

## A FIXED POINT APPROACH TO THE STABILITY OF QUARTIC LIE \*-DERIVATIONS

DONGSEUNG KANG AND HEEJEONG KOH\*

ABSTRACT. We obtain the general solution of the functional equation  $f(ax + y) - f(x - ay) + \frac{1}{2}a(a^2 + 1)f(x - y) + (a^4 - 1)f(y) = \frac{1}{2}a(a^2 + 1)f(x + y) + (a^4 - 1)f(x)$  and prove the stability problem of the quartic Lie \*-derivation by using a directed method and an alternative fixed point method.

### 1. Introduction

A mapping is said to be *stable* if a mapping is an almost-homomorphism, there exists a true homomorphism near the almost-homomorphism. Ulam introduced the stability problem for functional equations which concerned the stability of group homomorphisms, that is, given two groups  $G$  and  $H$ , is every almost-homomorphism  $G \rightarrow H$  close to a true homomorphism  $G \rightarrow H$ ?; see [17]. Hyers [7] investigated stability problems related to the question of Ulam on Banach spaces. Subsequently, the result of Hyers was generalized by a number of authors. In particular, Aoki [1] studied the stability problem for additive mapping and Rassias [14] proved the problem for linear mappings by considering a unbounded Cauchy difference operator. Afterwards, the result of Rassias has provided a lot of influence in the development of what we call Hyers-Ulam stability or Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability. The stability problems of this

---

Received May 2, 2016. Revised October 31, 2016. Accepted September 1, 2016.  
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B55, 39B72, 47B47, 47H10.

Key words and phrases: Hyers-Ulam stability; quartic mapping; Lie \*-derivation; Banach \*-algebra; fixed point alternative.

\* Corresponding author.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2016.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

topic have been investigated by a number of authors; see [10], [8], [2] and [3]. In fact, the stability problems have been extensively investigated to the various points of views such as various functional equations, various spaces and so on. Especially, Jang and Park [9] introduced the concepts of  $*$ -derivations and investigated the stability problems of quadratic  $*$ -derivations on Banach  $C^*$ -algebra. Also, Park and Bodaghi and Yang et al. studied the stability properties of  $*$ -derivations by using an alternative fixed point method; see [12] and [19]. Also, Fošner and Fošner introduced the basic concepts of cubic Lie derivations and investigated the stability problem of cubic Lie derivations; see [6].

Rassias introduced the quartic functional equation in [13] which was the oldest quartic functional equation and investigated the stability problems of the following functional equation:

$$(1.1) \quad f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 6f(x) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 24f(y).$$

Chung and Sahoo [4] obtained the general solution of (1.1) by using the properties of a certain mapping of the form  $A(x, x, x, x)$ , where the function  $A : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is symmetric and additive in each variable.

In this paper, we will consider the following functional equation which is generalized and different from the equation (1.1):

$$(1.2) \quad f(ax+y) - f(x-ay) + \frac{1}{2}a(a^2+1)f(x-y) + (a^4-1)f(y) \\ = \frac{1}{2}a(a^2+1)f(x+y) + (a^4-1)f(x)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and an integer  $a (a \neq 0, \pm 1)$ . We will show that the equation (1.2) is a general solution of quartic functional equation and introduced a quartic Lie  $*$ -derivation. Finally, we will prove the Hyers-Ulam stability problem of the quartic Lie  $*$ -derivations by using directed and fixed point methods.

## 2. A general solution of a quartic functional equation

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real vector spaces. In this section we will obtain the result that the functional equation (1.2) is a general solution of a quartic functional equation by using 4-additive symmetric mapping. Before we proceed, we will introduce some basic concepts concerning 4-additive symmetric mappings. A mapping  $A_4 : X^4 \rightarrow Y$  is called 4-additive if it is additive in each variable. A mapping  $A_4$  is said to

be *symmetric* if  $A_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = A_4(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}, x_{\sigma(4)})$  for every permutation  $\{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \sigma(3), \sigma(4)\}$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . If  $A_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  is a 4-additive symmetric mapping, then  $A^4(x)$  will denote the diagonal  $A_4(x, x, x, x)$  and  $A^4(qx) = q^4 A^4(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . A mapping  $A^4(x)$  is called a *monomial function* of degree 4 (assuming  $A^4 \not\equiv 0$ ). On taking  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_s = x$  and  $x_{s+1} = x_{s+2} = \dots = x_4 = y$  in  $A_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ , it is denoted by  $A^{s,4-s}(x, y)$ . We note that the generalized concepts of  $n$ -additive symmetric mappings are found in [16] and [18].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $A^4(x)$  be the diagonal of the 4-additive symmetric mapping  $A_4 : X^4 \rightarrow Y$ . A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.2) if and only if  $f$  is of the form  $f(x) = A^4(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  satisfies the functional equation (1.2). We will show that  $f(x) = A^4(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . On letting  $y = 0$  in the equation (1.2), we have

$$(2.1) \quad f(ax) = a^4 f(x) - (a^4 - 1)f(0)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and an integer number  $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ . Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) - f(-ay) + \frac{1}{2}a(a^2 + 1)f(-y) + (a^4 - 1)f(y) \\ = \frac{1}{2}a(a^2 + 1)f(y) + (a^4 - 1)f(0) \end{aligned}$$

by letting  $x = 0$  in the equation (1.2). Replacing  $y$  by  $x$  in the previous equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - f(-ax) + \frac{1}{2}a(a^2 + 1)f(-x) + (a^4 - 1)f(x) \\ = \frac{1}{2}a(a^2 + 1)f(x) + (a^4 - 1)f(0) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ . Hence the equation (2.1) implies that  $f$  is an odd mapping. On taking  $x = y$  in the equation (1.2) and using the equation (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a + 1)^4 f(x) - [(a + 1)^4 - 1]f(0) - (a - 1)^4 f(x) + [(a - 1)^4 - 1]f(0) \\ + \frac{1}{2}a(a^2 + 1)f(0) = 8a(a^2 + 1)f(x) - \frac{15}{2}a(a^2 + 1)f(0) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and an integer  $a$  ( $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ ). Then we have  $a(a^2 - 1)f(0) = 0$  for an integer  $a$  ( $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ ). This means that  $f(0) = 0$ . Also, the equation (2.1) implies that

$$(2.2) \quad f(ax) = a^4 f(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . We can rewrite the functional equation (1.2) in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - \frac{1}{a^4 - 1} f(ax + y) + \frac{1}{a^4 - 1} f(x - ay) - \frac{a}{2(a^2 - 1)} f(x - y) \\ + \frac{a}{2(a^2 - 1)} f(x + y) - f(y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and an integer  $a$  ( $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ ). By Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 in [18],  $f$  is a generalized polynomial function of degree at most 4, that is,  $f$  is of the form

$$(2.3) \quad f(x) = A^4(x) + A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x) + A^0(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $A^0(x) = A^0$  is an arbitrary element of  $Y$  and  $A^i(x)$  is the diagonal  $i$ -additive symmetric mapping  $A_i : X^i \rightarrow Y$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). Since  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(-x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $A^0(x) = A^0 = 0$  and  $A^1(x) = A^3(x) = 0$ . Hence we have

$$f(x) = A^4(x) + A^2(x),$$

for all  $x \in X$ . The equation (2.3) and  $A^n(qx) = q^n A^n(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  imply that  $a^2(a^2 - 1)A^2(x) = 0$  for an integer  $a$  ( $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ ). Hence  $A^2(x) = 0$ , that is,  $f(x) = A^4(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , as desired.

Conversely, suppose  $f(x) = A^4(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $A^4(x)$  is a diagonal 4-additive symmetric mapping  $A_4 : X^4 \rightarrow Y$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A^4(qx + py) \\ = q^4 A^4(x) + 4q^3 p A^{3,1}(x, y) + 6q^2 p^2 A^{2,2}(x, y) + 4qp^3 A^{1,3}(x, y) + p^4 A^4(y) \\ r^s A^{s,t}(x, y) = A^{s,t}(rx, y), \quad r^t A^{s,t}(x, y) = A^{s,t}(x, ry) \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 \leq s, t \leq 3$  and  $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $f$  satisfies the equation (1.2).  $\square$

For this reason, we call the mapping  $f$  a *generalized quartic mapping* if  $f$  satisfies the equation (1.2).

### 3. Quartic Lie \*-Derivations

In this section, we will investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quartic Lie \*-derivation by using directed method and a fixed point method. Let  $A$  be a complex normed \*-algebra and  $M$  be a Banach  $A$ -bimodule. For convenience, we will use  $\|\cdot\|$  as norms on a normed algebra  $A$  and a normed  $A$ -bimodule  $M$ .

A mapping  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is called a *quartic homogeneous mapping* if  $f(\mu a) = \mu^4 f(a)$ , for all  $a \in A$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . A quartic homogeneous mapping  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is called a *quartic derivation* if

$$f(xy) = f(x)y^4 + x^4 f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . A quartic homogeneous mapping  $f$  is called a *quartic Lie derivation* if

$$f([x, y]) = [f(x), y^4] + [x^4, f(y)]$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ , where  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ . A quartic Lie derivation  $f$  is called a *quartic Lie \*-derivation* if  $f(x^*) = f(x)^*$  for all  $x \in A$ .

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $A = \mathbb{C}$  be a complex number field with the map  $z \mapsto z^* = \bar{z}$  (where  $\bar{z}$  is the complex conjugate of  $z$ ). Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow A$  by  $f(x) = x^4$  for all  $x \in A$ . Then  $f$  is quartic and

$$f([x, y]) = [f(x), y^4] + [x^4, f(y)] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Also,

$$f(x^*) = f(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}^4 = \overline{f(x)} = f(x)^*$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Hence we know that  $f$  is a quartic Lie \*-derivation, as desired.

For this entire section,

$$\mathbb{T}^1 = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\mu| = 1\}.$$

For the given mapping  $f : A \rightarrow M$ , we consider

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_\mu f(a, b) := f(m\mu a + \mu b) - f(\mu a - m\mu b) + \frac{1}{2}\mu^4 m(m^2 + 1)f(a - b) \\ + \mu^4(m^4 - 1)f(b) - \frac{1}{2}\mu^4 m(m^2 + 1)f(a + b) - \mu^4(m^4 - 1)f(a), \\ \Delta f(a, b) := f([a, b]) - [f(a), b^4] - [a^4, f(b)]$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z} (m \neq 0, \pm 1)$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $n_0$  be a positive integer. Suppose that there is a mapping  $f : A \rightarrow M$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and there exists a function  $\phi : A^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\phi}(a, b, x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|m|^{4j}} \phi(m^j a, m^j b, m^j x, m^j y, m^j z) < \infty$$

$$(3.3) \quad \|\Delta_{\mu} f(a, b)\| \leq \phi(a, b, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \phi(0, 0, x, y, z)$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1 = \{e^{i\theta} \mid 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{2\pi}{n_0}\}$  and all  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ . For each fixed  $a \in A$ , if the mapping  $r \mapsto f(ra)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $M$  is continuous then there exists a unique quartic Lie  $*$ -derivation  $L : A \rightarrow M$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \|f(a) - L(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{|m|^4} \tilde{\phi}(a, 0, 0, 0, 0),$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* On letting  $b = 0$  and  $\mu = 1$  in the inequality (3.3), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \|f(a) - \frac{1}{m^4} f(ma)\| \leq \frac{1}{|m|^4} \phi(a, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . By using the induction steps with (3.6), we have the following inequality

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{m^{4t}} f(m^t a) - \frac{1}{m^{4k}} f(m^k a) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|m|^4} \sum_{j=k}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(m^j a, 0, 0, 0, 0)}{|m|^{4j}}$$

for  $t > k \geq 0$  and  $a \in A$ . Both (3.2) and (3.7) imply that  $\{\frac{1}{m^{4n}} f(m^n a)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of  $M$ , we know that the sequence is convergent. Hence we can define a mapping  $L : A \rightarrow M$  as

$$(3.8) \quad L(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^{4n}} f(m^n a)$$

for  $a \in A$ . On taking  $t = n$  and  $k = 0$  in the inequality (3.7), we get

$$(3.9) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{m^{4n}} f(m^n a) - f(a) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|m|^4} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(m^j a, 0, 0, 0, 0)}{|m|^{4j}}$$

for  $n > 0$  and  $a \in A$ . On taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequality (3.9), the inequality (3.2) implies that the inequality (3.5) holds.

We know that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Delta_\mu L(a, b)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|m|^{4n}} \|\Delta_\mu f(m^n a, m^n b)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(m^n a, m^n b, 0, 0, 0)}{|m|^{4n}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$ . On taking  $\mu = 1$  in the inequality (3.10), we may conclude that the mapping  $L$  is a quartic mapping. Also, the inequality (3.10) implies that  $\Delta_\mu L(a, 0) = 0$ . Then we have

$$L(\mu a) = \mu^4 L(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$ . Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then we may let  $\nu = e^{i\theta}$ , where  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ , and let  $\nu_1 = \nu^{\frac{1}{n_0}} = e^{\frac{i\theta}{n_0}}$ . Then  $\nu_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$ . Hence we have

$$L(\nu a) = L(\nu_1^{n_0} a) = \nu_1^{4n_0} L(a) = \nu^4 L(a)$$

for all  $\nu \in \mathbb{T}^1$  and  $a \in A$ . Suppose that  $\rho$  is any continuous linear functional on  $A$  and  $a$  is a fixed element in  $A$ . Then we may define a function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(r) = \rho(L(ra))$$

for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is not hard to check that the mapping  $g$  is quartic. For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we may let

$$g_k(r) = \rho\left(\frac{f(m^k ra)}{m^{4k}}\right).$$

We note that  $g$  is measurable because  $g$  is the pointwise limit of the sequence of measurable functions  $g_k$ . In addition, the measurable quartic function  $g$  is continuous (see [5]) and we have

$$g(r) = r^4 g(1)$$

for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus

$$\rho(L(ra)) = g(r) = r^4 g(1) = r^4 \rho(L(a)) = \rho(r^4 L(a))$$

for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\rho$  was an arbitrary continuous linear functional on  $A$ ,

$$L(ra) = r^4 L(a)$$

for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{C} (\omega \neq 0)$ . Then  $\frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Hence

$$L(\omega a) = L\left(\frac{\omega}{|\omega|} |\omega| a\right) = \left(\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right)^4 L(|\omega| a) = \left(\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right)^4 |\omega|^4 L(a) = \omega^4 L(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Since  $a$  was an arbitrary element in  $A$ , we may conclude that  $L$  is quartic homogeneous.

Next, replacing  $x$  by  $m^kx$  and  $y$  by  $m^ky$  and  $z = 0$  in the inequality (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta L(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{\Delta f(m^n x, m^n y)}{m^{4n}} \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|m|^{4n}} \phi(0, 0, m^n x, m^n y, 0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then we get  $\Delta L(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . This means that  $L$  is a quartic Lie derivation. On letting  $x = y = 0$  and  $z = m^kz$  in the inequality (3.4), we have

$$(3.11) \quad \left\| \frac{f(m^n z^*)}{m^{4n}} - \frac{f(m^n z)^*}{m^{4n}} \right\| \leq \frac{\phi(0, 0, 0, 0, m^n z)}{|m|^{4n}}$$

for all  $z \in A$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequality (3.11), we have

$$L(z^*) = L(z)^*$$

for all  $z \in A$ . This means that  $L$  is a quartic Lie  $*$ -derivation. Now, we will show that the quartic Lie  $*$ -derivation is unique. Hence we assume  $L' : A \rightarrow A$  is another quartic  $*$ -derivation satisfying the inequality (3.5). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(a) - L'(a)\| &= \frac{1}{|m|^{4n}} \|L(m^n a) - L'(m^n a)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|m|^{4n}} \left( \|L(m^n a) - f(m^n a)\| + \|f(m^n a) - L'(m^n a)\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|m|^{4n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|m|^{4j}} \phi(m^{j+n} a, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{|m|^4} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|m|^{4j}} \phi(m^j a, 0, 0, 0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $a \in A$ . Thus  $L(a) = L'(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Hence the uniqueness of  $L$  was proved, as claimed.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $\theta, r$  be positive real number with  $r < 4$ . Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| &\leq \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r) \\ \|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| &\leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r) \end{aligned}$$



for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$  and  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ . Then there exists a unique quartic Lie \*-derivation  $L : A \rightarrow M$  satisfying

$$\|f(a) - L(a)\| \leq \frac{\theta \|a\|^r}{(|m|^4 - |m|^r)}$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* On taking  $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$  in Theorem 3.2 for all  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ , we have the desired results.  $\square$

In the following corollaries, we will investigate the hyperstability for the quartic Lie \*-derivations.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $r$  be positive real number with  $r < 4$ . Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \|a\|^r \|b\|^r$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \|x\|^r \|y\|^r \|z\|^r$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$  and  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ . Then  $f$  is a quartic Lie \*-derivation on  $A$ .

*Proof.* If we take  $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = (\|a\|^r + \|x\|^r)(\|b\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$  in Theorem 3.2 for all  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ , then we have  $\tilde{\phi}(a, 0, 0, 0, 0) = 0$ . Hence (3.5) implies that  $f$  is a quartic Lie \*-derivation on  $A$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $r$  be positive real number with  $r < 4$ . Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \|a\|^r \|b\|^r$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \|x\|^r (\|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$  and  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ . Then  $f$  is a quartic Lie \*-derivation on  $A$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = (\|a\|^r + \|x\|^r)(\|b\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$  in Theorem 3.2 for all  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}(a, 0, 0, 0, 0) = 0$ . Hence the inequality (3.5) implies that  $f$  is a quartic Lie \*-derivation on  $A$ .  $\square$

The following statements are relative to the alternative of fixed point; see [11] and [15]. By using this method, we will prove the Hyers-Ulam stability.

THEOREM 3.6 ( The alternative of fixed point [11], [15] ). Suppose that we are given a complete generalized metric space  $(\Omega, d)$  and a strictly contractive mapping  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  with Lipschitz constant  $l$ . Then for each given  $x \in \Omega$ , either

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty \text{ for all } n \geq 0,$$

or there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that

1.  $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ ;
2. The sequence  $(T^n x)$  is convergent to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $T$ ;
3.  $y^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$  in the set

$$\Delta = \{y \in \Omega \mid d(T^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\};$$

4.  $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-l} d(y, Ty)$  for all  $y \in \Delta$ .

THEOREM 3.7. Let  $n_0$  be a positive integer. Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is a continuous even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$ . Assume that  $\phi : A^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous mapping such that

$$(3.12) \quad \|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \phi(a, b, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$(3.13) \quad \|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \phi(0, 0, x, y, z)$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$  and  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ . If there is a constant  $l \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(3.14) \quad \phi(ma, mb, mx, my, mz) \leq |m|^4 l \phi(a, b, x, y, z)$$

then there exists a quartic Lie  $*$ -derivation  $L : A \rightarrow M$  such that

$$(3.15) \quad \|f(a) - L(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{|m|^4(1-l)} \phi(a, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ .

*Proof.* We will consider the following set

$$\Omega = \{g \mid g : A \rightarrow A, g(0) = 0\}.$$

Then there is the generalized metric on  $\Omega$ ,

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{\lambda \in (0, \infty) \mid \|g(a) - h(a)\| \leq \lambda \phi(a, 0, 0, 0, 0), \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

It is not hard to prove that  $(\Omega, d)$  is a complete space. A function  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is defined by

$$(3.16) \quad T(g)(a) = \frac{1}{m^4} g(ma)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . We know that there is an arbitrary constant with  $d(g, h) \leq \lambda$ , for all  $g, h \in \Omega$ , where  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ . Then

$$(3.17) \quad \|g(a) - h(a)\| \leq \lambda \phi(a, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . On taking  $a = ma$  in the inequality (3.17) and using the inequality (3.14) and the equation (3.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(g)(a) - T(h)(a)\| &= \frac{1}{|m|^4} \|g(ma) - h(ma)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|m|^4} \lambda \phi(ma, 0, 0, 0, 0) \leq cl \phi(a, 0, 0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$d(Tg, Th) \leq \lambda l.$$

Hence we have that

$$d(Tg, Th) \leq l d(g, h),$$

for all  $g, h \in \Omega$ . This means that  $T$  is a strictly self-mapping of  $\Omega$  with the Lipschitz constant  $l$ . On taking  $\mu = 1, b = 0$  in the inequality (3.12), we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{m^4} f(ma) - f(a) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|m|^4} \phi(a, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . This means that

$$d(Tf, f) \leq \frac{1}{|m|^4}.$$

Now, We will apply to Theorem of the alternative of fixed point. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n f, L) = 0$ , we know that there exists a fixed point  $L$  of  $T$  in  $\Omega$  such that

$$(3.18) \quad L(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(m^n a)}{m^{4n}},$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Hence

$$d(f, L) \leq \frac{1}{1-l} d(Tf, f) \leq \frac{1}{|m|^4} \frac{1}{1-l}.$$

Hence we may conclude that the inequality (3.15) holds. Since  $l \in (0, 1)$ , the inequality (3.14) implies that

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(m^n a, m^n b, m^n x, m^n y, m^n z)}{|m|^{4n}} = 0.$$

Replacing  $a$  by  $m^n a$  and  $b$  by  $m^n b$  in the inequality (3.12), we get

$$\frac{1}{|m|^{4n}} \|\Delta_\mu f(m^n a, m^n b)\| \leq \frac{\phi(m^n a, m^n b, 0, 0, 0)}{|m|^{4n}}.$$

On taking the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\Delta_\mu f(a, b) = 0$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$ . The remains of this proof are analogous to the proof in Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *Let  $\theta, r$  be real numbers with  $0 < r < 4$ . Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r)$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$  and  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ . Then there exists a unique quartic Lie  $*$ -derivation  $L : A \rightarrow M$  satisfying

$$\|f(a) - L(a)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|a\|^r}{|m|^4(1-l)}$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.7 by taking  $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$  for all  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ .  $\square$

Next, we will prove the hyperstability for the quartic Lie  $*$ -derivations.

**COROLLARY 3.9.** *Let  $r$  be a real number with  $0 < r < 4$ . Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \|a\|^r \|b\|^r$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \|x\|^r \|y\|^r \|z\|^r$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$  and  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ . Then  $f$  is a quartic Lie  $*$ -derivation on  $A$ .

*Proof.* If  $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = (\|a\|^r + \|x\|^r)(\|b\|^r + \|y\|^r \|z\|^r)$  in Theorem 3.7, then we get  $\tilde{\phi}(a, 0, 0, 0, 0) = 0$ . Thus we may conclude that  $f$  is a quartic Lie  $*$ -derivation on  $A$  because of the inequality (3.15).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.10.** *Let  $r$  be a real number with  $0 < r < 4$ . Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(a, b)\| \leq \|a\|^r \|b\|^r$$

$$\|\Delta f(x, y) + f(z^*) - f(z)^*\| \leq \|x\|^r (\|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^1$  and  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$ . Then  $f$  is a quartic Lie \*-derivation on  $A$ .

*Proof.* On letting  $\phi(a, b, x, y, z) = (\|a\|^r + \|x\|^r)(\|b\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$  in Theorem 3.7, we get  $\tilde{\phi}(a, 0, 0, 0, 0) = 0$ . Thus  $f$  is a quartic Lie \*-derivation because of the inequality (3.15).  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [2] N. Brillouët-Belluot, J. Brzdęk and K. Ciepliński, *Fixed point theory and the Ulam stability*, Abstract and Applied Analysis **2014**, Article ID 829419, 16 pages (2014).
- [3] J. Brzdęk, L. Cădariu and K. Ciepliński, *On some recent developments in Ulam's type stability*, Abstract and Applied Analysis **2012**, Article ID 716936, 41 pages (2012).
- [4] J. K. Chung and P. K. Sahoo, *On the general solution of a quartic functional equation*, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, **40** no.4 (2003), 565–576.
- [5] St. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [6] A. Fošner and M. Fošner, *Approximate cubic Lie derivations*, Abstract and Applied Analysis **2013**, Article ID 425784, 5 pages (2013).
- [7] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and T. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Boston, USA, 1998.
- [9] S. Jang and C. Park, *Approximate \*-derivations and approximate quadratic \*-derivations on  $C^*$ -algebra*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2011**, Article ID 55 (2011).
- [10] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 48 of Springer Optimization and Its Applications, Springer, New York, USA, 2011.
- [11] B. Margolis and J.B. Diaz, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **126**, 74 (1968), 305–309.
- [12] C. Park and A. Bodaghi, *On the stability of \*-derivations on Banach \*-algebras*, Adv. Diff. Equat. **2012** 2012:138 (2012).
- [13] J. M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam stability problem for quartic mappings*, Glasnik Matematički Series III, **34** no. 2 (1999)243–252.
- [14] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978) 297–300.
- [15] I.A. Rus, *Principles and Applications of Fixed Point Theory*, Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979 (in Romanian).

- [16] P.K. Sahoo, *A generalized cubic functional equation*, Acta Math. Sinica **21** no. 5 (2005), 1159–1166.
- [17] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Morden Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, USA, 1960.
- [18] T.Z. Xu, J.M. Rassias and W.X. Xu, *A generalized mixed quadratic-quartic functional equation*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Scien. Soc. **35** no. 3 (2012), 633–649.
- [19] S.Y. Yang, A. Bodaghi, K.A.M. Atan, *Approximate cubic  $*$ -derivations on Banach  $*$ -algebra*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, **2012**, Article ID 684179, 12 pages (2012).

Dongseung Kang  
Mathematics Education  
Dankook University  
Yongin 16890, Korea  
*E-mail*: dskang@dankook.ac.kr

Heejeong Koh  
Mathematics Education  
Dankook University  
Yongin 16890, Korea  
*E-mail*: khjmath@dankook.ac.kr