

FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN ORDERED DUALISTIC PARTIAL METRIC SPACES

MUHAMMAD ARSHAD, MUHAMMAD NAZAM, AND ISMAT BEG

ABSTRACT. In this article, we introduce the concept of ordered dualistic partial metric spaces and establish an order relation on quasi dualistic partial metric spaces. Later on, using this order relation, we prove fixed point theorems for single and multivalued mappings. We support our results with some illustrative examples.

1. introduction and preliminaries

In recent times, Fixed Point Theory has become one of the most useful branches of Nonlinear Analysis, mainly due to its possible applications in several areas. For instance, different classes of matrix, differential and integral equations can be solved using the appropriate techniques in this field.

In 1994, Matthews [6] added a new concept in the literature of metric spaces which is known as Partial metric space and obtained a fixed point theorem in Partial metric spaces. After some years, O'Neill [9] coined the idea of dualistic partial metric by extending the range \mathbb{R}_0^+ to \mathbb{R} . Then in 2004, Oltra and Valero [8] come up with Banach fixed point theorem for complete dualistic partial metric spaces.

Matthews, in [6] discussed the relationship between partial metric and quasi metric and justify this relation by giving various examples. Then

Received September 28, 2015. Revised May 17, 2016. Accepted June 1, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.

Key words and phrases: Fixed point, Complete dualistic partial metric, quasi metric, order relation.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2016.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

moving on the same pattern, Oltra and Valero in [8] developed the relationship between dualistic partial metric and quasi metric. Recently, Oltra and Valero [8], Altun and Simsek [2] developed some fixed point theorems in complete dualistic partial metric space. In this article, we introduce an order on quasi dualistic partial metric and prove that it is a partial order induced by φ . In section 2 and 3 we use this partial order to prove a fixed point theorem for single valued non decreasing mappings. Moreover, we prove some fixed point results for multivalued mappings satisfying order induced by φ .

We recall some mathematical basics and results to make this paper self sufficient.

Throughout this paper, we denote $(0, \infty)$ by \mathbb{R}^+ , $[0, \infty)$ by \mathbb{R}_0^+ , $(-\infty, +\infty)$ by \mathbb{R} and set of natural numbers by \mathbb{N} . Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self map, a point $x \in X$ is called a fixed point of T if $x = T(x)$.

Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by a simple iterative method such that

$$x_n = T(x_{n-1}), \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This particular sequence is known as Picard iterative sequence.

DEFINITION 1.1. [9] Let X be a non-empty set. The function $D : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be dualistic partial metric if it satisfies following properties for all $x, y, z \in X$.

1. $x = y \Leftrightarrow D(x, x) = D(y, y) = D(x, y)$
2. $D(x, x) \leq D(x, y)$
3. $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$
4. $D(x, z) \leq D(x, y) + D(y, z) - D(y, y)$

The pair (X, D) is called dualistic partial metric space.

Note that if \mathbb{R} is replaced by \mathbb{R}_0^+ , then D is known as partial metric on X . If (X, D) is a dualistic partial metric space, then $d_D : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ defined by

$$d_D(x, y) = D(x, y) - D(x, x). \quad (1)$$

is called quasi metric on X such that $\tau(D) = \tau(d_D)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Moreover, if d_D is quasi metric on X , then $d_D^s(x, y) = \max\{d_D(x, y), d_D(y, x)\}$ defines a metric on X .

REMARK 1.2. It is obvious that every partial metric is dualistic partial metric but converse is not true. To support this comment, define $D_\vee :$

$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$D_{\vee}(x, y) = x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\} \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easy to check that D_{\vee} is a dualistic partial metric. Note that D_{\vee} is not a partial metric, because $D_{\vee}(-1, -2) = -1 \notin \mathbb{R}_0^+$. However, the restriction of D_{\vee} to \mathbb{R}_0^+ , $D_{\vee}|_{\mathbb{R}_0^+}$, is a partial metric.

Following [9], each dualistic partial metric D on X generates a T_0 topology $\tau(D)$ on X . The elements of the topology $\tau(D)$ are open balls of the form $\{B_D(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$ where $B_D(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in M : D(x, y) < \varepsilon + D(x, x)\}$

DEFINITION 1.3. [9] Let (X, D) be a dualistic partial metric space, then

- (1) A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in (X, D) converges to a point $x \in X$ if and only if $D(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x, x_n)$.
- (2) A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in (X, D) is called a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.
- (3) A dualistic partial metric space (X, D) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X converges, with respect to $\tau(D)$, to a point $x \in X$ such that $D(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m)$.

Following lemma will be helpful in the sequel.

LEMMA 1.4. [9, 11]

- (1) A dualistic partial metric (X, D) is complete if and only if the metric space (X, d_D^s) is complete.
- (2) A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X converges to a point $x \in X$, with respect to $\tau(d_D^s)$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x, x_n) = D(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m)$.
- (3) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = v$ such that $D(v, v) = 0$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, y) = D(v, y)$ for every $y \in X$.

DEFINITION 1.5. Let (X, D) be a dualistic partial metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be 0-Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m) = 0$ and (X, D) is said to be 0-complete if every 0-Cauchy sequence converges in X .

DEFINITION 1.6. [4] Let A, B be two nonempty subsets of an ordered set X , the relation between A and B is defined as follows:

If for every $b \in B$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $a \preceq b$, then $A \prec_2 B$.

EXAMPLE 1.7. if $A = [0, 2]$, $B = [\frac{1}{4}, 1]$, then $A \prec_2 B$.

REMARK 1.8. [4] *The relation \prec_2 is reflexive and transitive, but are not antisymmetric. For instance, let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $A = [0, 3]$, $B = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$, then $A \prec_2 B$ and $B \prec_2 A$, but $A \neq B$. Hence, \prec_2 is not partial order on 2^X .*

DEFINITION 1.9. Let M be a nonempty set. Then (X, \preceq, D) is said to be an ordered dualistic partial metric space if:

- (i) (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set.
- (ii) (X, D) is a dualistic partial metric space.

A sequence in a set X is called monotone sequence if either it is increasing or decreasing sequence.

DEFINITION 1.10. [4] A multi-valued mapping $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ is called order closed if for monotone sequences $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ in X , $u_n \rightarrow u_0, v_n \rightarrow v_0$ and $v_n \in T(u_n)$ imply $v_0 \in T(u_0)$.

Dualistic version of this definition is given by

DEFINITION 1.11. [2] Let (X, \preceq, D) be an ordered dualistic partial metric space. A multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ is called D-order closed if for monotone sequences, $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\} \subseteq X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(u_n, u_0) = D(u_0, u_0)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(v_n, v_0) = D(v_0, v_0)$ and $v_n \in T(u_n)$ imply $v_0 \in T(u_0)$.

2. Fixed point for single-valued mappings

In this section, we shall prove a fixed point theorem for single-valued mappings in an ordered dualistic partial metric space. We begin with the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let (X, D) be a dualistic partial metric space and $\varphi : X \rightarrow R$ be a mapping. Define the relation \preceq on X as follows;*

$$p \preceq q \Leftrightarrow D(p, q) - D(p, p) \leq \varphi(p) - \varphi(q). \quad (2)$$

Then \preceq is an order on X , called order induced by φ .

Proof. As $0 \leq 0$ this implies

$$D(p, p) - D(p, p) \leq \varphi(p) - \varphi(p) \Rightarrow p \preceq p$$

so \preceq is reflexive.

Now if $p \preceq q$ and $q \preceq p$, we will prove that $p = q$ for this

$$\text{Since } p \preceq q \Leftrightarrow D(p, q) - D(p, p) \leq \varphi(p) - \varphi(q). \quad (3)$$

and

$$q \preceq p \Leftrightarrow D(q, p) - D(q, q) \leq \varphi(q) - \varphi(p). \quad (4)$$

Adding (3) and (4), we get

$$D(p, q) - D(p, p) + D(q, p) - D(q, q) \leq 0$$

Using definition of d_D , we have

$$d_D(p, q) + d_D(q, p) \leq 0. \quad (5)$$

Since $d_D(p, q)$ and $d_D(q, p)$ are non-negative, (5) leads to

$$d_D(p, q) = d_D(q, p) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Since d_D is a quasi metric, so (6) entails $p = q$. Thus \preceq is an anti-symmetric relation.

Lastly, if $p \preceq q$ and $q \preceq r$, we show that $p \preceq r$. From condition (2), we have

$$p \preceq q \Leftrightarrow D(p, q) - D(p, p) \leq \varphi(p) - \varphi(q). \quad (7)$$

and

$$q \preceq r \Leftrightarrow D(q, r) - D(q, q) \leq \varphi(q) - \varphi(r). \quad (8)$$

Adding (7) and (8), we obtain

$$D(p, q) - D(p, p) + D(q, r) - D(q, q) \leq \varphi(p) - \varphi(r)$$

Implies

$$d_D(p, q) + d_D(q, r) \leq \varphi(p) - \varphi(r).$$

By triangular inequality

$$d_D(p, r) \leq d_D(p, q) + d_D(q, r).$$

Thus

$$d_D(p, r) \leq d_D(p, q) + d_D(q, r) \leq \varphi(p) - \varphi(r). \quad (9)$$

Inequality (9) entails,

$$D(p, r) - D(p, p) \leq \varphi(p) - \varphi(r) \Rightarrow p \preceq r.$$

So, \preceq is transitive. Hence \preceq is a partial order on X . □

It can be observed from the Lemma 2.1 that φ is a decreasing function for the special case when \preceq is equal to usual order \leq .

Next we discuss the existence of the order \preceq defined in Lemma 2.1 through an example.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and define D_{\vee} by $D_{\vee}(u, v) = u \vee v$ for all $u, v \in X$. Consider the function φ defined by $\varphi(u) = u^2 - u$ for all $u \in X$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} u \preceq v &\Leftrightarrow D(u, v) - D(u, u) \leq \varphi(u) - \varphi(v) \\ u \preceq v &\Leftrightarrow u \vee v - u \vee u \leq u^2 - u + v - v^2 \\ u \preceq y &\Leftrightarrow u \vee v - u \leq u^2 - u + v - v^2 \\ u \preceq v &\Leftrightarrow u \vee v \leq u^2 - v^2 + v. \end{aligned}$$

We get two relations from this, either

$$u \preceq v \Leftrightarrow \varphi(v) \leq \varphi(u).$$

or

$$u \preceq v \Leftrightarrow v^2 \leq u^2.$$

Our first result.

THEOREM 2.3. Let (X, D) be a 0-complete dualistic partial metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded above function and \preceq be an order induced by φ , and $h : X \rightarrow X$ is a $\tau(D)$ -continuous non decreasing function with $h(u_0) \preceq u_0$ for some $u_0 \in X$. Then h has a fixed point in (X, D) .

Proof. Suppose that $h(u_0) \preceq u_0$ for some $u_0 \in X$ and define a Picard sequence in X by $u_n = h(u_{n-1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $u_1 = h(u_0) \preceq u_0$, so $u_1 \preceq u_0$.

And h is non-decreasing, therefore, $u_1 \preceq u_0$ implies $h(u_1) \preceq h(u_0)$ that is $u_2 \preceq u_1$.

this in turn implies that $h(u_2) \preceq h(u_1)$, thus $u_3 \preceq u_2$. Continuing in a similar manner, we get

$$u_0 \succcurlyeq u_1 \succcurlyeq u_2 \succcurlyeq u_3 \succcurlyeq \dots \succcurlyeq u_n \succcurlyeq \dots$$

Now by definition of φ , we deduce that

$$\varphi(u_0) \leq \varphi(u_1) \leq \varphi(u_2) \leq \varphi(u_3) \leq \dots \leq \varphi(u_n) \leq \dots$$

Since φ is bounded above, thus, $\{\varphi(u_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is monotone bounded sequence and hence convergent sequence. Consequently, $\{\varphi(u_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence, for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\varphi(u_n) - \varphi(u_m)| < \varepsilon, \text{ for } n > m > n_0.$$

On the other hand, since $u_n \preceq u_m$ from condition (2), we get

$$u_n \preceq u_m \Leftrightarrow D(u_n, u_m) - D(u_n, u_n) \leq \varphi(u_n) - \varphi(u_m).$$

Which implies

$$D(u_n, u_m) - D(u_n, u_n) \leq |\varphi(u_n) - \varphi(u_m)| < \varepsilon.$$

(1), implies

$$d_D(u_n, u_m) < \varepsilon.$$

Since $d_D^s(x, y) = \max\{d_D(x, y), d_D(y, x)\}$, we get

$$d_D^s(u_n, u_m) < \varepsilon.$$

This implies that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d_D^s) . Since (X, D) is a complete dualistic partial metric space so by Lemma 1.4, the metric space (M, d_D^s) is also complete. So there exists $v \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_D^s(u_n, v) = 0.$$

Again by using Lemma 1.4, we obtain

$$D(v, v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(u_n, v) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D(u_n, u_m).$$

As

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_D(u_n, u_m) = 0.$$

Which leads us to

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D(u_n, u_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(u_n, u_n).$$

Now, since (X, D) is a 0-complete dualistic partial metric space, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(u_n, u_m) = 0$, this implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(u_n, v) = 0.$$

This shows that $\{u_n\}$ is a 0-Cauchy sequence in (X, D) which converges to v . Since h is a $\tau(D)$ -continuous, therefore, $v = h(v)$, which completes the proof. \square

If we assume that $\varphi(X)$ is compact in \mathbb{R} instead of boundedness of $\varphi(X)$ in Theorem 2.3, we can have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let (X, D) be a 0-complete dualistic partial metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\varphi(X)$ is compact and \preceq be an order induced by φ , and $h : X \rightarrow X$ is a $\tau(D)$ -continuous non-decreasing function with $h(u_0) \preceq u_0$ for some $u_0 \in X$. Then h has a fixed point in (X, D) .*

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let $X = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and consider $\varphi(w) = 1 - \frac{1}{w^2}$ for all $w \in X$, then $\varphi(w) = 1 - \frac{1}{w^2} < 1$, so it is bounded above. Define D_\vee by $D_\vee(w, v) = w \vee v$ for all $w, v \in X$ and let \preceq be an order as defined in Lemma 2.1. Clearly, (X, \preceq, D_\vee) is a complete ordered dualistic partial metric space. Now,

$$w \preceq v \Leftrightarrow D(w, v) - D(w, w) \leq \varphi(w) - \varphi(v).$$

This implies either

$$w \preceq v \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \frac{1}{v^2} - \frac{1}{w^2}$$

or

$$w \preceq v \Leftrightarrow v - w \leq \frac{1}{v^2} - \frac{1}{w^2}.$$

Let the mapping $\hbar : X \rightarrow X$ is defined by

$$\hbar(w) = \begin{cases} w^2 - 1 & \text{if } w \in (-\infty, -1); \\ w & \text{if } w \in [-1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then \hbar is non-decreasing, for if $\hbar(w) = w$, then the result is obvious and if $\hbar(w) = w^2 - 1$, then

$$\hbar(w) \preceq \hbar(v) \Leftrightarrow \hbar(w) \vee \hbar(v) \leq \hbar(w) + \frac{1}{(\hbar(v))^2} - \frac{1}{(\hbar(w))^2}.$$

This implies either $\hbar(w) \preceq \hbar(v) \Leftrightarrow v^2 \leq w^2 + \frac{1}{(v^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{1}{(w^2 - 1)^2}$ for when $\hbar(w) \vee \hbar(v) = \hbar(v)$ or $\hbar(w) \preceq \hbar(v) \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \frac{1}{(v^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{1}{(w^2 - 1)^2}$ for when $\hbar(w) \vee \hbar(v) = \hbar(w)$. In both cases we have

$$\hbar(w) \preceq \hbar(v) \Leftrightarrow w \preceq v.$$

Further take $u_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\hbar(u_0) = 3$ which implies $\hbar(u_0) \preceq u_0$. So hypotheses of theorem 2.3 are satisfied. Thus \hbar has a fixed point.

3. Fixed points for multivalued mappings

In this section, we present a fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings in an ordered dualistic partial metric space. Let X be a dualistic partial metric space and 2^X represents family of all non-empty subsets of X .

THEOREM 3.1. *Let (X, D) be a complete dualistic partial metric space and $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded above function. Let \preceq be an order induced by φ , $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a D -order closed mapping with $T(x_0) \prec_2 \{x_0\}$ for some $x_0 \in X$, and*

$$x \preceq y \text{ implies } T(x) \prec_2 T(y), \tag{10}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Since $T(x)$ is non-empty set and $T(x_0) \prec_2 \{x_0\}$ for some $x_0 \in X$. We can choose $x_1 \in T(x_0)$ such that $x_1 \preceq x_0$, by condition (10), we get $T(x_1) \prec_2 T(x_0)$. For every $x_1 \in T(x_0)$ there is $x_2 \in T(x_1)$ such that $x_2 \preceq x_1$ which implies $T(x_2) \prec_2 T(x_1)$. Again for every $x_2 \in T(x_1)$, there exists $x_3 \in T(x_2)$ such that $x_3 \preceq x_2$ and this implies that $T(x_3) \prec_2 T(x_2)$. Continuing in a similar manner, we get a monotone sequence

$$x_0 \succeq x_1 \succeq x_2 \succeq x_3 \succeq \dots \succeq x_n \succeq \dots$$

Now by definition of φ , we deduce that

$$\varphi(x_0) \leq \varphi(x_1) \leq \varphi(x_2) \leq \varphi(x_3) \leq \dots \leq \varphi(x_n) \leq \dots$$

Since φ is bounded above, So $\{\varphi(x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is monotone bounded above sequence and hence convergent sequence. Thus $\{\varphi(x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence, so for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 such that for $n > m > n_0$, $|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(x_m)| < \varepsilon$. On the other hand, since $x_n \preceq x_m$, from condition (2), we obtain

$$x_n \preceq x_m \Leftrightarrow D(x_n, x_m) - D(x_n, x_n) \leq \varphi(x_n) - \varphi(x_m).$$

Which implies that

$$D(x_n, x_m) - D(x_n, x_n) \leq |\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(x_m)| < \varepsilon.$$

(1) entails

$$d_D(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon.$$

Since $d_D^s(x, y) = \max\{d_D(x, y), d_D(y, x)\}$, therefore,

$$d_D^s(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon.$$

This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete metric space (X, d_D^s) . Since (X, D) is a complete dualistic partial metric space, so by Lemma 1.4, the metric space (M, d_D^s) is also complete. Thus there exists $v \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_D^s(x_n, v) = 0.$$

Again using Lemma 1.4, we get

$$D(v, v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, v) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m).$$

Since T is a D-order closed map and $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$. Thus, $v \in T(v)$ and hence v is a fixed point of T . \square

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and define multivalued mapping T by

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} \{(0, 0), (2, 3)\} & \text{if } xy \geq 0; \\ \left\{ \left(\frac{xy}{x^3 + y^3}, \frac{xy}{x^3 + y^3} \right), \left(1 + \frac{xy}{x^3 + y^3}, 1 + \frac{xy}{x^3 + y^3} \right) \right\} & \text{if } xy < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then T is an ordered closed mapping and for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

$$(x, y) \preceq (u, v) \Leftrightarrow T(x, y) \prec_2 T(u, v).$$

Further $T(x_0) \prec_2 \{x_0\}$. Hence T satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and it has a fixed point.

References

- [1] I. Altun and A. Erduran, *Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Vol.2011, Article ID 508730, 10 pages.
- [2] I. Altun and H. Simsek, *Some fixed point theorems on Dualistic partial metric spaces*, J. Adv. Math. Studies **1-2** (2008), 01–08
- [3] M. Arshad, A. Azam and M. Abbas, A. Shoaib, *Fixed point results of dominated mappings on a closed ball in ordered partial metric spaces without continuity*, U.P.B. Sci.Bull., Series A **76** (2) (2014)
- [4] Y. Feng and S. Liu, *Fixed point theorems for multi-valued increasing operators in partially ordered spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **30** (4) (2004), 461–469.
- [5] P. Fletcher and W. F. Lindgren, *Quasi-uniform spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.
- [6] S.G. Matthews, *Partial Metric Topology*, in proceedings of the 11th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, 728 (1995), 183–197, The New York Academy of Sciences.
- [7] J.J. Nieto and R. Rodriguez-Lopez, *Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Order **22** (3)(2005), 223–239.

- [8] S.Oltra and O.Valero, *Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces* , Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste **36** (2004),17–26.
- [9] S.J.O'Neill, *Partial Metric, Valuations and Domain Theory*. Annals of the New York Academy of Science **806** (1996), 304–315.
- [10] A.C.M. Ran, M.C.B. Reuring, *A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (5) (2004), 1435–1443.
- [11] O. Valero, *On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces*, Applied General Topology, **6** (2) (2005).

Muhammad Arshad
International Islamic University
Islamabad 44000, Pakistan.
E-mail: marshadzia@iiu.edu.pk

Muhammad Nazam
International Islamic University
Islamabad 44000, Pakistan.
E-mail: nazim.phdma47@iiu.edu.pk

Ismat Beg
Lahore School of Economics (LSE)
Lahore 53200, Pakistan
E-mail: ibeg@lahoreschool.edu.pk