

## PSEUDO-METRIC ON KU-ALGEBRAS

ALI N.A. KOAM, AZEEM HAIDER, AND MOIN A. ANSARI\*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we have introduced the concept of pseudo-metric which we induced from a pseudo-valuation on KU-algebras and investigated the relationship between pseudo-valuations and ideals of KU-algebras. Conditions for a real-valued function to be a pseudo-valuation on KU-algebras are provided.

### 1. Introduction

Pseudo-metric induce by pseudo-valuations on Hilbert algebras was initially introduced by Busneag [2]. Further Busneag [3] proved many results on extensions of pseudo-valuations. Pseudo-valuations in residuated lattices was introduced by Busneag [4] where many theorems based on pseudo-valuations in lattice terms and their extension for residuated lattices to pseudo-valuation from valuations has been shown using the model of Hilbert algebras [3].

Logical algebras have become the keen interest for researchers in recent years and intensively studied under the influence of different mathematical concepts. Doh and Kang [5] introduced the concept of pseudo-valuation on BCK/BCI algebras and studied results based on them. Ghorbani [6] defined congruence relations and gave quotient structure

---

Received November 8, 2018. Revised February 19, 2019. Accepted February 24, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G25, 03C05.

Key words and phrases: KU-algebra, KU-ideal, pseudo-valuations, pseudo-metric.

\* Corresponding author.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2019.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

of BCI-algebras based on pseudo-valuation. Zhan and Jun [12] studied pseudo valuation on  $R_0$ -algebras. Based on the concept of pseudo-valuation in  $R_0$ -algebras, Yang and Xin [10] characterized pseudo pre-valuations on EQ-algebras.

KU-algebras were introduced by Prabpayak and Leerawat [8] in 2009. Further Prabpayak and Leerawat [9] studied homomorphisms and related properties with KU-algebras. Naveed et. al [11] introduced the concept of cubic KU-ideals of KU-algebras. Recently Ansari and Koam [1] gave the concept of roughness in KU-Algebras.

We define a pseudo-valuations on KU-algebras using the model of Busneřag and introduce a pseudo-metric on KU-algebras. We also prove that the binary operation defined on KU-algebras is uniformly continuous under the induced pseudo-metric.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall consider concepts based on KU-algebras, KU-ideals and other important terminologies with examples and some related results.

DEFINITION 1. [8] By a KU-algebra we mean an algebra  $(X, \circ, 1)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  with a single binary operation  $\circ$  that satisfies the following identities: for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$(ku1) \quad (x \circ y) \circ [(y \circ z) \circ (x \circ z)] = 1,$$

$$(ku2) \quad x \circ 1 = 1,$$

$$(ku3) \quad 1 \circ x = x,$$

$$(ku4) \quad x \circ y = y \circ x = 1 \text{ implies } x = y.$$

In what follows, let  $(X, \circ, 1)$  denote a KU-algebra unless otherwise specified. For brevity we also call  $X$  a KU-algebra. In  $X$  we can define a binary relation  $\leq$  by :  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \circ y = 1$ .

LEMMA 1. [8]  $(X, \circ, 1)$  is a KU-algebra if and only if it satisfies:

$$(ku5) \quad x \circ y \leq (y \circ z) \circ (x \circ z),$$

$$(ku6) \quad x \leq 1,$$

$$(ku7) \quad x \leq y, y \leq x \text{ implies } x = y,$$

LEMMA 2. In a KU-algebra, the following identities are true [7]:

$$(1) \quad z \circ z = 1,$$

$$(2) \quad z \circ (x \circ z) = 1,$$

- (3)  $x \leq y$  imply  $y \circ z \leq x \circ z$ ,
- (4)  $z \circ (y \circ x) = y \circ (z \circ x)$ ,
- (5)  $y \circ [(y \circ x) \circ x] = 1$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

EXAMPLE 1. [7] Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  in which  $\circ$  is defined by the following table

$\circ$	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	2	3	4	5
2	1	1	3	4	5
3	1	2	1	4	4
4	1	1	3	1	3
5	1	1	1	1	1

It is easy to see that  $X$  is a KU-algebra.

DEFINITION 2. [8] A non-empty subset  $A$  of a KU-algebra  $X$  is called a KU-ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $1 \in A$ ,
- (2)  $x \circ (y \circ z) \in A, y \in A$  imply  $x \circ z \in A$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

EXAMPLE 2. [1] Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  in which  $\circ$  is defined by the following table:

$\circ$	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	1	1	3	3	5	6
3	1	1	1	2	5	6
4	1	1	1	1	5	6
5	1	1	1	2	1	6
6	1	1	2	1	1	1

Clearly  $(X, \circ, 1)$  is a KU-algebra. It is easy to show that  $A = \{1, 2\}$  and  $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  are KU-ideals of  $X$ .

### 3. Pseudo-valuations on KU-algebras

DEFINITION 3. A real-valued function  $\zeta$  on a KU-algebra  $X$  is called a pseudo-valuation on  $X$  if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1)  $\zeta(1) = 0$
- (2)  $\zeta(x \circ z) \leq \zeta(x \circ (y \circ z)) + \zeta(y) \forall x, y, z \in X$

A pseudo-valuation  $\zeta$  on a KU-algebra  $X$  satisfying the following condition:

$\zeta(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 1 \forall x \in X$  is called a valuation on  $X$ .

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with operation  $\circ$ . A table for such  $X$  is defined by following table

$\circ$	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4
2	1	1	3	4
3	1	1	1	1
4	1	2	3	1

Here  $X$  is a KU-algebra. We find that a real valued function defined on  $X$  by

$\zeta(1) = 0, \zeta(2) = 1, \zeta(3) = \zeta(4) = 3$ , is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\zeta$  be a pseudo-valuation on a KU-algebra  $X$ . Then we have

- (1)  $x \leq y \Rightarrow \zeta(y) \leq \zeta(x)$ .
- (2)  $\zeta(x \circ y) \leq \zeta(y) \forall x, y \in X$ .
- (3)  $\zeta((x \circ (y \circ z)) \circ z) \leq \zeta(x) + \zeta(y) \forall x, y, z \in X$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . Now choosing  $x = 1, y = x, z = y$ , in Definition 3(1),(2) and using (ku3) we get

$$\zeta(y) = \zeta(1 \circ y) \leq \zeta(1 \circ (x \circ y)) + \zeta(x) = \zeta(1 \circ 1) + \zeta(x) = \zeta(1) + \zeta(x) = \zeta(x).$$

(2) If we choose  $z = y$  in Definition 3(2), then we get  $\zeta(x \circ y) \leq \zeta(x \circ (y \circ y)) + \zeta(y) = \zeta(x \circ 1) + \zeta(y) = \zeta(1) + \zeta(y) = \zeta(y) \forall x, y \in X$ .

(3) If we choose  $x = x \circ (y \circ z)$  in Definition 3(2) then we get

$$(3.1) \quad \zeta((x \circ (y \circ z)) \circ z) \leq \zeta((x \circ (y \circ z)) \circ (y \circ z)) + \zeta(y)$$

Now using the relation  $\leq$  and Lemma 2 (5), we get  $x \leq (x \circ (y \circ z)) \circ (y \circ z)$ . By Proposition 1, it follows that  $\zeta((x \circ (y \circ z)) \circ (y \circ z)) \leq \zeta(x)$  using this relation in Equation 3.1, we get  $\zeta((x \circ (y \circ z)) \circ z) \leq \zeta(x) + \zeta(y) \forall x, y, z \in X$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 1. Every pseudo-valuation  $\zeta$  on a KU-algebra  $X$  satisfies the following inequality  $\zeta(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in X$ .

PROPOSITION 2. If  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on a KU-algebra  $X$ , then we have

$$\zeta((x \circ y) \circ y) \leq \zeta(x) \forall x, y \in X.$$

*Proof.* Choosing  $y = 1$  and  $z = y$  in Proposition 1, using (ku3) and Definition 3(1) we get that

$$\zeta((x \circ y) \circ y) = \zeta((x \circ (1 \circ y)) \circ y) \leq \zeta(x) + \zeta(1) = \zeta(x) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad \square$$

The following theorem provides conditions for a real valued function on a KU-algebra  $X$  to be a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\zeta$  be a real valued function on a KU-algebra  $X$  satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) *If  $\zeta(a) \leq \zeta(x) \quad \forall x \in X$ , then  $\zeta(a) = 1$ .*
- (2)  *$\zeta(x \circ y) \leq \zeta(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .*
- (3)  *$\zeta((x \circ (y \circ z)) \circ z) \leq \zeta(x) + \zeta(y)$ .*

*Then  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$*

*Proof.* From Lemma 2 (1) and given condition (2), we have  $\zeta(1) = \zeta(x \circ x) \leq \zeta(x) \quad \forall x \in X$  and hence  $\zeta(1) = 0$ , using given condition (1). Now, from (ku3), Lemma 2 (1) and given condition (3), we get  $\zeta(y) = \zeta(1 \circ y) = \zeta(((x \circ y) \circ (x \circ y)) \circ y) \leq \zeta(x \circ y) + \zeta(x) \quad \forall x, y \in X$ . It follows from Lemma 2 (4) that  $\zeta(x \circ z) \leq \zeta(y \circ (x \circ z)) + \zeta(y) = \zeta(x \circ (y \circ z)) + \zeta(y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$ . Therefore  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\zeta$  be a real-valued function on a KU-algebra  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1)  *$\zeta(1) = 0$*
- (2)  *$\zeta(x \circ y) \leq \zeta(y), \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .*
- (3)  *$\zeta((x \circ (y \circ z)) \circ z) \leq \zeta(x) + \zeta(y), \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$ .*

*Then  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on a KU-algebra  $X$ , then  $\zeta(y) \leq \zeta(x \circ y) + \zeta(x), \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m = (x \circ y) \circ y$  for any  $x, y \in X$ , and  $n = x \circ y$ .

Then  $y = 1 \circ y = (((x \circ y) \circ y) \circ ((x \circ y) \circ y)) \circ y = (m \circ (n \circ y)) \circ y$ . It follows from Theorem 2, Propositions 1 and Propositions 2 that  $\zeta(y) = \zeta((m \circ (n \circ y)) \circ y) \leq \zeta(m) + \zeta(n) = \zeta((x \circ y) \circ y) + \zeta(x \circ y) \leq \zeta(x) + \zeta(x \circ y)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\zeta$  be a real-valued function on a KU-algebra  $X$  satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1)  *$\zeta(1) = 0$*
- (2)  *$\zeta(y) \leq \zeta(x \circ y) + \zeta(x), \quad \forall x, y \in X$ .*

*Then  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2 (4), Lemma 2 (5) and given condition (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta[(b \circ (a \circ x) \circ x)] \leq \zeta[b \circ ((b \circ (a \circ x)) \circ x)] + \zeta(b) \quad (\text{by given condition (2)}) \\
& \leq \zeta[(b \circ (a \circ x)) \circ (b \circ x)] + \zeta(b) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2 (4)}) \\
& = \zeta[(a \circ (b \circ x)) \circ (b \circ x)] + \zeta(b) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2 (4)}). \\
& = \zeta[a \circ ((a \circ (b \circ x)) \circ (b \circ x))] + \zeta(a) + \zeta(b) \quad (\text{by given condition (2)}) \\
& = \zeta(1) + \zeta(a) + \zeta(b) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2(5)}) \\
& = \zeta(a) + \zeta(b).
\end{aligned}$$

Also  $\zeta(x \circ y) \leq \zeta(y)$  by Lemma 2(2) and Proposition 1(1). Using Corollary 2 we get that  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3. *If  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on a  $KU$ -algebra  $X$ , then*

$$(3.2) \quad a \leq b \circ x \Rightarrow \zeta(x) \leq \zeta(a) + \zeta(b) \quad \forall a, b, x \in X.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $a, b, x \in X$  such that  $a \leq b \circ x$ . Then by Proposition 1 (3) and Theorem 2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta(x) \leq \zeta((a \circ (b \circ x)) \circ x) + \zeta(a \circ (b \circ x)) = \zeta((a \circ (b \circ x)) \circ x) + \zeta(1) = \\
& \zeta((a \circ (b \circ x)) \circ x) \\
& \leq \zeta(a) + \zeta(b). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4. *Let  $\zeta$  be a real-valued function on a  $KU$ -algebra  $X$ . If  $\zeta$  satisfies  $\zeta(1) = 0$  and condition (3.2), then  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 2 (5), we have  $a \circ ((a \circ x) \circ x) = 1$ , which implies from  $x \leq y \iff x \circ y = 1$  that  $a \leq (a \circ x) \circ x, \forall a, x \in X$ . Thus it follows from Proposition 3 that  $\zeta(x) \leq \zeta(a \circ x) + \zeta(a), \forall a, x \in X$ . Hence from Theorem 3, we conclude that  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4. *Suppose that  $X$  is a  $KU$ -algebra. Then every pseudo-valuation  $\zeta$  on  $X$  satisfies the following inequality:*

$$\zeta(x \circ z) \leq \zeta(x \circ y) + \zeta(y \circ z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$$

*Proof.* It follows from (ku1) and Theorem 4.  $\square$

THEOREM 5. *If  $\zeta$  is a pseudo-valuation on a  $KU$ -algebra  $X$ , then the set  $I := \{x \in X \mid \zeta(x) = 0\}$  is an ideal of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\zeta(1) = 0$  and hence  $1 \in I$ . Next  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $y \in I$  and  $x \circ (y \circ z) \in I$ . Then  $\zeta(y) = 0$  and  $\zeta(x \circ (y \circ z)) = 0$ . By Definition 3(2) we get that  $\zeta(x \circ z) \leq \zeta(x \circ (y \circ z)) + \zeta(y) = 0$  so that  $\zeta(x \circ z) = 0$ . Hence  $x \circ z \in I$ , and therefore  $I$  is an ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 4. Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  in which  $\circ$  is defined by the following table:

$\circ$	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	1	1	2	4	4	5
3	1	1	1	4	4	4
4	1	2	3	1	2	3
5	1	1	2	1	1	2
6	1	1	1	1	1	1

Clearly  $X$  is a KU-algebra. Now define a real-valued function  $\zeta$  on  $X$  by  $\zeta(1) = \zeta(2) = \zeta(3) = 0$ ,  $\zeta(4) = 3$ ,  $\zeta(5) = 1$  and  $\zeta(6) = 2$ . Then  $I := \{x \in X \mid \zeta(x) = 1\} = \{2, 3, 4\}$  is the ideal of  $X$ . But  $\zeta$  is not a pseudo-valuation as  $\zeta(3 \circ 5) \not\leq \zeta(3 \circ (5 \circ 5)) + \zeta(5)$ .

#### 4. Pseudo-metric on KU-algebras

In this section we define pseudo-metric on KU-algebras and show related results.

THEOREM 6. Let  $X$  be a KU-algebra and  $\zeta$  be a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ . Then the mapping  $d_\zeta : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $d_\zeta(x, y) = \zeta(x \circ y) + \zeta(y \circ x) \forall (x, y) \in X \times X$  is a metric on  $X$ , called pseudo-metric induced by pseudo-valuation  $\zeta$  and correspondingly  $(X, d_\zeta)$  is called a pseudo-metric space.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $d_\zeta(x, y) \geq 1$ ,  $d_\zeta(x, x) = 1$  and  $d_\zeta(x, y) = d_\zeta(y, x) \forall x, y \in X$ . For any  $x, y, z \in X$  from Proposition 4, we get that  $d_\zeta(x, y) + d_\zeta(y, z) = [\zeta(x \circ y) + \zeta(y \circ x)] + [\zeta(y \circ z) + \zeta(z \circ y)] = [\zeta(x \circ y) + \zeta(y \circ z)] + [\zeta(z \circ y) + \zeta(y \circ x)] \geq \zeta(x \circ z) + \zeta(z \circ x) = d_\zeta(x, z)$ . Hence  $(X, d_\zeta)$  is a pseudo-metric space.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 5. Let  $X$  be a KU-algebra. Then every pseudo-metric  $d_\zeta$  induced by pseudo-valuation  $\zeta$  satisfies the following inequalities:

- (1)  $d_\zeta(x, y) \geq d_\zeta(x \circ a, y \circ a)$
- (2)  $d_\zeta(x, y) \geq d_\zeta(a \circ x, a \circ y)$ ,
- (3)  $d_\zeta(x \circ y, a \circ b) \leq d_\zeta(x \circ y, a \circ y) + d_\zeta(a \circ y, a \circ b) \forall x, y, a, b \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, a \in X$ . By (ku5)  $x \circ y \leq (y \circ a) \circ (x \circ a)$  and  $y \circ x \leq (x \circ a) \circ (y \circ a)$ . It follows from Proposition 1(1) that  $\zeta(x \circ y) \geq \zeta((y \circ a) \circ (x \circ a))$

and  $\zeta(y \circ x) \geq \zeta((x \circ a) \circ (y \circ a))$  so that  $d_\zeta(x, y) = \zeta(x \circ y) + \zeta(y \circ x) \geq \zeta((y \circ a) \circ (x \circ a)) + \zeta((x \circ a) \circ (y \circ a)) = d_\zeta(x \circ a, y \circ a)$ .

(2) Similar and followed by proof (1).

(3) Followed by definition of pseudo-metric.  $\square$

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $\zeta$  be a real-valued function on a KU-algebra  $X$ , if  $d_\zeta$  is a pseudo-metric on  $X$ , then  $(X \times X, d_\zeta^\circ)$  is a pseudo-metric space, where  $d_\zeta^\circ((x, y), (a, b)) = \max\{d_\zeta(x, a), d_\zeta(y, b)\} \forall (x, y), (a, b) \in X \times X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $d_\zeta$  is a pseudo-metric on  $X$ . For any  $(x, y), (a, b) \in X \times X$ , we have  $d_\zeta^\circ((x, y), (x, y)) = \max\{d_\zeta(x, x), d_\zeta(y, y)\} = 0$  and  $d_\zeta^\circ((x, y), (a, b)) = \max\{d_\zeta(x, a), d_\zeta(y, b)\} = \max\{d_\zeta(a, x), d_\zeta(b, y)\} = d_\zeta^\circ((a, b), (x, y))$ .

Now let  $(x, y), (a, b), (u, v) \in X \times X$ . Then we have  $d_\zeta^\circ((x, y), (u, v)) + d_\zeta^\circ((u, v), (a, b)) = \max\{d_\zeta(x, u), d_\zeta(y, v)\} + \max\{d_\zeta(u, a), d_\zeta(v, b)\} \geq \max\{d_\zeta(x, u) + d_\zeta(u, a), d_\zeta(y, v) + d_\zeta(v, b)\} \geq \max\{d_\zeta(x, a), d_\zeta(y, b)\} = d_\zeta^\circ((x, y), (a, b))$ . Hence  $(X \times X, d_\zeta^\circ)$  is a pseudo-metric space.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $\zeta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a pseudo-valuation on a KU-algebra  $X$ , then  $(X \times X, d_\zeta^\circ)$  is a pseudo-metric space.*

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $X$  be a KU-algebra. Further if  $\zeta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a valuation on  $X$ , then  $(X, d_\zeta)$  is a metric space.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\zeta$  is a valuation on  $X$ . Then  $(X, d_\zeta)$  is a pseudo-metric space by Theorem 6. Further consider  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $d_\zeta(x, y) = 0$ . Then  $0 = d_\zeta(x, y) = \zeta(x \circ y) + \zeta(y \circ x)$ , and hence  $\zeta(x \circ y) = 0$  and  $\zeta(y \circ x) = 0$  since  $\zeta(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in X$ . And, since  $\zeta$  is a valuation on  $X$ , it follows that  $x \circ y = 1$  and  $y \circ x = 1$  so from condition in the given theorem that  $x = y$ . Hence  $(X, d_\zeta)$  is a metric space.  $\square$

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $X$  be a KU-algebra. If  $\zeta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a valuation on  $X$ , then  $(X \times X, d_\zeta^\circ)$  is a metric space.*

*Proof.* From Corollary 3, we have that  $(X \times X, d_\zeta^\circ)$  is a pseudo-metric space. Suppose that  $(x, y), (a, b) \in X \times X$  be such that  $d_\zeta^\circ((x, y), (a, b)) = 0$ . Then  $0 = d_\zeta^\circ((x, y), (a, b)) = \max\{d_\zeta(x, a), d_\zeta(y, b)\}$ , and so  $d_\zeta(x, a) = 0 = d_\zeta(y, b)$  since  $d_\zeta(x, y) \geq 0 \forall (x, y) \in X \times X$ . Hence  $0 = d_\zeta(x, a) = \zeta(x \circ a) + \zeta(a \circ x)$  and  $0 = d_\zeta(y, b) = \zeta(y \circ b) + \zeta(b \circ y)$ . It follows that  $\zeta(x \circ a) = 0 = \zeta(a \circ x)$  and  $\zeta(y \circ b) = 0 = \zeta(b \circ y)$  so that  $x \circ a = 1 = a \circ x$



and  $y \circ b = 0 = b \circ y$ . Now we have  $a = x$  and  $b = y$ , and so  $(x, y) = (a, b)$ . Therefore  $(X \times X, d_\zeta^\circ)$  is a metric space.  $\square$

**THEOREM 10.** *Let  $X$  be a KU-algebra. If  $\zeta$  is a valuation on  $X$ , then the operation  $\circ$  in  $X$  is uniformly continuous.*

*Proof.* Consider for any  $\delta > 0$ , if  $d_\zeta^\circ((x, y), (a, b)) < \frac{\delta}{2}$  then  $d_\zeta(x, a) < \frac{\delta}{2}$  and  $d_\zeta(y, b) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ . This implies that  $d_\zeta(x \circ y, a \circ b) \leq d_\zeta(x \circ y, a \circ y) + d_\zeta(a \circ y, a \circ b) \leq d_\zeta(x, a) + d_\zeta(y, b) < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta$  (from Proposition 5). Therefore the operation  $\circ : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is uniformly continuous.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Moin A. Ansari and Ali N. A. Koam, *Rough Approximations in KU-Algebras*, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics N. **40**, (2018), 679–691.
- [2] D. Busneşag, *Hilbert algebras with valuations*, Math. Japon. **44** (2), (1996), 285–289.
- [3] D. Busneşag, *On extensions of pseudo-valuations on Hilbert algebras*, Discrete Mathematics, **263** (1–3), (2003), 11–24.
- [4] D. Busneşag, *valuations on residuated lattices*, An. Univ. Craiova. Ser. Math. Inform. **34** (2007), 21–28.
- [5] M.I. Doh and M.S. Kang, *BCK/BCI-algebras with pseudo-valuation*, Honam Mathematical J. **32** (2) (2010), 271–226.
- [6] S. Ghorbani, *Quotient BCI-algebras induced by pseudo-valuations*, Iranian J. of Math. Sc. and Inform. **5** (2010), 13–24.
- [7] S.M. Mostafa, M.A. Abd-Elnaby and M.M.M. Yousef, *Fuzzy ideals of KU-Algebras*, Int. Math. Forum. **63** (6) (2011), 3139–3149.
- [8] Prabpayak and Leerawat, *On ideals and congruences in KU-algebras*, Scientia Magna J. **5** (1) (2009), 54–57.
- [9] Prabpayak and Leerawat, *On Isomorphisms of KU-algebras*, Scientia Magna J. **5** (3) (2009), 25–31.
- [10] Y. Yang, X. Xin, *EQ-algebras with pseudo pre-valuations*, Italian J. of Pure and Applied Maths, **36** (2017), 29–48.
- [11] Naveed Yaqoob, Samy M. Mostafa and Moin A. Ansari, *On cubic KU-ideals of KU-algebras*, ISRN Algebras, Vol. **2013**, Article ID 935905, (2013), 10 pages.
- [12] J. Zhan and Y. B. Jun, *(Implicative) pseudo-valuations on  $R_0$ -algebras*, U.P.B., Scientific Bulletin, **75** (2013), 101–112.

**Ali N.A. Koam**

Department of Mathematics, College of Science  
Post Box 2097 New Campus, Jazan University  
Jazan, KSA

*E-mail:* akoum@jazanu.edu.sa

**Azeem Haider**

Department of Mathematics, College of Science  
Post Box 2097 New Campus, Jazan University  
Jazan, KSA

*E-mail:* aahaider@jazanu.edu.sa

**Moin A. Ansari**

Department of Mathematics, College of Science  
Post Box 2097 New Campus, Jazan University  
Jazan, KSA

*E-mail:* maansari@jazanu.edu.sa