

ON WEAKLY LOCAL RINGS

ZHELIN PIAO, SUNG JU RYU, HYO JIN SUNG, AND SANG JO YUN

ABSTRACT. This article concerns a property of local rings and domains. A ring R is called *weakly local* if for every $a \in R$, a is regular or $1 - a$ is regular, where a regular element means a non-zero-divisor. We study the structure of weakly local rings in relation to several kinds of factor rings and ring extensions that play roles in ring theory. We prove that the characteristic of a weakly local ring is either zero or a power of a prime number. It is also shown that the weakly local property can go up to polynomial (power series) rings and a kind of Abelian matrix rings.

Preliminary

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity unless otherwise stated. Let R be a ring. We use $C(R)$ and $U(R)$ to denote the monoid of regular elements and the group of units in R , respectively. Let $J(R)$, $I(R)$, $N^*(R)$ and $N(R)$ denote the Jacobson radical, the set of all idempotents, the upper nilradical and the set of all nilpotent elements in R , respectively. \mathbb{Z} (\mathbb{Z}_n) denotes the ring of integers (modulo n), and let \mathbb{Q} be the field of rational numbers. Denote the n by n full (resp., upper triangular) matrix ring over R by $Mat_n(R)$ (resp., $T_n(R)$). I_n denotes the identity matrix in $Mat_n(R)$, and E_{ij} denotes the matrix with (i, j) -entry 1 and elsewhere 0, and write $D_n(R) = \{(a_{ij}) \in T_n(R) \mid a_{11} = \cdots = a_{nn} =$

Received October 7, 2019. Revised December 30, 2019. Accepted December 30, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Lxx, 16S36, 16U10, 16D25.

Key words and phrases: weakly local ring, local ring, ring of integers modulo n , characteristic, domain, matrix ring, polynomial ring, Abelian ring.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2020.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

0}. For $S \subseteq R$, the right (resp., left) annihilator of S in R is denoted by $r_R(S)$ (resp., $l_R(S)$); that is $l_R(S) = \{r \in R \mid rs = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ and $r_R(S) = \{r \in R \mid sr = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$. If $S = \{a\}$ then we write $l_R(a)$ (resp., $r_R(a)$). An element $a \in R$ is said to be right (resp., left) regular in R if $r_R(a) = 0$ (resp., $l_R(a) = 0$). An element is called regular if it is both right and left regular. The characteristic of R is denoted by $ch(R)$. Given a set S , the cardinality of S is expressed by $|S|$.

A ring is usually called *reduced* if it has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Due to Feller [1], a ring is called *right* (resp. *left*) *duo* if every right (resp. left) ideal is an ideal; a ring is called *duo* if it is both right and left duo. A ring is called *Abelian* if every idempotent is central. It is easily shown that both one-side duo rings and reduced rings are Abelian. Following Hong et al. [3], a ring R is called *right* (resp., *left*) *DR* if $C(R)a \subseteq aC(R)$ (resp., $aC(R) \subseteq C(R)a$) for all $a \in R$; and a ring is called *DR* if it is both left and right DR. So a ring R is DR if and only if $C(R)a = aC(R)$ for all $a \in R$. A ring R is clearly DR when $C(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. Right DR rings are also Abelian by [3, Lemma 2.1(1)]. A ring R is called *local* if $R/J(R)$ is a division ring. Every local ring R is Abelian because for every $a \in R$, $a \in U(R)$ or $1 - a \in U(R)$.

1. Weakly local rings

We first deal with a ring property that is satisfied by domains and local rings. A ring R shall be called *weakly local* if for every $a \in R$, $a \in C(R)$ or $1 - a \in C(R)$. The following does an important role throughout this article.

LEMMA 1.1. (1) *The class of weakly local rings contains domains and local rings.*

(2) *If R is a weakly local ring then $I(R) = \{0, 1\}$.*

(3) *Every weakly local ring is Abelian.*

(4) *The class of weakly local rings is closed under subring with the inherited identity.*

(5) *Commutative rings need not be weakly local.*

Proof. (1) Domains are clearly weakly local. Let R be a local ring and $a \in R$. Then $a \in U(R)$ or $1 - a \in U(R)$; hence R is weakly local, since $U(R) \subseteq C(R)$.

(2) Let R be a weakly local ring and $e \in I(R)$. Then $e \in C(R)$ or $1 - e \in C(R)$; hence $e = 0$ or $e = 1$, noting that 1 is the only regular idempotent.

(3) is an immediate consequence of (2).

(4) Let R be a weakly local ring and S be a subring of R with the identity of R . Suppose $a \notin C(S)$. Then $a \notin C(R)$. Since R is weakly local, $1 - a \in C(R)$ and $1 - a \in C(S)$ follows. Thus S is weakly local.

(5) Consider the ring \mathbb{Z}_6 and take $3 \in \mathbb{Z}_6$. Then $3 \notin C(\mathbb{Z}_6)$ and $1 - 3 = 4 \notin C(\mathbb{Z}_6)$. \square

From Lemma 1.1, we obtain that $I(R) = \{0, 1\}$ (hence R is Abelian) for a local ring R , and that commutative rings need not be local. In the following example we see relations between weakly local rings and related ring properties.

EXAMPLE 1.2. (1) We refer to [9, Theorem 1.3.5, Corollary 2.1.14, and Theorem 2.1.15]. Let K be a field of characteristic zero and $A = K\langle x, y \rangle$ be the free algebra with noncommuting indeterminates x, y over K . Let I be the ideal of A generated by $yx - xy - 1$, and set $R = A/I$. Then R is a noncommutative domain and so R is weakly local by Lemma 1.1(1). But R is neither right nor left duo as can be seen by the one-sided ideals xR and Rx which cannot be two-sided. Moreover R is neither right nor left DR by [3, Lemma 2.1(3)].

(2) The weakly local domain R in (1) is clearly not local, noting $J(R) = 0$ by [9, Theorem 1.3.8].

(3) The weakly local ring $D_n(R)$ over a weakly local ring R ($n \geq 2$), in Theorem 2.2 to follow, is clearly not a domain.

(4) Let $|I| \geq 2$ and R_i be rings for every $i \in I$. Then the direct product of R_i 's cannot be weakly local by Lemma 1.1(2).

Right duo rings need not be right DR by [3, Example 1.4(2)]. It is not proved yet that right DR rings are right duo. The weakly local property can be a condition under which right DR can be right duo.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let R be a weakly local ring. If R is right DR then R is right duo.*

Proof. We apply the proof of [3, Proposition 1.6]. Let $r, a \in R$ and consider ra . Since R is weakly local, $r \in C(R)$ or $1 - r \in C(R)$. Suppose that R is right DR. If $r \in C(R)$ then $ra = ar_1 \in aR$ for some $r_1 \in C(R)$.

If $1 - r \in C(R)$ then $(1 - r)a = ar_2$ for some $r_2 \in C(R)$. This yields $ra = a - ar_2 = a(1 - r_2) \in aR$. Thus R is right duo. \square

In the following we see a more general situation of the proof of Lemma 1.1(5).

THEOREM 1.4. (1) *Let $n = p^k$ such that p is a prime number and $k \geq 1$. Then \mathbb{Z}_n is (weakly) local.*

(2) *Let $n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_k^{m_k}$ such that $k \geq 2$, p_i is a prime number for all i , $p_i \neq p_j$ if $i \neq j$, and $m_i \geq 1$ for all i . Then \mathbb{Z}_n is not weakly local.*

(3) *Let R be a ring of $ch(R) = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_k^{m_k}$ such that $k \geq 2$, p_i is a prime number for all i , $p_i \neq p_j$ if $i \neq j$, and $m_i \geq 1$ for all i . Then R is not weakly local.*

Proof. (1) is easily verified.

(2) \mathbb{Z}_n is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{m_k}}$. So \mathbb{Z}_n contains an idempotent that is neither zero nor the identity. Thus \mathbb{Z}_n is not weakly local by Lemma 1.1(2).

(2) By hypothesis, R contains \mathbb{Z}_n as a subring with the same identity, where $n = ch(R)$. But \mathbb{Z}_n is not weakly local by (2), therefore R is not weakly local by Lemma 1.1(4). \square

From Theorem 1.4, we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 1.5. (1) *The class of weakly local rings is not closed under factor rings.*

(2) *Let R be a weakly local ring. Then $ch(R)$ is either zero or a power of a prime number.*

Proof. (1) First note that \mathbb{Z} is weakly local by Lemma 1.1(1). Let $n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_k^{m_k}$ such that $k \geq 2$, p_i is prime for all i , $p_i \neq p_j$ if $i \neq j$, and $m_i \geq 1$ for all i . Consider the ideal $n\mathbb{Z}$ and set $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then R is not weakly local by Theorem 1.4(2).

(2) Suppose $ch(R) \neq 0$. Then the proof is done by Theorem 1.4. \square

As another example of Corollary 1.5(1), let R_0 be the localization of \mathbb{Z} at the prime ideal $p\mathbb{Z}$, where p is an odd prime; and next set R be the quaternions over R_0 . Then R is clearly a domain (hence weakly local) and $J(R) = pR$. But $R/J(R)$ is isomorphic to $Mat_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ by the argument in [2, Exercise 2A]. But $Mat_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is not weakly local by Lemma 1.1(2). Thus $R/J(R)$ is not weakly local.

Compare Corollary 1.5(2) with the fact that the characteristic of a domain is either zero or a prime number. The following elaborates upon Theorem 1.4.

REMARK 1.6. For a given ring R , identify $s \in \mathbb{Z} (\mathbb{Z}_n)$ with $s \cdot 1$ in R , where 1 is the identity of R .

(1) Let R be the ring in Theorem 1.4(2), i.e., $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_k^{m_k}$ such that $k \geq 2$, p_i is a prime number for all i , $p_i \neq p_j$ if $i \neq j$, and $m_i \geq 1$ for all i . Consider $a = p_i^{m_i}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Since R is finite, there exist $s, t \geq 1$ such that $a^s = a^{s+t}$. Then $a^{st} \in I(R)$ by the proof of [5, Proposition 16]. Note that $a^{st} = p_i^{m_i st}$ is nonzero since $k \geq 2$, and moreover $a^{st} \neq 1$ since $a^{st}(p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}} p_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} \cdots p_k^{m_k}) = 0$, noting $p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}} p_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} \cdots p_k^{m_k} \neq 0$.

(2) Let R be a ring of $ch(R) = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$ with $k \geq 2$ and $m_i \geq 2$ for all i . Suppose $m_{j+1} = m_j + 1$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Note $m_{j+1} \notin C(R)$. Consider $l = 1 - m_{j+1}$. Since $l = l + 0 = l + m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$, we get

$$l = 1 - (m_j + 1) = -m_j + m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k = m_j (m_1 \cdots m_{j-1} m_{j+1} \cdots m_k - 1)$$

and hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & l(m_1 \cdots m_{j-1} m_{j+1} \cdots m_k) \\ &= [m_j (m_1 \cdots m_{j-1} m_{j+1} \cdots m_k - 1)](m_1 \cdots m_{j-1} m_{j+1} \cdots m_k) \\ &= [m_j (m_1 \cdots m_{j-1} m_{j+1} \cdots m_k)](m_1 \cdots m_{j-1} m_{j+1} \cdots m_k - 1) \\ &= n(m_1 \cdots m_{j-1} m_{j+1} \cdots m_k - 1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $n = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$. So $1 - m_{j+1} \notin C(R)$ since noting $m_1 \cdots m_{j-1} m_{j+1} \cdots m_k \neq 0$, and therefore R is not weakly local.

Recall that a ring R is called *semilocal* if $R/J(R)$ is semisimple Artinian, and that a ring R is called *semiperfect* if R is semilocal and idempotents can be lifted modulo $J(R)$. Local rings are semiperfect by [8, Corollary 3.7.1].

PROPOSITION 1.7. *A ring R is weakly local and semiperfect if and only if R is local.*

Proof. Let R be weakly local and semiperfect. Since R is semiperfect, R has a finite orthogonal set $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of local idempotents whose sum is 1 by [8, Proposition 3.7.2], say $R = \prod_{i=1}^n e_i R$ such that each $e_i R e_i$ is a local ring. But, Lemma 1.1(2), we must get $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} = \{1\}$ since

R is weakly local, so that R is local. The converse is shown by [8, Corollary 3.7.1]. \square

It is easily checked that for a local ring R , $J(R)$ contains $N(R)$. So one may ask whether for a weakly local ring R , $J(R)$ contains $N(R)$. But the answer is negative by the following.

EXAMPLE 1.8. We deal with a subring of $Mat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)[x]$ for $n \geq 2$. Set

$$R = \mathbb{Z}_2 + xMat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)[x].$$

Let $f(x) \in R$. Note that $1 + g(x) \in C(R)$ for all $g(x) \in xMat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)[x]$ by the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.3 to follow. So $f(x) \in C(R)$ or $1 - f(x) \in C(R)$. Thus R is weakly local.

Next we claim $J(R) = 0$. Letting $f_1(x) = 1 + g_1(x)$ with $g_1(x) \in xMat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)[x]$, $1 - f_1(x) = -g_1(x) \notin U(R)$, so that $f_1(x) \notin J(R)$. This yields $J(R) \subseteq xMat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)[x]$. Let $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x^i \in xMat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)[x]$ with $a_m \neq 0$. Since $Mat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is simple, we have $Rh(x)R$ contains

$$\{b_1x + \cdots + b_{m+1}x^{m+1} + x^{m+2} \mid b_i \in Mat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)\}$$

because $R_0a_mR_0 = Mat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)x^2$, where $R_0 = Mat_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)x$. But

$$1 - (b_1x + \cdots + b_{m+1}x^{m+1} + x^{m+2}) \notin U(R),$$

entailing that $b_1x + \cdots + b_{m+1}x^{m+1} + x^{m+2}$ is not contained in $J(R)$. Thus result implies $h(x) \notin J(R)$, concluding $J(R) = 0$. However $N(R) \neq 0$ as can be seen by the nilpotent polynomial $E_{12}x$ in R .

2. Extensions of weakly local rings

In this section we study the weakly local property of some kinds of ring extensions that play important roles in ring theory.

LEMMA 2.1. [6, Lemma 2.1] *Let R be a ring and $0 \neq a \in R$. Then a is right (resp., left) regular if and only if $(a_{ij}) \in D_n(R)$ is right (resp., left) regular, where $a_{ii} = a$.*

We obtain the following useful information about the weakly local property.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. A ring R is weakly local if and only if $D_n(R)$ is weakly local.*

Proof. Let R be a weakly local ring and $A = (a_{ij}) \in D_n(R)$. Assume $(a_{ij}) \notin C(D_n(R))$. Then $a_{ii} \notin C(R)$ by Lemma 2.1. Since R is weakly local, $1 - a_{ii} \in C(R)$. This yields $I_n - A = (b_{ij})$ such that $b_{ii} = 1 - a_{ii}$ and $b_{ij} = -a_{ij}$ for all i, j with $i < j$. Then $I_n - A$ is regular by Lemma 2.1. So $D_n(R)$ is weakly local. The converse is proved by Lemma 1.1(4). \square

Note that $I(D_n(R)) = \{0, I_n\}$ over the weakly local ring R by Lemma 1.1(2) and [4, Lemma 2]. However neither $Mat_n(R)$ nor $T_n(R)$ cannot be weakly local over any ring R by Lemma 1.1(3) for $n \geq 2$.

THEOREM 2.3. *For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) R is weakly local;
- (2) $R[[x]]$ is weakly local;
- (3) $R[x]$ is weakly local.

Proof. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in R[[x]]$ with $s \geq 0$. If $a_s \in C(R)$ then $f(x) \in C(R[[x]])$. For, letting $f(x)g(x) = 0$ for $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \in R[[x]]$, we first get $a_s b_0 = 0$ since $a_s \in C(R)$ and $b_0 = 0$ follows; and inductively we have $b_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$. Letting $g(x)f(x) = 0$, we get $g(x) = 0$ similarly. Thus

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in R[[x]] \mid a_s \in C(R) \right\} \subseteq C(R[[x]]),$$

where $s \geq 0$. A similar argument yields

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=s}^m a_i x^i \in R[x] \mid a_s \in C(R) \text{ or } a_m \in C(R) \right\} \subseteq C(R[x]),$$

where $0 \leq s \leq m$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Let R be weakly local and $f(x) = \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in R[[x]]$ with $a_s \neq 0$. Assume $f(x) = \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} a_i x^i \notin C(R[[x]])$. Then $a_s \notin C(R)$ by the above argument. Suppose $s = 0$. Since R is weakly local, $1 - a_s \in C(R)$ and so $1 - f(x) = (1 - a_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in C(R[[x]])$ by the above argument. Suppose $s \geq 1$. Then $1 - f(x) = 1 - \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in U(R[[x]])$. Thus $R[[x]]$ is weakly local.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) and (3) \Rightarrow (1) are proved by Lemma 1.1(4). \square

In Theorem 2.3, $I(R[[x]]) = I(R[x]) = I(R) = \{0, 1\}$ by Lemma 1.1(2) and [7, Lemma 8], implying that $R[[x]]$ and $R[x]$ are also Abelian. Recall that domains and local rings are both weakly local. Domains

clearly pass to polynomial rings, but this is not valid for local rings. Letting D be a division ring, $D[x]$ is not local (since $J(D[x]) = 0$).

Let R be a ring. $R[x; x^{-1}]$ denotes the *Laurent polynomial ring* in x over R , i.e., every element of $R[x; x^{-1}]$ has a unique representation in the form $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i x^i$ with all but finitely many coefficients being zero. $R[[x; x^{-1}]]$ denotes the *Laurent power series ring* in x over R , i.e., every element of $R[[x; x^{-1}]]$ has a unique representation in the form $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i x^i$ with $a_{-n} = 0$ for all but finitely many $n \geq 1$.

REMARK 2.4. Let R be a ring. Applying the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in R[[x; x^{-1}]] \mid a_s \in C(R) \right\} \subseteq C(R[[x; x^{-1}]])$$

and

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=t}^m a_i x^i \in R[x; x^{-1}] \mid a_t \in C(R) \text{ or } a_m \in C(R) \right\} \subseteq C(R[x; x^{-1}]),$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let R be weakly local and suppose that $f(x) = \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} a_i x^i \notin C(R[[x; x^{-1}]])$. Then $a_s \notin C(R)$ by the preceding argument. Since R is weakly local, $1 - a_s \in C(R)$. So $x^s - f(x) = (1 - a_s)x^s + \sum_{i=s+1}^{\infty} (-a_i)x^i \in C(R[[x; x^{-1}]])$. Next suppose that $g(x) = \sum_{i=t}^m a_i x^i \notin C(R[x; x^{-1}])$. Then $a_t, a_m \notin C(R)$ by the above argument. Since R is weakly local, $1 - a_t, 1 - a_m \in C(R)$. So $x^t - g(x) = (1 - a_t)x^t + \sum_{i=t+1}^m (-a_i)x^i, x^m - g(x) = \sum_{i=t}^{m-1} (-a_i)x^i + (1 - a_m)x^m \in C(R[x; x^{-1}])$.

References

- [1] E.H. Feller, *Properties of primary noncommutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1958), 79–91.
- [2] K.R. Goodearl and R.B. Warfield Jr., *An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Port Chester-Melbourne-Sydney, 1989.
- [3] C.Y. Hong, H.K. Kim, N.K. Kim, T.K. Kwak and Y. Lee, *Duo property on the monoid of regular elements*, (submitted).
- [4] C. Huh, H.K. Kim and Y. Lee, *p.p. rings and generalized p.p. rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **167** (2002), 37–52.
- [5] C. Huh, Y. Lee and A. Smoktunowicz, *Armendariz rings and semicommutative rings*, Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), 751–761.

- [6] S.U. Hwang, N.K. Kim and Y. Lee, *On rings whose right annihilators are bounded*, Glasgow Math. J. **51** (2009) 539–559.
- [7] N.K. Kim and Y. Lee, *Armendariz rings and reduced rings*, J. Algebra **223** (2000), 477–488.
- [8] J. Lambek, *Lectures on Rings and Modules*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, Waltham, 1966.
- [9] J.C. McConnell and J.C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, New York, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore, 1987.

Zhelin Piao

Department of Mathematics, Yanbian University
Yanji 133002, China
E-mail: zlpiao@ybu.edu.cn

Sung Ju Ryu

Department of Mathematics, Pusan National University
Busan 46241, Korea
E-mail: sjryu@pusan.ac.kr

Hyo Jin Sung

Department of Mathematics, Pusan National University
Busan 46241, Korea
E-mail: hjsung@pusan.ac.kr

Sang Jo Yun

Department of Mathematics, Dong-A University
Busan, 49315, Korea
E-mail: sjyun@dau.ac.kr