

KRONECKER FUNCTION RINGS AND PRÜFER-LIKE DOMAINS

GYU WHAN CHANG

ABSTRACT. Let D be an integral domain, \bar{D} be the integral closure of D , $*$ be a star operation of finite character on D , $*_w$ be the so-called $*_w$ -operation on D induced by $*$, X be an indeterminate over D , $N_* = \{f \in D[X] \mid c(f)^* = D\}$, and $Kr(D, *) = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{f}{g} \mid 0 \neq f, g \in D[X] \text{ and there is an } 0 \neq h \in D[X] \text{ such that } (c(f)c(h))^* \subseteq (c(g)c(h))^*\}$. In this paper, we show that D is a $*$ -quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if $\bar{D}[X]_{N_*} = Kr(D, *_w)$. As a corollary, we recover Fontana-Jara-Santos's result that D is a Prüfer $*$ -multiplication domain if and only if $D[X]_{N_*} = Kr(D, *_w)$.

1. Introduction

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K , \bar{D} be the integral closure of D in K , X be an indeterminate over D , and $D[X]$ be the polynomial ring over D . For any $f \in D[X]$, we denote by $c_D(f)$ (simply $c(f)$) the ideal of D generated by the coefficients of f . For an ideal A of $D[X]$, let $c_D(A) = \sum_{f \in A} c(f)$ (simply $c_D(A)$ is denoted by $c(A)$).

Let $*$ be a star operation on D . (Definitions related to star operations will be reviewed in the sequel.) Recall that D is a *Prüfer $*$ -multiplication*

Received March 31, 2012. Revised October 10, 2012. Accepted October 15, 2012.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15.

Key words and phrases: Star operation, Kronecker function ring, $*$ -quasi-Prüfer domain.

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0007069).

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2012.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

domain (P*MD) if each nonzero finitely generated ideal I of D is $*_f$ -invertible, i.e., $(II^{-1})^{*_f} = D$. A nonzero prime ideal Q of $D[X]$ is an upper to zero in $D[X]$ if $Q \cap D = (0)$. As in [5], we say that D is $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer if every upper to zero in $D[X]$ contains an $f \in D[X]$ with $c_D(f)^{*_f} = D$. It is known that D is a P*MD if and only if D is an integrally closed $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domain [14, Theorem 1.1]. Moreover, D is d -quasi-Prüfer if and only if \bar{D} is a Prüfer domain [8, Corollary 6.5.14].

Let $*_c$ be the *e.a.b.* star operation on an integrally closed domain D induced by $*$ (see Lemma 1), and let $Kr(D, *_c)$ be the Kronecker function ring of D with respect to $*_c$. It is known that D is a P*MD if and only if $Kr(D, *_c) = D[X]_{N_*}$, where $N_* = \{f \in D[X] \mid c_D(f)^* = D\}$, [4, Theorem 3.7]. This result provides a generalization of [2, Theorem 4] that D is a Prüfer domain if and only if $D(X) = Kr(D, b)$, where $D(X) = \{\frac{f}{g} \mid f, g \in D[X], 0 \neq g \text{ and } c(g) = D\}$. In [10], Fontana-Loper used an arbitrary star operation to define the Kronecker function ring (see Lemma 2). Using this notion of Kronecker function rings, in [9, Theorem 3.1], Fontana-Jara-Santos showed that D is a P*MD if and only if $D[X]_{N_*} = Kr(D, *_w)$.

In this paper, we also use this Kronecker function ring to characterize $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domains. Precisely, we show that D is a $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if $\bar{D}[X]_{N_*} = Kr(D, *_w)$. As a corollary, we recover Fontana-Jara-Santos's result [9, Theorem 3.1], because $D[X]_{N_*} \cap K = D$ and $Kr(D, *_w)$ is integrally closed.

We next review some definitions and notations related to star operations. Let $\mathbf{F}(D)$ (resp., $\mathbf{f}(D)$) be the set of nonzero fractional (resp., nonzero finitely generated fractional) ideals of D . A mapping $I \mapsto I^*$ of $\mathbf{F}(D)$ into $\mathbf{F}(D)$ is called a *star operation* on D if the following three conditions are satisfied for all $0 \neq a \in K$ and $I, J \in \mathbf{F}(D)$:

- (1) $(aD)^* = aD$ and $(aI)^* = aI^*$,
- (2) $I \subseteq I^*$; $I \subseteq J$ implies $I^* \subseteq J^*$ and
- (3) $(I^*)^* = I^*$.

It is well known that the mapping $I \mapsto I^{*f} = \cup\{J^* \mid J \subseteq I \text{ and } J \in \mathbf{f}(D)\}$ is a star operation on D . The $*_w$ -operation is a star operation on D defined by setting $I^{*w} = \{x \in K \mid xJ \subseteq I \text{ for some } J \in \mathbf{f}(D) \text{ with } J^* = D\}$ for all $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$. A star operation $*$ on D is said to be of *finite character* if $*_f = *$. Clearly, $(*_f)_f = *_f$ and $*_w = (*_f)_w = (*_w)_f$; so $*_f$ and $*_w$ are of finite character. The most well-known examples of

star operations are the d -, v -, t -, and w -operations. The d -operation is just the identity function on $\mathbf{F}(D)$; so $d = d_f = d_w$. The v -operation is defined by $I^v = (I^{-1})^{-1}$, where $I^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq D\}$, while the t -operation (resp., w -operation) is defined by $t = v_f$ (resp., $w = v_w$).

An $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ is called a $*$ -ideal if $I^* = I$. A $*$ -ideal is called a *maximal $*$ -ideal* if it is maximal among the proper integral $*$ -ideals of D . Let $*\text{-Max}(D)$ denote the set of maximal $*$ -ideals of D . It is well known that a maximal $*_f$ -ideal is a prime ideal; each integral $*_f$ -ideal is contained in a maximal $*_f$ -ideal; $*_f\text{-Max}(D) \neq \emptyset$ if D is not a field; and $*_f\text{-Max}(D) = *_{w_f}\text{-Max}(D)$ [1, Theorem 2.16]. An $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ is said to be *$*$ -invertible* if $(II^{-1})^* = D$. Clearly, $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ is $*_f$ -invertible if and only if $II^{-1} \not\subseteq P$ for all $P \in *_{f_f}\text{-Max}(D)$. As in [3, page 224], we say that an overring R of D is *$*$ -linked* over D if $I^* = D$ implies $(IR)^v = R$ for all $I \in \mathbf{f}(D)$. A valuation overring V of D is a *$*$ -valuation overring* of D if $I^* \subseteq IV$ for all $I \in \mathbf{f}(D)$. Obviously, $*$ -valuation overrings of D are $*$ -linked over D , but $*$ -linked valuation overrings need not be $*$ -valuation overrings (see the paragraph after Lemma 1).

For any two star-operations $*_1, *_2$ on D , we mean by $*_1 \leq *_2$ that $I^{*_1} \subseteq I^{*_2}$ for all $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$. We know that if $*_1 \leq *_2$, then $(*_1)_f \leq (*_2)_f$ and $(*_1)_w \leq (*_2)_w$. Also, $*_w \leq *_{f_f} \leq *$ and $d \leq * \leq v$ for any star operation $*$ on D ; hence $d \leq *_{f_f} \leq t$ and $d \leq *_{w_f} \leq w$. Clearly, each t -ideal is a $*_{f_f}$ -ideal, and thus each maximal $*_{f_f}$ -ideal is a t -ideal if and only if $*_{w_f} = w$. For more on basic properties of star operations, see [3], [11], or [13, Sections 32 and 34].

2. Kronecker function rings

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K . A star operation $*$ on D is said to be *endlich arithmetisch brauchbar (e.a.b.)* if, for all $A, B, C \in \mathbf{f}(D)$, $(AB)^* \subseteq (AC)^*$ implies $B^* \subseteq C^*$. Obviously, $*$ is an *e.a.b.* star operation if and only if $*_f$ is an *e.a.b.* star operation. Let $*$ be an *e.a.b.* star operation on D . The *Kronecker function ring* of D with respect to $*$ is an integral domain

$$\text{Kr}(D, *) = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid f, g \in D[X], g \neq 0, \text{ and } c(f) \subseteq c(g)^* \right\}.$$

It is well known that $\text{Kr}(D, *)$ is a Bezout domain and $\text{Kr}(D, *) \cap K = D$ [13, Theorem 32.7]. Hence if D admits an *e.a.b.* star-operation, then D

is integrally closed [13, Corollary 32.8]. Conversely, if D is integrally closed, then the b -operation on D defined by $I^b = \cap\{IV \mid V \text{ is a valuation overring of } D\}$ for all $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ is an *e.a.b.* star operation of finite character on D such that $b \leq *$ for any *e.a.b.* star operation $*$ on D [13, Theorem 32.7 and Corollary 32.14]. More generally, we have

LEMMA 1. ([4, Lemma 3.1]). *Let D be an integrally closed domain and $\{V_\alpha\}$ be the set of $*$ -linked valuation overrings of D . Then the map $*_c : \mathbf{F}(D) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(D)$, given by $I \mapsto I^{*c} = \cap_\alpha IV_\alpha$, is an *e.a.b.* star operation of finite character on D such that $*_w = (*_c)_w \leq *_c$ and $*_f\text{-Max}(D) = *_c\text{-Max}(D)$. In particular, $d_c = b$.*

We now give an example of $*$ -linked valuation overrings that are not $*$ -valuation overrings. Let X, y be indeterminates over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers, $K = \mathbb{Q}(y)$, $V = K[[X]]$ be the power series ring, and $D = \mathbb{Q} + XK[[X]]$. Clearly, D is an integrally closed quasi-local domain whose maximal ideal is a v -ideal, and hence each overring of D is t -linked over D . If every valuation overring V of D is a t -valuation overring, then $I^t \subseteq IV$, and so $I^t \subseteq \cap\{IV \mid V \text{ is a valuation overring of } D\} = I^b$ for all $I \in \mathbf{f}(D)$. Hence $v_f = t = b$ because $b \leq t$, and so v is an *e.a.b.* star operation on D . Thus every $I \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ is v -invertible [13, Theorem 34.6], and since the maximal ideal of D is a v -ideal, I is invertible. But if we let $I = (X, yX)$, then I is not invertible, a contradiction. Therefore there is a (t -linked) valuation overring of D that is not a t -valuation overring.

Let $*$ be a star operation on D . An $x \in K$ is said to be *$*$ -integral over D* if $xJ^* \subseteq J^*$ for some $J \in \mathbf{f}(D)$. Let $D^{[*]} = \{x \in K \mid x \text{ is } * \text{-integral over } D\}$; then $D^{[*]}$, called the *$*$ -integral closure of D* , is an integrally closed overring of D [17, Theorems 2.3 and 2.8]. We say that D is *$*$ -integrally closed* if $D^{[*]} = D$. In [10], Fontana and Loper used an arbitrary star operation to define a Kronecker function ring.

LEMMA 2. ([10, Theorem 5.1, Proposition 4.5(2), and Corollary 3.5]) *Let $*$ be a star operation on D , and let $Kr(D, *) = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{f}{g} \mid 0 \neq f, g \in D[X] \text{ and there is an } 0 \neq h \in D[X] \text{ such that } (c(f)c(h))^* \subseteq (c(g)c(h))^*\}$. Then $Kr(D, *)$ is a Bezout domain with quotient field $K(X)$ and $Kr(D, *) \cap K = D^{[*]}$.*

Clearly, if $*$ is *e.a.b.*, then the $Kr(D, *)$ of Lemma 2 is the usual Kronecker function ring (so we use the same notation $Kr(D, *)$). It is clear that $Kr(D, *) = Kr(D, *_f)$ and if $*_1 \leq *_2$ are star operations on D , then $Kr(D, *_1) \subseteq Kr(D, *_2)$; in particular, $Kr(D, d) \subseteq Kr(D, w) \subseteq$

$Kr(D, t) = Kr(D, v)$. For more on $Kr(D, *)$, see Fontana-Loper’s interesting survey article [12].

Assume that D is $*$ -integrally closed, and let $I^{*a} = \cup\{JKr(D, *) \cap K \mid J \in \mathbf{f}(D) \text{ and } J \subseteq I\}$ for each $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$. Then the map $*_a : \mathbf{F}(D) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(D)$, given by $I \mapsto I^{*a}$, is an *e.a.b.* star operation of finite character on D [10, Proposition 4.5 and Corollary 5.2]. It is known that $Kr(D, *) = Kr(D, *_a)$ and $I^{*a} = IKr(D, *) \cap K = \cap\{IV_\beta \mid V_\beta \text{ is a } * \text{-valuation overring of } D\}$ for each $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ [10]; hence $*_c \leq *_a$ since $*$ -valuation overrings are $*$ -linked, and so $Kr(D, *_c) \subseteq Kr(D, *_a)$.

PROPOSITION 3. *If D is $*$ -integrally closed, then $Kr(D, *_c) = Kr(D, *_a)$ if and only if each $*$ -linked valuation overring of D is a $*$ -valuation overring. In this case, $*_c = *_a$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let V be a $*$ -linked valuation overring of D that is not a $*$ -valuation overring. Then there exists a $J \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ such that $J^* \not\subseteq JV$. So $JV \subsetneq J^*V$, and hence $J^{*c} = \cap\{JV_\alpha \mid V_\alpha \text{ is a } * \text{-linked valuation overring of } D\} \subsetneq \cap_\alpha J^*V_\alpha \subseteq \cap\{J^*V_\beta \mid V_\beta \text{ is a } * \text{-valuation overring of } D\} = \cap_\beta JV_\beta = J^{*a}$. Thus $Kr(D, *_c) \subsetneq Kr(D, *_a)$ [13, Theorem 32.7]. (\Leftarrow) Conversely, assume that each $*$ -linked valuation overring of D is a $*$ -valuation overring. Then $I^{*c} = I^{*a}$ for all $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$, and thus $*_c = *_a$ and $Kr(D, *_c) = Kr(D, *_a)$. \square

3. A new characterization of $*$ -quasi-Prüfer domains

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K , \bar{D} be the integral closure of D in K , X be an indeterminate over D , and $D[X]$ be the polynomial ring over D . Let $*$ be a star operation on D and $N_* = \{f \in D[X] \mid c(f)^* = D\}$.

It is clear that D is a $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if $c(Q)^{*f} = D$ for each upper to zero Q in $D[X]$. In particular, a t -quasi-Prüfer domain is exactly the same as the notion of a *UMT-domain* [15, Theorem 1.4]. Also, as in [8, page 210], we say that D is a *quasi-Prüfer domain* if for each prime ideal P of D , if Q is a prime ideal of $D[X]$ with $Q \subseteq PD[X]$, then $Q = (Q \cap D)D[X]$. Hence d -quasi-Prüfer domains are just the quasi-Prüfer domains [5, Theorem 1.1]. It is known that a $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domain is a UMT-domain (Lemma 4((1) \Rightarrow (5))). For useful characterizations of UMT-domains, see [7].

We next recall some characterizations of $*$ -quasi-Prüfer domains, which are essential in the proof of the main result (Theorem 5) of this paper.

LEMMA 4. *The following statements are equivalent for a star operation $*$ on D .*

- (1) D is a $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer-domain.
- (2) The integral closure of $D[X]_{N_*}$ is a Prüfer domain.
- (3) $D[X]_{N_*}$ is a quasi-Prüfer domain.
- (4) D_P is a quasi-Prüfer domain for each maximal $*_f$ -ideal P of D .
- (5) D is a UMT-domain and each maximal $*_f$ -ideal of D is a t -ideal.
- (6) For each $0 \neq f \in D[X]$, there is a $0 \neq g \in K[X]$ such that $c_D(fg)^* = D$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) [5, Theorem 2.16].

(1) \Rightarrow (6) Let $f = f_1^{e_1} \cdots f_k^{e_k}$, where $f_i \in K[X]$, $f_i K[X]$ is a prime, and $f_i K[X] \neq f_j K[X]$ for $i \neq j$. Then $fK[X] \cap D[X] = (f_1^{e_1} K[X] \cap \cdots \cap f_k^{e_k} K[X]) \cap D[X] = (f_1^{e_1} K[X] \cap D[X]) \cap \cdots \cap (f_k^{e_k} K[X] \cap D[X])$. Note that $f_i K[X] \cap D[X]$ is an upper to zero in $D[X]$; so there is a $0 \neq g_i \in K[X]$ such that $c_D(f_i g_i)^* = D$ by the definition of a $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domain. Clearly, $c_D(f_i^{e_i} g_i^{e_i})^* = D$. Hence if we set $g = g_1^{e_1} \cdots g_k^{e_k}$, then $c_D(fg)^* = (c_D(f_1^{e_1} g_1^{e_1}) \cdots c_D(f_k^{e_k} g_k^{e_k}))^* = D$.

(6) \Rightarrow (1) Let Q be an upper to zero in $D[X]$. Then $Q = fK[X] \cap D[X]$ for some $0 \neq f \in D[X]$ and f irreducible in $K[X]$, and by (6), there is a $0 \neq g \in K[X]$ such that $c(fg)^* = D$. Clearly, $fg \in Q$. Thus D is $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer. \square

Obviously, $\bar{D}[X]_{N_*}$ is the integral closure of $D[X]_{N_*}$; so D is a $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if $\bar{D}[X]_{N_*}$ is a Prüfer domain by Lemma 4((1) \Leftrightarrow (2)). We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper, which gives a new characterization of $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domains including UMT-domains.

THEOREM 5. *Let $*$ be a star operation on D and $Kr(D, *_w)$ be as in Lemma 2. Then D is a $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if $\bar{D}[X]_{N_*} = Kr(D, *_w)$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) We first note that if D is $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer, then D is a UMT-domain and $*_w = w$ by Lemma 4((1) \Rightarrow (5)); so $N_* = N_v$. For convenience, we let $R = D^{[*_w]}$.

Let $N_v(R) = \{f \in R[X] \mid c_R(f)^v = R\}$. Then R is a PvMD and $\bar{D}[X]_{N_v} = R[X]_{N_*} = R[X]_{N_v(R)}$ [6, Theorem 2.6]. Hence $R[X]_{N_v(R)}$ is a

Bezout domain [16, Theorem 3.7], and thus each overring of $R[X]_{N_v(R)}$ is a quotient ring of $R[X]_{N_v(R)}$ [13, Theorem 27.5]. Note that $D[X]_{N_*} \subseteq Kr(D, *w)$ and $Kr(D, *w)$ is integrally closed; so $\bar{D}[X]_{N_*} \subseteq Kr(D, *w)$. Thus $Kr(D, *w)$ is a quotient ring of $R[X]_{N_v(R)}$ (and hence of $R[X]$).

Let $S = \{f \in R[X] \mid \frac{1}{f} \in Kr(D, *w)\}$. Clearly, $Kr(D, *w) = R[X]_S$, and hence $f \in S$ if and only if there exists an $0 \neq h \in D[X]$ with $c_D(h)^{*w} \subseteq (c_D(f)c_D(h))^{*w}$. Since D is $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer, there exists a $0 \neq g \in K[X]$ such that $c_D(hg)^{*w} = D$ by Lemma 4; hence $c_D(hg) \subseteq (c_D(h)c_D(g))^{*w} \subseteq (c_D(f)c_D(h)c_D(g))^{*w}$. Also, since R is a PvMD and $N_* \subseteq N_v(R)$ [3, Theorem 4.1], we have $(c_R(h)c_R(g))^w = c_R(hg)^w = R$. Hence by [3, Lemma 2.3],

$$\begin{aligned} c_D(hg) &\subseteq (c_D(f)c_D(h)c_D(g))^{*w} \\ &= (c_D(f)c_D(h)c_D(g))D[X]_{N_*} \cap K \\ &\subseteq (c_R(f)c_R(h)c_R(g))R[X]_{N_v(R)} \cap K \\ &= (c_R(f)c_R(h)c_R(g))^w \\ &= (c_R(f)c_R(hg))^w \\ &= c_R(f)^w; \end{aligned}$$

so $R = c_R(hg)^v = (c_D(hg)R)^v \subseteq c_R(f)^v \subseteq R$. Hence $c_R(f)^v = R$ that is $f \in N_v(R)$, and thus $Kr(D, *w) \subseteq R[X]_{N_v(R)} = \bar{D}[X]_{N_*}$. Thus $Kr(D, *w) = \bar{D}[X]_{N_*}$.

(\Leftarrow) Note that $\bar{D}[X]_{N_*}$ is the integral closure of $D[X]_{N_*}$ and $Kr(D, *w)$ is a Bezout domain. Thus D is a $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domain by Lemma 4((3) \Rightarrow (1)). □

Recall that $d_w = d$ and $v_w = w$; so the following two corollaries are immediate consequences of Theorem 5.

COROLLARY 6. *D is a quasi-Prüfer domain if and only if $\bar{D}(X) = Kr(D, d)$.*

COROLLARY 7. *D is a UMT-domain if and only if $\bar{D}[X]_{N_v} = Kr(D, w)$.*

It is known that D is a P*MD if and only if D is an integrally closed $*_f$ -quasi-Prüfer domain. Also, $D[X]_{N_*} \cap K = D$. Hence by Theorem 5, we have

COROLLARY 8. ([9, Theorem 3.1]) *D is a P*MD if and only if $D[X]_{N_*} = Kr(D, *w)$.*

COROLLARY 9. *D is a Prüfer domain if and only if $D(X) = Kr(D, d)$.*

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referees for their several valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] D.D. Anderson and S.J. Cook, *Two star operations and their induced lattices*, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 2461–2475.
- [2] J.T. Arnold, *On the ideal theory of the Kronecker function ring and the domain $D(X)$* , *Canad. J. Math.* **21** (1969), 558–563.
- [3] G.W. Chang, **-Noetherian domains and the ring $D[X]_{N^*}$* , *J. Algebra* **297** (2006), 216–233.
- [4] G.W. Chang, *Prüfer *-multiplication domains, Nagata rings, and Kronecker function rings*, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 309–319.
- [5] G.W. Chang and M. Fontana, *Upper to zero in polynomial rings and Prüfer-like domains*, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (2009), 164–192.
- [6] G.W. Chang and M. Zafrullah, *The w -integral closure of integral domains*, *J. Algebra* **259** (2006), 195–210.
- [7] M. Fontana, S. Gabelli, and E. Houston, *UMT-domains and domains with Prüfer integral closure*, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), 1017–1039.
- [8] M. Fontana, J. Huckaba, and I. Papick, *Prüfer domains*, Marcel Dekker, 1997.
- [9] M. Fontana, P. Jara, and E. Santos, *Prüfer *-multiplication domains and semistar operations*, *J. Algebra Appl.* **2** (2003), 1–30.
- [10] M. Fontana and K.A. Loper, *Kronecker function rings: a general approach*, in *Ideal Theoretic Methods in Commutative Algebra*, *Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math.*, Marcel Dekker, **220** (2001), 189–205.
- [11] M. Fontana and K.A. Loper, *Nagata rings, Kronecker function rings and related semistar operations*, *Comm. Algebra* **31** (2003), 4775–4801.
- [12] M. Fontana and K.A. Loper, *A historical overview of Kronecker function rings, Nagata rings, and related star and semistar operations*, in: J.W. Brewer, S. Glaz, W.J. Heinzer, B.M. Olberding (Eds.), *Multiplicative Ideal Theory in Commutative Algebra. A Tribute to the Work of Robert Gilmer*, Springer, 2006, pp. 169–187.
- [13] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [14] E. Houston, S. Malik, and J. Mott, *Characterizations of *-multiplication domains*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **27** (1984), 48–52.
- [15] E. Houston and M. Zafrullah, *On t -invertibility*, II, *Comm. Algebra* **17** (1989), 1955–1969.
- [16] B.G. Kang, *Prüfer v -multiplication domains and the ring $R[X]_{N_v}$* , *J. Algebra* **123** (1989), 151–170.
- [17] A. Okabe and R. Matsuda, *Star operations and generalized integral closures*, *Bull. Fac. Sci., Ibaraki Univ.* **24** (1992), 7–13.

Department of Mathematics
University of Incheon
Incheon 406-772, Korea
E-mail: whan@incheon.ac.kr