

## SYMMETRY ABOUT CIRCLES AND CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE SURFACE

SUNG-HO PARK

ABSTRACT. We show that a closed curve invariant under inversions with respect to two intersecting circles intersecting at angle of an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$  is a circle. This generalizes the well known fact that a closed curve symmetric about two lines intersecting at angle of an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$  is a circle. We use the result to give a different proof of that a compact embedded cmc surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a sphere. Finally we show that a closed embedded cmc surface which is invariant under the spherical reflections about two spheres, which intersect at an angle that is an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ , is a sphere.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be a closed curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If, for each vector  $v \in \mathbb{S}^1$ , there is a line  $l_v$  with direction vector  $v$  about which  $C$  is symmetric, then  $C$  is a circle. More precisely, a closed curve symmetric about two lines, which intersect at an angle of irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ , is a circle. In [5], McCuan generalized this result. McCuan defined a new notion of *symmetry* for a compact set in the upper half plane. Let  $S_\rho(x)$  be a circle of radius  $\rho$  with center  $x$ .

---

Received July 27, 2017. Revised December 11, 2017. Accepted December 12, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C24, 53C12.

Key words and phrases: cmc surface, symmetry.

The author was supported by Hankuk University of Foreign Studies Research Fund.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2017.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DEFINITION 1. A compact set  $K$  in the upper half plane is symmetric if for each  $x$  on the  $x$ -axis there is some  $\rho = \rho(x) > 0$  such that  $K$  is invariant under inversion about  $S_\rho(x)$ .

McCuan showed that a *symmetric* set  $K$  in the upper half plane is a circle. We weaken McCuan's condition and show that a closed curve  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  which is invariant under inversions about two circles that intersect at an angle of an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$  is a circle.

For surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , Alexandrov developed the moving plane argument to show that a compact embedded cmc surface  $S$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a round sphere [1], [3]. Alexandrov first showed that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{S}^2$ , there is a symmetry plane  $\Pi_n$  of  $S$  with normal vector  $n$ . Then for two intersecting symmetry planes  $\Pi_{n_1}$  and  $\Pi_{n_2}$  of  $S$  which intersect at an angle of irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ ,  $S$  is invariant under rotation about the line  $\Pi_{n_1} \cap \Pi_{n_2}$ . Since  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{S}^2$  can be chosen arbitrarily,  $S$  is invariant under rotation about a line  $\ell_v$  for each direction vector  $v \in \mathbb{S}^2$ . It follows that  $S$  is a round sphere.

McCuan used spheres and spherical reflections instead of the planes and reflections about planes to prove Alexandrov's result [4], [5]. We show that a compact embedded cmc surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which is invariant under the spherical reflections about two spheres which intersect at an angle of an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$  is a round sphere.

## 2. Inversion and stereographic projection

Let  $C$  be a circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  centered at the origin with radius  $r$ . The inversion  $I_C : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{O\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{O\}$  about  $C$  is defined by

$$(1) \quad I_C(p) = \frac{r^2}{|p|^2} p.$$

Let  $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be the unit sphere centered at the origin and  $N = (0, 0, 1)$  be the north pole. Let  $\pi : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the stereographic projection from  $N$  onto the  $xy$ -plane  $\Pi$ . Then, for  $(X, Y) = \pi(x, y, z)$ ,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (X, Y) &= \left( \frac{x}{1-z}, \frac{y}{1-z} \right), \\ (x, y, z) &= \left( \frac{2X}{1+X^2+Y^2}, \frac{2Y}{1+X^2+Y^2}, \frac{-1+X^2+Y^2}{1+X^2+Y^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $V$  be a set invariant under  $I_C$  in  $\Pi$ . Then the scaled set  $\lambda V = \{\lambda x : x \in V\}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , is invariant under the inversion  $I_{\lambda C}$ . Suppose that  $r = 1$ . Then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_C(p)) = \pi^{-1}\left(\frac{p}{|p|^2}\right) = R_z(\pi^{-1}(p)),$$

where  $R_z$  is the reflection about  $\Pi$ . Hence  $\pi^{-1}(V)$  is invariant under the reflection about  $\Pi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a closed curve in  $\Pi$  invariant under two inversions  $I_{\Gamma_1}$  and  $I_{\Gamma_2}$  about two circles  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , where the angle between  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  is an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a circle.*

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda\Gamma$  is invariant under  $I_{\lambda\Gamma_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we may assume that the radius of  $\Gamma_1$  is 1. Hence  $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)$  is symmetric about  $\Pi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as above. Let

$$Rot_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $(Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1})(\Gamma_1)$  is the great circle of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  on the  $xz$ -plane and  $(Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1})(\Gamma)$  is invariant under the reflection about the  $xz$ -plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We note that  $(\pi \circ Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1})(\Gamma_1)$  is the  $x$ -axis, and the inversion about  $\Gamma_1$  corresponds to the reflection about the  $x$ -axis in  $\Pi$  after  $\pi \circ Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1}$ . It is clear that  $(\pi \circ Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1})(\Gamma)$  is invariant under the reflection about the  $x$ -axis in  $\Pi$ .

Now we use a translation  $T$  and a dilation  $D$  of  $\Pi$  so that the center of  $(T \circ \pi \circ Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1})(\Gamma_2)$  is symmetric about the  $y$ -axis, and  $(D \circ T \circ \pi \circ Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1})(\Gamma_2)$  intersects the  $x$ -axis at  $(1, 0)$  and  $(-1, 0)$ . For simplicity, we call the  $x$ -axis as  $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$  and  $(D \circ T \circ \pi \circ Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1})(\Gamma_2)$  as  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$  and  $(D \circ T \circ \pi \circ Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1})(\Gamma)$  as  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . We note that the inversion about  $\Gamma_2$  corresponds to the inversion about  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$  after  $D \circ T \circ \pi \circ Rot_1 \circ \pi^{-1}$ .

We see that  $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{\Gamma}_1)$  and  $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{\Gamma}_2)$  are great circles in  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with  $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{\Gamma}_1) \cap \pi^{-1}(\tilde{\Gamma}_2) = \{(0, 1, 0), (0, -1, 0)\}$ . Let

$$Rot_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $(\pi \circ Rot_2 \circ \pi^{-1})(\tilde{\Gamma}_1)$  and  $(\pi \circ Rot_2 \circ \pi^{-1})(\tilde{\Gamma}_2)$  are straight lines through the origin in  $\Pi$ , and the inversions about  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  corresponds to the reflections  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  about  $(\pi \circ Rot_2 \circ \pi^{-1})(\tilde{\Gamma}_1)$  and  $(\pi \circ Rot_2 \circ \pi^{-1})(\tilde{\Gamma}_2)$

respectively. Since all the mappings used above are conformal, the angle  $\theta$  between  $(\pi \circ Rot_2 \circ \pi^{-1})(\tilde{\Gamma}_1)$  and  $(\pi \circ Rot_2 \circ \pi^{-1})(\tilde{\Gamma}_2)$  is an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ . Then  $R_2 \circ R_1$  is the rotation of angle  $2\theta$ . For  $p \in \Gamma$ , the point  $(\pi \circ Rot_2 \circ \pi^{-1})(\tilde{p})$  is mapped to a dense subset of a circle by  $R_2 \circ R_1$ . Since  $(\pi \circ Rot_2 \circ \pi^{-1})(\tilde{\Gamma})$  is also a closed curve, it follows that  $(\pi \circ Rot_2 \circ \pi^{-1})(\tilde{\Gamma})$  is a circle. Since the stereographic projection, inverse stereographic projection, rotations of the plane, translation, dilation sends a circle or a line to a circle or a line,  $\Gamma$  is a circle.  $\square$

### 3. Spherical reflection and embedded closed cmc surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed embedded surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and let  $W$  be the (bounded) region bounded by  $\Sigma$ . We suppose that  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{O\}$ . Let  $S_\rho$  be the sphere centered at the origin with radius  $\rho$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The spherical reflection  $SR_\rho$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{O\}$  about  $S_\rho$  is given by

$$(3) \quad X \mapsto \frac{\rho^2}{|X|^2} X.$$

Let  $\Sigma_\rho^- = \{X \in \Sigma : |X| \geq \rho\}$  and  $\Sigma_\rho^+ = \{X \in \Sigma : |X| \leq \rho\}$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is closed,  $\Sigma_\rho^- = \emptyset$  for large  $\rho$ . As  $\rho$  decreases, there is  $\rho_0$  for which  $\Sigma_\rho^-$  is nonempty for the first time. We denote by  $\hat{\Sigma}_\rho^-$  the reflection of  $\Sigma_\rho^-$  for  $\rho \leq \rho_0$ . Decreasing  $\rho$ , we find  $\rho_1 > 0$  for which  $\hat{\Sigma}_\rho^-$  and  $\Sigma_\rho^+$  are tangent at the image of some  $X \in \Sigma_\rho^-$  for the first time, that is  $T_{\hat{X}}\hat{\Sigma}_\rho^- = T_{X'}\Sigma_\rho^+$  with  $X' \in \Sigma_\rho^+$  corresponding to  $\hat{X}$ . We call  $X$  the *first touch point*.

Let  $N$  be the unit normal vector field on  $\Sigma$  pointing into  $W$ . The mean curvature  $H$  of  $\Sigma$  is computed with respect to  $N$ . From now on, we suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a closed embedded cmc surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We recall the following results from [5].

LEMMA 2. Let  $X$  be a closed embedded cmc surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

(I) The mean curvature  $\hat{H}(X, \rho)$  of  $\hat{\Sigma}$  at the image of  $X$  under the map (3) is given by

$$\hat{H}(X, \rho) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} (|X|^2 H + 2X \cdot N).$$

(II) For  $\rho \geq \rho_1$ ,  $\hat{H}(X, \rho)$  is subharmonic. Therefore  $\hat{H}(X, \rho)$  attains maximum at  $\partial\hat{\Sigma}_\rho^-$ . Moreover, for  $\rho \geq \rho_1$ , we have  $\hat{H}(X, \rho) \leq H$ .

Let  $X$  be the first touch point of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\hat{\Sigma}_\rho^-$  lies in the region bounded by  $\Sigma_\rho^+$  and  $S_{\rho_1}$ . Since  $\hat{H}(X, \rho) \leq H$  by Lemma 2 and  $T_{\hat{X}}\hat{\Sigma}_\rho^- = T_{X'}\Sigma_\rho^+$ , one can use the comparison principles for quasilinear elliptic partial differential equations of second order [2] to see that  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\rho_1}^-$  and  $\Sigma_{\rho_1}^+$  are congruent.

We can repeat the above argument for spheres centered at an arbitrary point of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{W}$ . In fact, for each fixed point  $P \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{W}$ , we can find a radius  $\rho_1(P)$  such that  $\Sigma$  is invariant under the spherical reflection  $SR_{\rho_1(P)}$  about  $S_{\rho_1(P)}(P)$ :

$$X \mapsto \frac{\rho_1^2(P)}{|X - P|^2}(X - P).$$

Note that  $\rho_1(P)$  is a continuous function of  $P$ .

Let  $\ell$  be the line through the origin and  $P$ . We suppose that  $\ell$  does not intersect  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\Pi_P$  be a plane that contains  $\ell$ .

LEMMA 3. For  $P \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\Pi_P \cap \Sigma$  is either empty, or a single point or a circle.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Pi_P \cap \Sigma$  contains a point  $Q$  different from  $\Pi_P \cap (S_{\rho_1} \cap S_{\rho_1(P)}(P))$ . If the angle between  $S_{\rho_1}$  and  $S_{\rho_1(P)}(P)$  is a rational multiple of  $2\pi$ , then we use a point  $P'$  on  $\ell$  close to  $P$  for which the angle between  $S_{\rho_1}$  and  $S_{\rho_1(P')}(P')$  is an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ . Hence we suppose that the angle between  $S_{\rho_1}$  and  $S_{\rho_1(P)}(P)$  is an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ . Then the angle between  $\Pi_P \cap S_{\rho_1}$  and  $\Pi_P \cap S_{\rho_1(P)}(P)$  is an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 1, the inversions  $SR_{\rho_1}|_{\Pi_P}$  and  $SR_{\rho_1(P)}|_{\Pi_P}$  sends  $Q$  into a dense subset of a circle. Hence  $\Pi_P \cap \Sigma$  is a circle.

If  $\Pi_P \cap \Sigma = \Pi_P \cap (S_{\rho_1} \cap S_{\rho_1(P)}(P))$ , then  $\Pi_P \cap \Sigma$  is fixed by  $SR_{\rho_1}|_{\Pi_P}$  and  $SR_{\rho_1(P)}|_{\Pi_P}$ . If  $\Pi_P \cap \Sigma$  contains more than one point, then one point is different from  $(\Pi_P \cap S_{\rho_1}) \cap (\Pi_P \cap S_{\rho_1(P)}(P))$ . Therefore  $\Pi_P \cap \Sigma$  is a circle. □

It follows that  $\Sigma$  is foliated by circles. In [6], the author showed that a cmc surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which is foliated by circles, is either a sphere, or a surface of rotation with constant mean curvature, that is, the Delaunay surface. We give a different proof of the following theorem using the foliations by circles.

**THEOREM 1.** *A closed embedded cmc surface  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a round sphere.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\Sigma$  is in the upper half space  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$ . As observed above, each line  $\ell$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma$  gives a foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\ell$  of  $\Sigma$  by circles. Let  $\ell$  be the  $x$ -axis and  $\ell'$  be the line through  $(0, 1, 0)$  and parallel to  $\ell$ . Let  $C_\ell$  and  $C_{\ell'}$  be the circles of biggest radius in  $\mathcal{F}_\ell$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\ell'}$  respectively. Since  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_+^3$ ,  $C_\ell$  and  $C_{\ell'}$  are different. Moreover  $C_\ell \cap C_{\ell'}$  is the end point of the diameter of  $C_\ell$  and  $C_{\ell'}$ .

Let  $\Pi^\perp$  be the plane through the center of  $C_\ell$  and perpendicular to  $\ell$ . For a circle  $C'$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{\ell'}$  intersecting  $C_\ell$ ,  $C' \cap C_\ell$  is symmetric about  $\Pi^\perp$ . Hence  $C'$  is also symmetric about  $\Pi^\perp$ . It is easy to see that the distance between the center of  $C_\ell$  and points on  $C'$  is the radius of  $C_\ell$ . Hence part of  $\Sigma$  is spherical. Since  $\Sigma$  has constant mean curvature,  $\Sigma$  is a sphere by the comparison principle of the quasilinear elliptic partial differential equation of second order.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed embedded cmc surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . If  $\Sigma$  is invariant under two spherical reflections  $SR(P_1)$  and  $SR(P_2)$  about spheres  $S_{\rho_1}(P_1)$  and  $S_{\rho_2}(P_2)$ . If the angle between  $S_{\rho_1}(P_1)$  and  $S_{\rho_2}(P_2)$  is an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ , then  $\Sigma$  is a sphere.*

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  is on the  $x$ -axis. We denote by  $\Pi_\phi$  the plane containing  $x$ -axis with angle to the  $xy$ -plane  $\phi$ . Since the angle between  $S_{\rho_1}(P_1)$  and  $S_{\rho_2}(P_2)$  is an irrational multiple of  $2\pi$ ,  $\Pi_\phi \cap \Sigma$  is either empty, or a single point, or a circle. Hence  $\Sigma$  is foliated by circles. The conclusion follows from Theorem 1. in [6]  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. D. Alexandrov, *Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large V*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **21** (1962), 412–416.
- [2] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in mathematics, Springer-Verlag, (2001).
- [3] H. Hopf, *Differential Geometry in the Large*, Lect. Notes Math **1000**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1989).
- [4] J. McCuan, *Symmetry via spherical reflection and spanning drops in a wedge*, Pacific J. Math. **180** (2) (1997), 291–323.
- [5] J. McCuan, *Symmetry via spherical reflection*, J. of Geom. Analysis, Vol. **10**, Issue 3 (2000), 545–564

- [6] S. Park, *Sphere-foliated minimal and constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in space forms and Lorentz-Minkowski Space*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **32** (3) (2002), 1014–1044.

**Sung-Ho Park**

Major in Mathematics

Graduate School of Education

Hankuk University of Foreign Studies

Seoul 130-791, Korea

*E-mail:* [sunghopark@hufs.ac.kr](mailto:sunghopark@hufs.ac.kr)