

DEDUCTIVE ENERGETIC SETS IN EQUALITY ALGEBRAS

YOUNG JOO SEO

ABSTRACT. To contribute to the development of algebraic semantics, the concept of deductive energetic set in equality algebras is introduced, and several properties are investigated. The conditions under which a subset becomes deductive energetic in an equality algebra are explored, and its characterization is also obtained. The union and intersection of deductive energetic sets are examined. Equality homomorphic (pre) images and direct product of deductive energetic sets are addressed.

1. Introduction

The equality algebra was introduced by Jenei [2] as a new structure with two connectives, a meet operation and an equivalence, and a constant. It was motivated by the need for algebraic semantics in fuzzy type theory. Jenei identified equivalential equality algebras are term equivalent with BCK-algebras with meet. Jenei-Kóródi [3] explored the concept of filters and congruence in equality algebras underlying algebraic semantics. Developing or exploring new substructures that can be used as the basis for algebraic semantics will be a meaningful task for the development of algebraic semantics. To this end, this paper introduces the concept of deductive energetic set in equality algebras, and investigates several properties. We explore conditions for a subset to be deductive energetic in equality algebras, and obtain its characterization. We look at the union and intersection for deductive energetic sets. We deal with equality homomorphic (pre) images and direct product of deductive energetic sets.

2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. ([2]) By an *equality algebra*, we mean an algebraic structure $\mathcal{E} := (E, \wedge, \sim, 1)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (E1) $(E, \wedge, 1)$ is a commutative idempotent integral monoid, that is, meet semilattice with the top element 1,
- (E2) The operation \sim is commutative,
- (E3) $(\forall \mathbf{a} \in E)(\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{a} = 1)$,
- (E4) $(\forall \mathbf{a} \in E)(\mathbf{a} \sim 1 = \mathbf{a})$,
- (E5) $(\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in E)(\mathbf{a} \leq_E \mathbf{b} \leq_E \mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{c} \leq_E \mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{c} \leq_E \mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b})$,

Received June 30, 2025. Revised October 7, 2025. Accepted November 15, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06B10, 03G25, 06F35.

Key words and phrases: equality algebra, filter, deductive energetic.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2026.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

$$(E6) \quad (\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in E)(\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \leq_E (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{c}) \sim (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})),$$

$$(E7) \quad (\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in E)(\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \leq_E (\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{c}) \sim (\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{c})),$$

where $\mathbf{a} \leq_E \mathbf{b}$ if and only if $\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}$.

In an equality algebra $\mathcal{E} := (E, \wedge, \sim, 1)$, we define two operations \rightarrow and \leftrightarrow on E as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} := \mathbf{a} \sim (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{a} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{b} := (\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}).$$

The operations $\wedge, \sim, \rightarrow$ and \leftrightarrow are called *meet*, *equality operation*, *implication* and *equivalence operation*, respectively.

PROPOSITION 2.2. ([2,6]) Let $\mathcal{E} := (E, \wedge, \sim, 1)$ be an equality algebra. Then the following assertions are valid: For all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in E$,

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{a} \leq_E \mathbf{b},$$

$$(2.4) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{a} \rightarrow 1 = 1 = \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{a},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{a} \leq_E (\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}) \rightarrow \mathbf{b},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \leq_E \mathbf{a} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{b} \leq_E \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbf{a} \leq_E (\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}) \sim \mathbf{b},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \leq_E \mathbf{a} \sim (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}).$$

DEFINITION 2.3. ([3]) A subset D of E is called a *filter* (or *deductive system*) of \mathcal{E} if it satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad 1 \in D,$$

$$(2.10) \quad (\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in E)(\mathbf{a} \in D, \mathbf{a} \leq_E \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} \in D),$$

$$(2.11) \quad (\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in E)(\mathbf{a} \in D, \mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \in D \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} \in D).$$

LEMMA 2.4. ([1,2]) Let \mathcal{E} be an equality algebra. A subset D of E is a filter of \mathcal{E} if and only if it satisfies (2.9) and

$$(2.12) \quad (\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in E)(\mathbf{a} \in D, \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \in D \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} \in D).$$

DEFINITION 2.5. ([4]) Let $\mathcal{E} := (E, \wedge, \sim, 1)$ and $\mathcal{G} := (G, \wedge, \sim, 1)$ be equality algebras. A mapping $\Psi : E \rightarrow G$ is called an *equality homomorphism* if two operations \wedge and \sim in E are preserved under Ψ that is, Ψ satisfies

$$(2.13) \quad (\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in E) (\Psi(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a}) = \Psi(\mathbf{b}) \wedge \Psi(\mathbf{a}), \Psi(\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{a}) = \Psi(\mathbf{b}) \sim \Psi(\mathbf{a})).$$

If \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{G} are bounded equality algebras, we say that the equality homomorphism Ψ is *bounded*.

Note that every equality homomorphism Ψ preserves the operation \rightarrow from E up to G , that is, $\Psi(\mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}) = \Psi(\mathbf{b}) \rightarrow \Psi(\mathbf{a})$ for all $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \in E$. Also, $\Psi(1) = 1$ and $\Psi(\neg \mathbf{b}) = \neg \Psi(\mathbf{b})$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in E$ when Ψ is a bounded equality homomorphism (see [4, Lemma 4.1]).

3. Deductive energetic sets

In what follows, let $\mathcal{E} := (E, \wedge, \sim, 1)$ be an equality algebra unless otherwise specified.

DEFINITION 3.1. A nonempty subset D of E is said to be *deductive energetic* in \mathcal{E} if it satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad (\forall x, y \in E) (y \in D \Rightarrow \{x, x \rightarrow y\} \cap D \neq \emptyset).$$

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $E := \{\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_a, \xi_b\}$ be a set with the Hasse diagram and the equality operation \rightarrow given by Figure 1 and Table 1, respectively. Then $\mathcal{E} = (E, \wedge, \rightarrow, \xi_1)$ is an equality algebra (see [5]). It is routine to verify that $D_1 = \{\xi_0, \xi_a\}$ and $D_2 = \{\xi_0, \xi_b\}$ are deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} .

FIGURE 1. Hasse Diagram



TABLE 1. Cayley table of the equality operation \rightarrow

\rightarrow	ξ_0	ξ_a	ξ_b	ξ_1
ξ_0	ξ_1	ξ_b	ξ_a	ξ_0
ξ_a	ξ_b	ξ_1	ξ_0	ξ_a
ξ_b	ξ_a	ξ_0	ξ_1	ξ_b
ξ_1	ξ_0	ξ_a	ξ_b	ξ_1

PROPOSITION 3.2. If a deductive energetic set D in \mathcal{E} does not contain the top element 1, then it satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad (\forall x, y \in E) (y \in D, x \leq_E y \Rightarrow x \in D).$$

Proof. Let $x \leq_E y$ for $x \in E$ and $y \in D$. Then $x \rightarrow y = 1$ by (2.3), and so

$$\{x, 1\} \cap D = \{x, x \rightarrow y\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$$

by (3.1). Hence $x \in D$ since $1 \notin D$. □

THEOREM 3.3. If a nonempty subset D of E does not contain the top element 1, then it is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} if and only if it satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in E) (z \in D, x \leq_E y \rightarrow z \Rightarrow \{x, y\} \cap D \neq \emptyset).$$

Proof. Assume that D is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} and let $x, y, z \in E$ be such that $z \in D$ and $x \leq_E y \rightarrow z$. Then $\{y, y \rightarrow z\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ by (3.1), and so $y \in D$ or $y \rightarrow z \in D$. It is clear that if $y \in D$, then $\{x, y\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$. If $y \rightarrow z \in D$, then

$$\{x, 1\} \cap D = \{x, x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$$

by (2.3) and (3.1). Since $1 \notin D$, it follows that $x \in D$. Hence $\{x, y\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$, and therefore (3.3) is valid.

Conversely, suppose that D satisfies (3.3) and let $y \in D$. Since $x \leq_E (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$ for all $x \in E$ by (2.5), we have $\{x, x \rightarrow y\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ by (3.3). Hence D is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} . \square

THEOREM 3.4. *If a nonempty subset D of E satisfies*

$$(3.4) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in E) (y \rightarrow x \in D \Rightarrow \{z, z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x))\} \cap D \neq \emptyset),$$

then D is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} .

Proof. Let $x \in D$. Then $1 \rightarrow x = x \in D$ by (2.4), and thus

$$\{z, z \rightarrow x\} \cap D = \{z, z \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow x))\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$$

for all $z \in E$ by (2.4) and (3.4). Hence D is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} . \square

THEOREM 3.5. *If D is a subset of E , then $E \setminus D$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} if and only if D satisfies the condition (2.12).*

Proof. Assume that $E \setminus D$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} and let $x, y \in E$ be such that $x \in D$ and $x \rightarrow y \in D$. If $y \notin D$, then $\{x, x \rightarrow y\} \cap (E \setminus D) \neq \emptyset$ by (3.1). Thus $x \in E \setminus D$ or $x \rightarrow y \in E \setminus D$, which is a contradiction. Hence $y \in D$ and consequently D satisfies the condition (2.12).

Conversely, suppose that D satisfies the condition (2.12) and let $y \in E \setminus D$. If

$$\{x, x \rightarrow y\} \cap (E \setminus D) = \emptyset$$

for some $x \in E$, then $x \in D$ and $x \rightarrow y \in D$. It follows from (2.12) that $y \in D$. This is a contradiction, and so $\{x, x \rightarrow y\} \cap (E \setminus D) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in E$. Therefore $E \setminus D$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} . \square

COROLLARY 3.6. *If a deductive energetic set $E \setminus D$ in \mathcal{E} does not contain the top element 1, then D is a filter of \mathcal{E} .*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. \square

PROPOSITION 3.7. *If a deductive energetic set D in \mathcal{E} does not contain the top element 1, then it satisfies*

$$(3.5) \quad (\forall x, y \in E) (y \in D \Rightarrow \{x, x \sim y\} \cap D \neq \emptyset).$$

Proof. Let D be deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} that does not contain the top element 1. Then $E \setminus D$ is a filter of \mathcal{E} by Corollary 3.6. Let $y \in D$. If $\{x, x \sim y\} \cap D = \emptyset$ for some $x \in E$, then $x \in E \setminus D$ and $x \sim y \in E \setminus D$. Hence $y \in E \setminus D$ by (2.11), which is a contradiction. Therefore $\{x, x \sim y\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in E$, that is, (3.5) is valid. \square

THEOREM 3.8. *If D_1 and D_2 are deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} , then so is their union.*

Proof. Assume that D_1 and D_2 are deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} and let $y \in D_1 \cup D_2$. Then $y \in D_1$ or $y \in D_2$, and so $\{x, x \rightarrow y\} \cap D_1 \neq \emptyset$ or $\{x, x \rightarrow y\} \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in E$. Hence $x \in D_1$, $x \rightarrow y \in D_1$, $x \in D_2$, or $x \rightarrow y \in D_2$. It follows that $x \in D_1 \cup D_2$ or $x \rightarrow y \in D_1 \cup D_2$. Thus $\{x, x \rightarrow y\} \cap (D_1 \cup D_2) \neq \emptyset$, and therefore $D_1 \cup D_2$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} . \square

In Example 3.1, $D_1 = \{\xi_0, \xi_a\}$ and $D_2 = \{\xi_0, \xi_b\}$ are deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} . Note that $\{\xi_a, \xi_a \rightarrow \xi_0\} \cap \{\xi_0\} = \{\xi_a, \xi_b\} \cap \{\xi_0\} = \emptyset$. Hence $D_1 \cap D_2 = \{\xi_0\}$ is not deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} . This shows that in general the intersection of deductive energetic sets is not deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} .

We consider homomorphic (pre) images of energetic sets.

THEOREM 3.9. *Let $\Psi : E \rightarrow G$ be an equality homomorphism of equality algebras $\mathcal{E} := (E, \wedge, \sim, 1)$ and $\mathcal{G} := (G, \wedge, \sim, 1)$. For every nonempty subset D of G , if D is deductive energetic in \mathcal{G} , then $\Psi^{-1}(D)$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} .*

Proof. Let D be deductive energetic in \mathcal{G} . Then $G \setminus D$ satisfies the condition (2.12) by Theorem 3.5. Let $x, \mathbf{a} \in E$ be such that $x \rightarrow \mathbf{a} \in E \setminus \Psi^{-1}(D)$ and $x \in E \setminus \Psi^{-1}(D)$. Then $\Psi(x) \in G \setminus D$ and

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow \Psi(\mathbf{a}) = \Psi(x \rightarrow \mathbf{a}) \in G \setminus D.$$

Hence $\Psi(\mathbf{a}) \in G \setminus D$ by (2.12), and so $\mathbf{a} \in \Psi^{-1}(G \setminus D) = E \setminus \Psi^{-1}(D)$, that is, $E \setminus \Psi^{-1}(D)$ satisfies the condition (2.12). Therefore $\Psi^{-1}(D)$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} by Theorem 3.5. \square

THEOREM 3.10. *Let $\Psi : E \rightarrow G$ be an onto and one-to-one equality homomorphism of equality algebras $\mathcal{E} := (E, \wedge, \sim, 1)$ and $\mathcal{G} := (G, \wedge, \sim, 1)$. For every nonempty subset B of E , if $E \setminus B$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} , then $\Psi(E \setminus B)$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. If $E \setminus B$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E} , then B satisfies the condition (2.12) by Theorem 3.5. Let $y, \mathbf{b} \in G$ be such that $y \in \Psi(B)$ and $y \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \in \Psi(B)$. Then there exist $x, \mathbf{a} \in E$ such that $\Psi(x) = y$ and $\Psi(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b}$ since Ψ is onto. Hence $\Psi(x) = y \in \Psi(B)$ and $\Psi(x \rightarrow \mathbf{a}) = \Psi(x) \rightarrow \Psi(\mathbf{a}) = y \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \in \Psi(B)$. Since Ψ is one-to-one, it follows that $x \in B$ and $x \rightarrow \mathbf{a} \in B$. Thus $\mathbf{a} \in B$ by (2.12), and so $\mathbf{b} = \Psi(\mathbf{a}) \in \Psi(B)$. This shows that $\Psi(B)$ satisfies the condition (2.12). Therefore $\Psi(E \setminus B) = \Psi(E) \setminus \Psi(B) = G \setminus \Psi(B)$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{G} by Theorem 3.5. \square

4. Direct product of deductive energetic sets

We now deal with the direct product of energetic sets.

Let $\{\mathcal{E}_i := (E_i, \wedge_i, \sim_i, 1_i) \mid i \in \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$ be a nonempty family of equality algebras and let $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$ consist of all vectors $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$, $x_i \in E_i$. Consider a structure $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i =$

$\left(\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i, \wedge, \sim, \mathbf{1} \right)$ where $\mathbf{1} = (1_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$, and the operations \wedge and \sim are defined by

$$(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \wedge (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} = (x_i \wedge_i y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \text{ and } (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \sim (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} = (x_i \sim_i y_i)_{i \in \Lambda},$$

respectively, for all $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$. Then $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i = \left(\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i, \wedge, \sim, \mathbf{1} \right)$ is an equality algebra. In this case, the implication \rightarrow in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$ is given as follows:

$$\left(\forall (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \right) ((x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} = (x_i \rightarrow_i y_i)_{i \in \Lambda})$$

where \rightarrow_i is the implication in \mathcal{E}_i . Also, if \leq_{E_i} is the order in \mathcal{E}_i , then the order \leq_{\prod} in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$ can be represented as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \leq_{\prod} (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \Leftrightarrow x_i \leq_{E_i} y_i \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda.$$

THEOREM 4.1. *For every nonempty subset D_i of E_i , $i \in \Lambda$, if $E_i \setminus D_i$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E}_i , then $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is deductive energetic in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$.*

Proof. Assume that $E_i \setminus D_i$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E}_i where $i \in \Lambda$. Then D_i satisfies the condition (2.12) by Theorem 3.5. Let $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$. If

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \left(\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \right) = \emptyset$$

for some $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$, then $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ and

$$(x_i \rightarrow_i y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} = (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i.$$

Hence $x_i \in D_i$ and $x_i \rightarrow_i y_i \in D_i$, and so $y_i \in D_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$ by (2.12). It follows that $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$, a contradiction. Thus

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \left(\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \right) \neq \emptyset$$

for all $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$, and therefore $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is deductive energetic in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$. \square

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let D_i be a nonempty subset of E_i which does not contain the top element 1_i for all $i \in \Lambda$. If $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is deductive energetic in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$, then it satisfies*

$$(4.2) \quad (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \leq_{\prod} (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \Rightarrow (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$$

for all $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$.

Proof. If every nonempty subset D_i of E_i does not contain the top element 1_i for all $i \in \Lambda$, then $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ does not contain the top element $\mathbf{1}$. Let $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$ and $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ be such that $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \leq_{\prod} (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$. Then

$$(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} = (x_i \rightarrow_i y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \stackrel{(2.3) \& (4.1)}{=} (1_i)_{i \in \Lambda} = \mathbf{1},$$

and so

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, \mathbf{1}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i = \{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $\mathbf{1} \notin \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$, it follows that $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$. Hence (4.2) is valid. \square

THEOREM 4.3. *Let D_i be a nonempty subset of E_i which does not contain the top element 1_i for all $i \in \Lambda$. Then $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is deductive energetic in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$ if and only if it satisfies*

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \leq_{\prod} (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \\ & \Rightarrow \{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$.

Proof. If every nonempty subset D_i of E_i does not contain the top element 1_i for all $i \in \Lambda$, then $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ does not contain the top element $\mathbf{1}$. Assume that $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is deductive energetic in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$. Let $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$ be such that $(z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ and $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \leq_{\prod} (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow ((y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda}) = (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (y_i \rightarrow_i z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \\ & = (x_i \rightarrow_i (y_i \rightarrow_i z_i))_{i \in \Lambda} = (1_i)_{i \in \Lambda} = \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\{(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset,$$

and thus $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ or $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$. If $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$, then

$$\{(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset.$$

If $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$, then

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, \mathbf{1}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i = \{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow ((y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda})\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $\mathbf{1} \notin \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$, we have $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ and thus

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Conversely, suppose that the condition (4.3) is valid for all $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$. Let $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$. Using (2.5), we know that $x_i \leq_{E_i} (x_i \rightarrow_i y_i) \rightarrow_i y_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Hence $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \leq_{\prod} ((x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$, which implies from (4.3) that

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Therefore $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is deductive energetic in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$. \square

THEOREM 4.4. *For every nonempty subsets D_i of E_i for $i \in \Lambda$, if $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ satisfies*

$$(4.4) \quad \{(z_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow ((y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow ((y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}))\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset$$

for all $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$ with $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$, then $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is deductive energetic in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$.

Proof. Let $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$. Since

$$(1_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} = (1_i \rightarrow_i x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(z_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \\ &= \{(z_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow ((1_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow ((1_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \rightarrow (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}))\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \stackrel{(4.4)}{\neq} \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

for all $(z_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$. Hence $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is deductive energetic in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$. \square

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let D_i be a subset of E_i containing the top element 1_i for all $i \in \Lambda$. If $E_i \setminus D_i$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E}_i for all $i \in \Lambda$, then the following assertion is valid.*

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \wedge (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \text{ or } (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \sim (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \\ & \Rightarrow \{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \left(\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \right) \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$.

Proof. Let D_i be a subset of E_i containing the top element 1_i for all $i \in \Lambda$. If $E_i \setminus D_i$ is deductive energetic in \mathcal{E}_i , then D_i is a filter of E_i for all $i \in \Lambda$ (see Corollary 3.6). Let $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$ be such that

$$(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \wedge (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \text{ or } (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \sim (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i.$$

Then $x_i \wedge_i y_i \in E_i \setminus D_i$ or $x_i \sim_i y_i \in E_i \setminus D_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. If

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \left(\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \right) = \emptyset,$$

then $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ and so $x_i, y_i \in D_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Since

$$x_i \rightarrow_i ((x_i \sim_i y_i) \sim_i y_i) \stackrel{(2.3) \& (2.7)}{=} 1_i,$$

i.e., $x_i \leq_{E_i} (x_i \sim_i y_i) \sim_i y_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, we have

$$y_i \sim_i (x_i \sim_i y_i) \stackrel{(E2)}{=} (x_i \sim_i y_i) \sim_i y_i \in D_i$$

by (2.10), and thus $x_i \sim_i y_i \in D_i$ by (2.11). Since

$$x_i \sim_i y_i \leq_{E_i} x_i \sim_i (x_i \wedge_i y_i)$$

by (2.8), it follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that $x_i \wedge_i y_i \in D_i$. This is a contradiction, and hence $\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \left(\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \right) \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Let D_i be a nonempty subset of E_i which does not contain the top element 1_i for all $i \in \Lambda$. If $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is deductive energetic in $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$, then it satisfies*

$$(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \Rightarrow \{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \sim (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset$$

for all $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$.

Proof. Note that $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ does not contain the top element $\mathbf{1}$. Hence $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ is a filter of $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_i$ by Corollary 3.6. Let $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ and suppose that there exists $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$ such that

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \sim (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i = \emptyset.$$

Then $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \notin \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$ and $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \sim (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \notin \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$, and so

$$(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \text{ and } (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \sim (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i.$$

It follows from (2.11) that $(y_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i \setminus \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\{(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, (x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \sim (y_i)_{i \in \Lambda}\} \cap \prod_{i \in \Lambda} D_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$. \square

References

- [1] L. C. Ciungu, *Internal states on equality algebras*, Soft Computing **19** (4) (2015), 939–953.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-014-1494-3>
- [2] S. Jenei, *Equality algebras*, Studia Logica **100** (6) (2012), 1201–1209.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11225-012-9457-0>
- [3] S. Jenei and L. Kórodi, *On the variety of equality algebras*, Proc. 7th Conf. European Soc. Fuzzy Logic and Technology, Atlantis Press (2011), 153–155.
<https://doi.org/10.2991/eusflat.2011.1>
- [4] A. Paad, *Ideals in bounded equality algebras*, Filomat **33** (7) (2019), 2113–2123.
<https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1907113P>
- [5] S. Z. Song, H. S. Kim, and Y. B. Jun, *Filter theory in equality algebras based on fuzzy points*, J. Algebr. Hyperstruct. Log. Algebr. **6** (1) (2025), 27–38.
<https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.jahla.6.1.3>
- [6] F. Zebardast, R. A. Borzooei, and M. Aaly Kologani, *Results on equality algebras*, Inform. Sci. **381** (2017), 270–282.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2016.11.027>

Young Joo Seo

Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Department of Mathematics,
Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea
E-mail: bejesus@hanyang.ac.kr