_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \geq e_X(x_1, x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.
- (6) f is an order reversing map if $e_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \geq e_X(x_2, x_1)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

3. Fuzzy connections and completeness in complete residuated lattices

DEFINITION 3.1. ([10]) Let (X, e_X) be a fuzzy poset and $A \in L^X$.

- (1) A point x_0 is called a join (or supremum) of A , denoted by $x_0 = \sqcup A$, if it satisfies
 - (J1) $A(x) \leq e_X(x, x_0)$,
 - (J2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, y)) \leq e_X(x_0, y)$.
 A point x_1 is called a meet (or infimum) of A , denoted by $x_1 = \sqcap A$, if it satisfies
 - (M1) $A(x) \leq e_X(x_1, x)$,
 - (M2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(y, x)) \leq e_X(y, x_1)$.
 The pair (X, e_X) is called a fuzzy complete lattice if for all $A \in L^X$, $\sqcup A$ and $\sqcap A$ exist.
- (2) $x_0 = \max A$ is called a maximal element if $A(x_0) = 1$ and $A(y) \leq e_X(y, x_0)$, for all $y \in X$.
- (3) $x_1 = \min A$ is called a minimal element if $A(x_1) = 1$ and $A(y) \leq e_X(x_1, y)$, for all $y \in X$.

REMARK 3.2. Let (X, e_X) be a fuzzy poset and $A \in L^X$. If x_0 is a join of A , then it is unique because $e_X(x_0, y) = e_X(y_0, y)$ for all $y \in X$, put $y = x_0$ or $y = y_0$, then $e_X(x_0, y_0) = e_X(y_0, x_0) = 1$ implies $x_0 = y_0$. Similarly, if a meet of A exist, then it is unique.

THEOREM 3.3. Let (X, e_X) be a fuzzy poset and $A \in L^X$.

- (1) x_0 is a join of A iff $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, y)) = e_X(x_0, y)$.
- (2) x_1 is a meet of A iff $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(y, x)) = e_X(y, x_1)$.
- (3) $x_0 = \max A$ iff $A(x_0) = 1$ and $x_0 = \sqcup A$.
- (4) $x_1 = \min A$ iff $A(x_1) = 1$ and $x_1 = \sqcap A$.

Proof. (1) (\Rightarrow) Let x_0 be a join of A . Then $A(x) \leq e_X(x, x_0)$. Thus, $A(x) \odot e_X(x_0, y) \leq e_X(x, x_0) \odot e_X(x_0, y) \leq e_X(x, y)$. Hence $e_X(x_0, y) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, y))$. By (J2), the equality holds.

(\Leftarrow) Since $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, x_0)) = e_X(x_0, x_0) = 1$, then $A(x) \leq e_X(x, x_0)$. Hence the result holds.

(2) (\Rightarrow) Let x_1 be a meet of A . Then $A(x) \leq e_X(x_1, x)$. Thus, $e_X(y, x_1) \odot A(x) \leq e_X(y, x_1) \odot e_X(x_1, x) \leq e_X(y, x)$. Hence $e_X(y, x_1) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(y, x))$. By (M2), the equality holds.

(\Leftarrow) Since $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x_1, x)) = e_X(x_1, x_1) = 1$, then $A(x) \leq e_X(x_1, x)$. Hence the result holds.

(3) Let $x_0 = \max A$. Then

$$\bigwedge_{z \in X} (A(z) \rightarrow e_X(z, x)) \leq A(x_0) \rightarrow e_X(x_0, x) = e_X(x_0, x),$$

$$\bigwedge_{z \in X} (A(z) \rightarrow e_X(z, x)) \geq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_X(z, x_0) \rightarrow e_X(z, x)) = e_X(x_0, x).$$

Thus $e_X(x_0, x) = \bigwedge_{z \in X} (A(z) \rightarrow e_X(z, x))$. So, $x_0 = \sqcup A$.

Let $A(x_0) = 1$ and $x_0 = \sqcup A$. Then $e_X(x_0, x_0) = \bigwedge_{z \in X} (A(z) \rightarrow e_X(z, x_0)) = 1$ implies $A(z) \leq e_X(z, x_0)$. Then $x_0 = \max A$.

(4) It is similarly proved as (3). \square

REMARK 3.4. Let (X, e_X) be a fuzzy poset and $A \in L^X$.

- (1) Since $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (e_X(x, y) \rightarrow e_X(x, z)) = e_X(y, z)$, then, by Theorem 3.3 (1), $y = \sqcup (e_X)^y$ where $(e_X)^y(x) = e_X(x, y)$.
- (2) Since $\bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_X(y, z) \rightarrow e_X(x, z)) = e_X(x, y)$, then, by Theorem 3.3 (3), $y = \sqcap (e_X)_y$ where $(e_X)_y(x) = e_X(y, x)$.

REMARK 3.5. Let (L, e_L) be a fuzzy poset and $A \in L$.

- (1) If x_0 is a join of A , then $\bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow e_L(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) = \bigvee_{x \in L} (x \odot A(x)) \rightarrow y = e_L(x_0, y) = x_0 \rightarrow y$. So, $x_0 = \sqcup A = \bigvee_{x \in L} (x \odot A(x))$.
- (2) If x_1 is a meet of A iff $\bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow e_L(y, x)) = \bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)) = y \rightarrow \bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow x) = e_X(y, x_1) = y \rightarrow x_1$, then $x_1 = \sqcap A = \bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow x)$.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ be a set. Define a binary operation \odot (called Łukasiewicz conjunction) on $L = [0, 1]$ by

$$x \odot y = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}, \quad x \rightarrow y = \min\{1 - x + y, 1\}.$$

Let $(X = \{a, b, c\}, e_X)$ be a fuzzy poset as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_X(a, a) &= 1, & e_X(a, b) &= 0.6, & e_X(a, c) &= 0.5 \\ e_X(b, a) &= 0.8, & e_X(b, b) &= 1, & e_X(b, c) &= 0.7 \\ e_X(c, a) &= 0.9, & e_X(c, b) &= 0.6, & e_X(c, c) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

- (1) For $A = (e_X)^a = (1, 0.8, 0.9)^t$, we have $a = \sqcup A = \max A$ from

$$\bigwedge (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, z)) = e_X(a, z).$$

- (2) For $A = (e_X)^b = (0.6, 1, 0.6)^t$, we have $b = \sqcup A = \max A$ from

$$\bigwedge (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, z)) = e_X(b, z).$$

- (3) For $A = (e_X)^c = (0.5, 0.7, 1)^t$, we have $c = \sqcup A$ from

$$\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, y)) = e_X(\sqcup A, y) = e_X(c, y).$$

- (4) For $A = (e_X)_a = (1, 0.6, 0.5)^t$, we have $a = \sqcap A = \min A$ from

$$\bigwedge (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(z, x)) = e_X(z, a).$$

- (5) For $A = (e_X)_b = (0.8, 1, 0.7)^t$, we have $b = \sqcap A = \min A$ from

$$\bigwedge (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(z, x)) = e_X(z, b).$$

- (6) For $A = (e_X)_c = (0.9, 0.6, 1)^t$, we have $c = \sqcap A = \min A$ from

$$\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(z, x)) = e_X(z, c).$$

(7) For $A = (0.5, 0.8, 0.6)^t$, $\sqcap A$ and $\sqcup A$ do not exist from:

$$0.9 = \bigwedge (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, c)) \neq e_X(y, c), \forall y \in \{a, b, c\}$$

$$0.8 = \bigwedge (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(a, x)) \neq e_X(a, y), \forall y \in \{a, b, c\}.$$

Hence (X, e_X) is not fuzzy complete.

THEOREM 3.7. Let (X, e_X) and (Y, e_Y) be complete.

- (1) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a Galois connection iff f is an order reversing map and $g(y) = \max f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$ iff g is an order reversing map and $f(x) = \max g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_x)$.
- (2) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a dual Galois connection iff f is an order reversing map and $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$ iff g is an order reversing map and $f(x) = \min g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_x)$.
- (3) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a residuated connection iff f is an order preserving map and $g(y) = \max f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$ iff g is an order preserving map and $f(x) = \min g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_x)$.
- (4) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a dual residuated connection iff f is an order preserving map and $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$ iff g is an order preserving map and $f(x) = \max g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_x)$.

Proof. (1) We only show that (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a Galois connection iff f is an order reversing map and $g(y) = \max f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$ because other case is similarly proved.

(\Rightarrow) Since $e_X(x, g(f(x))) = e_Y(f(x), f(x)) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_Y(f(x), f(y)) &= e_X(y, g(f(x))) \\ &\geq e_X(y, x) \odot e_X(x, g(f(x))) = e_X(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is an order reversing map. Moreover, $g(y) = \max f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)(g(y)) &= (e_Y)_y(f(g(y))) \\ &= e_Y(y, f(g(y))) \\ &= e_X(g(y), g(y)) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)(x) = (e_Y)_y(f(x)) = e_Y(y, f(x)) = e_X(x, g(y)).$$

(\Leftarrow) Since $g(y) = \max f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$, we have

$$e_Y(y, f(x)) = (e_Y)_y(f(x)) = f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)(x) \leq e_X(x, g(y)).$$

Since $g(y) = \max f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$,

$$f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)(g(y)) = (e_Y)_y(f(g(y))) = e_Y(y, f(g(y))) = 1.$$

$$e_X(x, g(y)) \leq e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) \odot e_Y(y, f(g(y))) \leq e_Y(y, f(x)).$$

Thus $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$.

(2) We only show that (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a dual Galois connection iff f is an order reversing map and $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)^y)$ because other case is similarly proved.

(\Rightarrow) Since $e_X(g(f(x)), x) = e_Y(f(x), f(x)) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_Y(f(x), f(y)) &= e_X(g(f(y)), x) \\ &\geq e_X(g(f(y)), y) \odot e_X(y, x) = e_X(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is an order reversing map. Moreover, $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)^y)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)^y)(g(y)) &= (e_Y)^y(f(g(y))) \\ &= e_Y(f(g(y)), y) = e_X(g(y), g(y)) = 1 \\ f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)^y)(x) &= (e_Y)^y(f(x)) = e_Y(f(x), y) = e_X(g(y), x). \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Since $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)^y)$, we have

$$e_Y(f(x), y) = (e_Y)^y(f(x)) = f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)^y)(x) \leq e_X(g(y), x).$$

Since $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)^y)$,

$$f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)^y)(g(y)) = (e_Y)^y(f(g(y))) = e_Y(f(g(y)), y) = 1.$$

$$e_X(g(y), x) \leq e_Y(f(x), f(g(y))) \odot e_Y(f(g(y)), y) \leq e_Y(f(x), y).$$

Thus, $e_Y(f(x), y) = e_X(g(y), x)$.

(3) It follows from Theorem 3.4 in [11].

(4) First, we show that (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a dual residuated connection iff f is an order preserving map and $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$.

(\Rightarrow) Since $e_X(g(f(x)), x) = e_Y(f(x), f(x)) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_Y(f(x), f(y)) &= e_X(g(f(x)), y) \\ &\geq e_X(x, y) \odot e_X(g(f(x)), x) = e_X(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)(g(y)) &= (e_Y)_y(f(g(y))) = e_Y(y, f(g(y))) \\ &= e_X(g(y), g(y)) = 1, \\ f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)(x) &= (e_Y)_y(f(x)) = e_Y(y, f(x)) \\ &= e_X(g(y), x). \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Since $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$, we have

$$e_Y(y, f(x)) = (e_Y)_y(f(x)) = f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)(x) \leq e_Y(g(y), x).$$

Since $g(y) = \min f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$,

$$f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)(g(y)) = (e_Y)_y(f(g(y))) = e_Y(y, f(g(y))) = 1.$$

$$e_X(g(y), x) \leq e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) \odot e_Y(y, f(g(y))) \leq e_Y(y, f(x)).$$

Hence $e_X(g(y), x) = e_Y(y, f(x))$.

Second, we show that (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a dual residuated connection iff g is an order preserving map and $f(x) = \max g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x)$.

(\Rightarrow) Since $e_Y(y, f(g(y))) = e_X(g(y), g(y)) = 1$, we have

$$e_X(g(x), g(y)) = e_Y(x, f(g(y))) \geq e_Y(x, y) \odot e_Y(y, g(f(y))) = e_Y(x, y).$$

We obtain $f(x) = \max g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x)(f(x)) &= (e_X)^x(g(f(x))) = e_Y(g(f(x)), x) \\ &= e_Y(f(x), f(x)) = 1, \\ g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x)(y) &= (e_X)^x(g(y)) = e_X(g(y), x) = e_Y(y, f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Since $f(x) = \max g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x)$, we have

$$e_X(g(y), x) = (e_X)^x(g(y)) = g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x)(y) \leq e_Y(y, f(x)).$$

Since $f(x) = \max g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x)$,

$$g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x)(f(x)) = (e_X)^x(g(f(x))) = e_X(g(f(x)), x) = 1.$$

$$e_Y(y, f(x)) \leq e_X(g(y), g(f(x))) \odot e_X(g(f(x)), x) \leq e_X(g(y), x).$$

Hence $e_X(g(y), x) = e_Y(y, f(x))$. \square

THEOREM 3.8. *Let (X, e_X) and (Y, e_Y) be complete.*

- (1) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a Galois connection iff $f(\sqcup A) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(A)$ for all $A \in L^X$ iff $g(\sqcup B) = \sqcap g^{\rightarrow}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (2) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a dual Galois connection iff $f(\sqcap A) = \sqcup f^{\rightarrow}(A)$ for all $A \in L^X$ iff $g(\sqcap B) = \sqcup g^{\rightarrow}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (3) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a residuated connection iff $f(\sqcup A) = \sqcup f^{\rightarrow}(A)$ for all $A \in L^X$ iff $g(\sqcap B) = \sqcap g^{\rightarrow}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.
- (4) (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a dual residuated connection iff, for all $A \in L^X$, $f(\sqcap A) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(A)$ iff $g(\sqcup B) = \sqcup g^{\rightarrow}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.

Proof. (1) First, we will show that (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a Galois connection iff $f(\sqcup A) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(A)$ for all $A \in L^X$.

(\Rightarrow) Put $y_0 = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_Y(y, y_0) &= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (f^{\rightarrow}(A)(z) \rightarrow e_Y(y, z)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} ((\bigvee_{f(x)=z} A(x) \rightarrow e_Y(y, f(x))) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} \bigwedge_{f(x)=z} (A(x) \rightarrow e_Y(y, f(x))) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, g(y))) \\
 &= e_X(\sqcup A, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(\sqcup A)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $y_0 = f(\sqcup A) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(A)$.

(\Leftarrow) Put $A = (e_X)^y$. Since $\sqcup(e_X)^y = y$ from Remark 3.4(1), we have $f(y) = f(\sqcup(e_X)^y) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}((e_X)^y)$. By the definition of $\sqcap f^{\rightarrow}((e_X)^y)$,

$$e_Y(f(y), f(x)) \geq f^{\rightarrow}((e_X)^y)(f(x)) = \bigvee_{f(z)=f(x)} (e_X)^y(z) \geq e_X(x, y).$$

Thus, f is order-reversing.

Define $g : Y \rightarrow X$ as $g(y) = \sqcup f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$. By the definition of $g(y_1) = \sqcup f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{y_1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_X(g(y_1), g(y_2)) &= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{y_1})(z) \rightarrow e_X(z, g(y_2))) \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{y_1})(z) \rightarrow f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{y_2})(z)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (e_Y(y_1, f(z)) \rightarrow e_Y(y_2, f(z))) \\
 &\geq e_Y(y_2, y_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, g is order-reversing. Since

$$f(g(y)) = f(\sqcup f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_Y(y, f(g(y))) &= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y))(z) \rightarrow e_Y(y, z)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (\bigvee_{f(x)=z} (f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y))(z) \rightarrow e_Y(y, z)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (\bigvee_{f(x)=z} e_Y(y, f(x)) \rightarrow e_Y(y, z)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (e_Y(y, f(x)) \rightarrow e_Y(y, f(x))) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $g(f(x)) = \sqcup f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{f(x)})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e_X(x, g(f(x))) &\geq f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{f(x)})(x) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}(f(x)) = 1, \\
e_X(x, g(y)) &\leq e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) = e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) \\
&\odot e_Y(y, f(g(y))) \leq e_Y(y, f(x)), \\
e_Y(y, f(x)) &\leq e_X(g(f(x)), g(y)) = e_X(g(f(x)), g(y)) \\
&\odot e_Y(x, g(f(x))) \leq e_X(x, g(y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$.

Second, (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a Galois connection iff $g(\sqcup B) = \sqcap g^{\rightarrow}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.

(\Rightarrow) Put $x_0 = \sqcap g^{\rightarrow}(B)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
e_X(x, x_0) &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (g^{\rightarrow}(B)(z) \rightarrow e_X(x, z)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\bigvee_{g(y)=z} B(y) \rightarrow e_X(x, g(y))) \\
&= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \bigwedge_{g(y)=z} (B(y) \rightarrow e_Y(y, f(x))) \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \rightarrow e_Y(y, f(x))) \\
&= e_Y(\sqcup_l B, f(x)) = e_X(x, g(\sqcup_l B)).
\end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Put $B = (e_Y)^y$. Then $g(y) = g(\sqcup(e_Y)^y) = \sqcap g^{\rightarrow}((e_Y)^y)$. By the definition of $\sqcap g^{\rightarrow}((e_Y)^y)$,

$$e_X(g(y), g(w)) \geq g^{\rightarrow}((e_Y)^y)(g(w)) = \bigvee_{g(z)=g(w)} (e_Y)^y(z) \geq e_Y(w, y).$$

Thus, g is order-reversing.

Define $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as $f(x) = \sqcup g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_x)$. By the definition of $f(x_1) = \sqcup g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_{x_1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) &= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_{x_1})(z) \rightarrow e_Y(z, f(x_2))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_{x_1})(z) \rightarrow g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_{x_2})(z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (e_X(x_1, g(z)) \rightarrow e_X(x_2, g(z))) \\ &\geq e_X(x_2, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, f is order-reversing. Since

$$g(f(x)) = g(\sqcup g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_x)) = \sqcap g^{\rightarrow}(g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_X(x, g(f(x))) &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (g^{\rightarrow}(g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_x))(z) \rightarrow e_X(x, z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \left(\bigvee_{g(w)=z} (g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_x)(w) \rightarrow e_X(x, z)) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \left(\bigvee_{g(w)=z} e_X(x, g(w)) \rightarrow e_X(x, z) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{w \in Y} (e_X(x, g(w)) \rightarrow e_X(x, g(w))) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(g(y)) = \sqcup g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_{g(y)})$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_Y(y, f(g(y))) &\geq g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)_{g(y)})(y) = (e_X)_{g(y)}(g(y)) = 1, \\ e_X(x, g(y)) &\leq e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) = e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) \\ &\odot e_Y(y, f(g(y))) \leq e_Y(y, f(x)) \\ e_Y(y, f(x)) &\leq e_X(g(f(x)), g(y)) = e_X(g(f(x)), g(y)) \\ &\odot e_Y(x, g(f(x))) \leq e_X(x, g(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$.

(2) and (3) are similarly proved in (1) and Theorem 3.5 in [11], respectively.

(4) First, (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a dual residuated connection iff $f(\sqcap A) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(A)$ for all $A \in L^X$.

(\Rightarrow) Put $y_1 = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
e_Y(y, y_1) &= \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (f^{\rightarrow}(A)(z) \rightarrow e_Y(y, z)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left(\bigvee_{f(x)=z} A(x) \rightarrow e_Y(y, f(x)) \right) \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{f(x)=z} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(g(y), x)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(g(y), x)) \\
&= e_X(g(y), \sqcap A) = e_Y(y, f(\sqcap A)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $y_1 = f(\sqcap A) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(A)$.

(\Leftarrow) Put $A = (e_X)_x$. Since $\sqcap (e_X)_x = x$, then $f(x) = f(\sqcap (e_X)_x) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}((e_X)_x)$. By the definition of $\sqcap f^{\rightarrow}((e_X)_x)$,

$$e_Y(f(x), f(z)) \geq f^{\rightarrow}((e_X)_x)(f(z)) = \bigvee_{f(d)=f(z)} (e_X)_x(d) \geq e_X(x, z).$$

Thus, f is an order preserving map.

Define $g : Y \rightarrow X$ as $g(y) = \sqcap f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)$. By the definition of $g(y_2) = \sqcap f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{y_2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_X(g(y_1), g(y_2)) &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{y_2})(z) \rightarrow e_X(g(y_1), z)) \\
&\geq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{y_2})(z) \rightarrow f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{y_1})(z)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_Y(y_2, f(z)) \rightarrow e_Y(y_1, f(z))) \\
&\geq e_Y(y_1, y_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, g is an order preserving map. Since

$$f(g(y)) = f(\sqcap f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)) = \sqcap f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_Y(y, f(g(y))) &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y))(z) \rightarrow e_Y(y, z)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \left(\bigvee_{f(x)=z} (f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_y)(x) \rightarrow e_Y(y, z)) \right) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \left(\bigvee_{f(x)=z} e_Y(y, f(x)) \rightarrow e_Y(y, f(x)) \right) = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(f(x)) = \sqcap f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{f(x)})$,

$$e_X(g(f(x)), x) \geq f^{\leftarrow}((e_Y)_{f(x)})(x) = (e_X)_{f(x)}(f(x)) = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_X(g(y), x) &\leq e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) = e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) \\
 &\odot e_Y(y, f(g(y))) \leq e_Y(y, f(x)) \\
 e_Y(y, f(x)) &\leq e_X(g(y), g(f(x))) = e_X(g(y), g(f(x))) \\
 &\odot e_Y(g(f(x)), x) \leq e_X(g(y), x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $e_X(g(y), x) = e_Y(y, f(x))$.

Second, (e_X, f, g, e_Y) is a dual residuated connection iff $g(\sqcup B) = \sqcup g^{\rightarrow}(B)$ for all $B \in L^Y$.

(\Rightarrow) Put $x_0 = \sqcup g^{\rightarrow}(B)$. Then $g(\sqcup B) = \sqcup g^{\rightarrow}(B)$ from:

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_X(x_0, x) &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (g^{\rightarrow}(B)(z) \rightarrow e_X(z, x)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \left(\bigvee_{g(y)=z} B(y) \rightarrow e_X(g(y), x) \right) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \bigwedge_{g(y)=z} (B(y) \rightarrow e_Y(y, f(x))) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \rightarrow e_Y(y, f(x))) \\
 &= e_Y(\sqcup B, f(x)) = e_X(g(\sqcup B), x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x_0 = g(\sqcup B) = \sqcup g^{\rightarrow}(B)$.

(\Leftarrow) Put $B = (e_Y)^y$. Since $\sqcup(e_Y)^y = y$, we have $g(y) = g(\sqcup(e_Y)^y) = \sqcup g^\rightarrow((e_Y)^y)$. By the definition of $\sqcup g^\rightarrow((e_Y)^y)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e_X(g(y), g(z)) &= \bigwedge_{p \in X} (g^\rightarrow((e_Y)^y)(p) \rightarrow e_X(p, g(z))) \\
&= \bigwedge_{p \in X} \left(\bigvee_{g(w)=p} (e_Y)^y(w) \rightarrow e_X(g(w), g(z)) \right) \\
&= \bigwedge_{p \in X} \bigwedge_{g(w)=p} (e_Y(w, y) \rightarrow e_X(g(w), g(z))) \\
&= \bigwedge_{w \in Y} (e_Y(w, y) \rightarrow e_X(g(w), g(z))) \\
e_Y(w, y) &\leq \bigwedge_{z \in Y} (e_X(g(y), g(z)) \rightarrow e_X(g(w), g(z))) \\
&= e_X(g(w), g(y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, g is order-preserving.

Define $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as $f(x) = \sqcup g^\leftarrow((e_X)^x)$. Since $e_Y(f(x), w) \leq g^\leftarrow((e_X)^x)(z) \rightarrow e_Y(z, w)$,

$$g^\leftarrow((e_X)^x)(z) \leq \bigwedge_{w \in Y} (e_X(g(w), x) \rightarrow e_Y(z, w)) = e_Y(z, g(y)).$$

Thus, g is order-preserving, by the definition of $f(x_1) = \sqcup g^\leftarrow((e_X)^{x_1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (g^\leftarrow((e_X)^{x_1})(z) \rightarrow e_Y(z, f(x_2))) \\
&\geq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (g^\leftarrow((e_X)^{x_1})(z) \rightarrow g^\leftarrow((e_X)^{x_2})(z)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_X(g(z), x_1) \rightarrow e_X(g(z), x_2)) \\
&\geq e_X(x_1, x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $g(f(x)) = g(\sqcup g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x)) = \sqcup g^{\rightarrow}(g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_X(g(f(x)), x) &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (g^{\rightarrow}(g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x))(z) \rightarrow e_X(z, x)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \left(\bigvee_{g(y)=z} (g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^x))(z) \rightarrow e_X(z, x) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} \left(\bigvee_{g(y)=z} e_X(g(y), x) \rightarrow e_Y(g(y), x) \right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(g(y)) = \sqcup g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^{g(y)})$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_Y(y, f(g(y))) &\geq g^{\leftarrow}((e_X)^{g(y)})(y) = (e_X)^{g(y)}(g(y)) = 1, \\ e_X(g(y), x) &\leq e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) = e_Y(f(g(y)), f(x)) \\ &\odot e_Y(y, f(g(y))) \leq e_Y(y, f(x)) \\ e_Y(y, f(x)) &\leq e_X(g(y), g(f(x))) = e_X(g(y), g(f(x))) \\ &\odot e_Y(g(f(x)), x) \leq e_X(g(y), x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $e_X(g(y), x) = e_Y(y, f(x))$. □

References

- [1] R. Bělohlávek, *Fuzzy Galois connections*, Math. Log. Q. **45** (1999), 497–504.
- [2] R. Bělohlávek, *Lattices of fixed points of Galois connections*, Math. Log. Q. **47** (2001), 111–116.
- [3] R. Bělohlávek, *Concept lattices and order in fuzzy logic*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **128** (2004), 277–298.
- [4] J.G. Garcia, I.M. Perez, M.A. Vicente, D. Zhang, *Fuzzy Galois connections categorically*, Math. Log. Q. **56** (2) (2010), 131–147.
- [5] G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, *Non-dual fuzzy connections*, Arch. Math. Logic **43** (2004), 1009–1039.
- [6] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht (1998).
- [7] J. Järvinen, M. Kondo, J. Kortelainen, *Logics from Galois connections*, Internat. J. Approx. Reason. **49** (2008), 595–606.
- [8] A. Melton, D.A. Schmidt, G.E. Strecker, *Galois connections and computer sciences*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer-Verlag **240** (1986), 299–312.
- [9] Ewa. Orłowska, I. Rewitzky, *Algebras for Galois-style connections and their discrete duality*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **161** (2010), 1325–1342.
- [10] R. Wille, *Restructuring lattice theory; an approach based on hierarchies of concept*, in: 1. Rival(Ed.), *Ordered Sets*, Reidel, Dordrecht, Boston, 1982.

- [11] W. Yao, L.X. Lu, *Fuzzy Galois connections on fuzzy posets*, Math. Log. Q. **55** (1) (2009), 105–112.

Department of Mathematics
Natural Science
Gangneung-Wonju National University
Gangneung, Gangwondo, 210-702, Korea
E-mail: yck@gwnu.ac.kr

Department of Applied Mathematics
Pai Chai University
Dae Jeon, 302-735, Korea
E-mail: yskim@pcu.ac.kr